Effects of Ligand and Solvent on the Synthesis of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles from Fe(acac)₃ Solution by Femtosecond Laser Irradiation

Takuya Okamoto, Takahiro Nakamura, Yuhei O. Tahara, Makoto Miyata, Kenji Sakota, Tomoyuki Yatsuhashi

Citation	Chemistry Letters. 49(1); 75-78
Issue Date	2019-11-19
Туре	Journal Article
Textversion	Author
	© 2019 The Chemical Society of Japan. The following article has been accepted by Chemistry
Right	Letters. Please cite only the published version. After it is published, it will be found at
	https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.190751.
Supporting	Supporting Information is available on <u>https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.190751</u> .
Information	
DOI	10.1246/cl.190751

SURE: Osaka City University Repository

https://dlisv03.media.osaka-cu.ac.jp/il/meta_pub/G0000438repository

Takuya Okamoto, Takahiro Nakamura, Yuhei O. Tahara, Makoto Miyata, Kenji Sakota, Tomoyuki Yatsuhashi. (2019). Effects of Ligand and Solvent on the Synthesis of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles from Fe(acac)3 Solution by Femtosecond Laser Irradiation. Chemistry Letters. 49, 75-78. doi:10.1246/cl.190751

1

Effects of Ligand and Solvent on the Synthesis of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles from Fe(acac)₃ Solution by Femtosecond Laser Irradiation

Takuya Okamoto,¹ Takahiro Nakamura,² Yuhei O. Tahara,¹ Makoto Miyata,¹ Kenji Sakota,¹ and Tomoyuki. Yatsuhashi*¹

¹ Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558–8585 Japan

² Institute of Multidisciplinary Research for Advanced Materials, Tohoku University, 2-1-1 Katahira, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-857 Japan

E-mail: tomo@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

54

1 Synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles (Fe-O NPs) from 2 iron(III)acetylacetonate solution by femtosecond laser 3 irradiation is reported. Fe-O NPs and carbon are 4 agglomerated in *n*-hexane, while single-nanometer-sized 5 dispersed Fe-O NPs are obtained in water. We propose that 6 the choice of ligands and solvent determines the primary 7 particle size distribution and dispersion states of NPs as well 8 as carbon contaminants in laser-assisted synthesis using 9 metal complexes as reactants.

10 **Keywords**: Solvent | Metal complex | Size distribution

11 Nanoparticles (NPs) have specific chemical and physical properties that are size-dependent and differ from the 12 properties of their bulk form.¹ Many synthetic methods have 13 14 been proposed, such as chemical synthesis methods like co-15 precipitation,² solvothermal treatment,³ and thermal decomposition.⁴ In the past decade, the syntheses of NPs in 16 liquid by using pulsed lasers have attracted much attention 17 by virtue of their simplicity. Laser-assisted NP syntheses in 18 19 liquid can be classified into three approaches. First, pulsed 20 laser ablation in liquid, in which bulk materials are ablated 21 in an inert solvent, is a representative top-down approach.⁵ 22 Second, bottom-up syntheses of noble metal (Au, Ag, Pt, 23 etc.) NPs from metal ion solution by femtosecond laser irradiation have been reported.⁶ The reactive species (e_{aq} , 24 H, etc.) generated by multiphoton ionization of water 25 molecules⁷ can reduce metal ions followed by the 26 27 aggregation of metal atoms to form metal NPs. However, 28 the reduction of base metal ions (e.g., Fe^{2+}) is difficult 29 because the reduction of such metal ions to metal atoms is 30 an endothermic process.8 It is emphasized that iron oxide nanoparticles (Fe-O NPs) have been regarded as promising 31 materials applicable to bio-imaging,9 drug delivery,1 32 hyperthermia,¹¹ and so on. In order to synthesize Fe-O NPs, 33 34 we can apply a third method: photochemical reaction of a metal complex solution, which is another bottom-up 35 (Fe(C₅H₅)₂),¹²⁻¹⁴ Fe(CO)₅,¹⁵ 36 approach. Ferrocene (Fe(C₅H₇O₂)₂),¹⁶ 37 iron(II)acetylacetonate and iron(III)acetylacetonate (Fe(C₅H₇O₂)₃)¹⁷ have been used as 38 reactants. In most cases, Fe-O NPs were covered with 39 carbon materials.^{12,13,15,16} Recently, we reported the synthesis 40 of carbon shell-free Fe-O NPs, but the particles were larger 41 42 than 20 nm.¹⁴ This made them unsuitable for biocompatible¹⁸ and superparamagnetic¹⁹ Fe-O NPs, which 43 must be smaller than 20 nm for medical applications such as 44 45 hyperthermia.²⁰

In this study, we report the synthesis of singlenanometer-sized Fe-O NPs from iron(III)acetylacetonate
solution by femtosecond laser irradiation. The morphologies,
primary particle size distributions, elemental mappings, and

- 50 crystal structures are compared for Fe-O NPs obtained in
- 51 different solvents such as *n*-hexane and water. The effects of
- 52 ligands and solvents on the carbon products and the size and
- 53 dispersion states of Fe-O NPs are discussed.



Figure 1. TEM images of Fe-O NPs obtained in (a, b) *n*-hexane and (c,
d) water after the 25-min laser irradiation (scale bar: 50 nm; inset, 10
nm). Colloidal solution was dropped onto a TEM grid (a, c)
immediately or (b, d) 10 min after laser irradiation.

60 Iron(III)acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)₃, Nacalai Tesque, 61 \geq 95.0%), *n*-hexane (Nacalai Tesque, \geq 96.0%), and distilled water (Nacalai Tesque) were used without further 62 purification. The concentration of $Fe(acac)_3$ in *n*-hexane or 63 water was 1.0×10⁻³ mol dm⁻³. Femtosecond laser pulses 64 (0.8 µm, 40 fs, 0.4 mJ, 1 kHz) were focused on the 65 Fe(acac)₃ solution in a quartz cuvette with a 1-cm optical 66 67 path length by using a planoconvex lens with a focal length of 50 mm. Details of the laser experiments have been 68 described elsewhere.²¹ The laser irradiation was performed 69 70 under air atmosphere at 296 K. Fe-O NPs were observed by using a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM-1010, 71 72 JEOL) operated at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV. For the 73 preparation of specimens for TEM observations, 10 µL of 74 sample solution was directly dropped onto a copper grid 75 covered with an amorphous carbon film (Nisshin EM) 76 followed by drying in an air at room temperature. The primary particle size distributions of Fe-O NPs were 77 78 analyzed by using image processing software (ImageJ 1.48 79 v) provided by National Institutes of Health. Elemental

1 mapping using an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer 2 (EDS), high-angle annular dark field scanning TEM 3 (HAADF-STEM), and high-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) 4 measurements were performed by using Titan G2 Cubed 5 (FEI) operated at 300 kV. In these measurements, a copper 6 grid covered with amorphous silicon film (Okenshoji) was 7 used as a substrate to avoid carbon contaminants during 8 observation.

9 Figure 1 shows TEM images of Fe-O NPs obtained in 10 *n*-hexane or water after the 25-min laser irradiation. We prepared these specimens by dropping colloidal solution 11 immediately or 10 min after the laser irradiation. In the 12 13 former cases, dispersed Fe-O NPs smaller than 10 nm in 14 diameter were observed regardless of solvent (Figure 1a, c). In the latter cases, the agglomerates of net-like carbons and 15 16 Fe-O NPs were observed for the sample collected from *n*-17 hexane (Figure 1b), whereas dispersed Fe-O NPs (<10 nm) 18 were obtained for the sample collected from water (Figure 19 1d). The pH of water did not change by 25-min femtosecond 20 laser irradiation. These findings indicate that the dispersion 21 state of colloidal solution changes after the laser irradiation 22 and post-laser reaction proceeds, especially when *n*-hexane 23 is used as a solvent. The mean sizes of the Fe-O NPs 24 collected immediately after the 25-min laser irradiation were 25 10.5 ± 3.5 (*n*-hexane) and 4.9 ± 1.9 nm (water), respectively 26 (Figures 2 and S1). Those collected 10-min after the 25-min 27 laser irradiation were 12.9±5.3 (n-hexane) and 4.9±1.7 nm 28 (water), respectively (Figure S1). Figure 2 shows the 29 primary particle size distributions of Fe-O NPs collected immediately after the laser irradiation. The peak of the 30 31 primary particle size distributions of the Fe-O NPs obtained 32 in *n*-hexane gradually increased as the duration of laser 33 irradiation increased. In contrast, the peak of the primary 34 particle size distribution of the Fe-O NPs obtained in water 35 was below 10 nm regardless of the laser irradiation time. 36

(a) 5 mir (b) 5 min 4.1±1.3 nm .3±2.5 nm 100 10 min 10 min .3±1.7 nm .6±3.0 nm Number of particle 100 Number of particle 100 15 mir 15 mir 7±1.8 nm 5±1.6 nm 100 20 min 20 min 100 7 5+3.2 nm 2±1.0 nm 0 100 100 25 min 25 min 4 9±1.9 nm = 10 5+3 5 nm 30 40 50 20 30 20 40 50 Particle size/nm Particle size/nm

37

Figure 2. The primary particle size distributions of Fe-O NPs obtained in (a) *n*-hexane and (b) water. The sample was exposed to femtosecond laser pulses for the duration indicated on the upper right-hand corner of each graph. The sample was collected immediately after the laser irradiation. d_{mean} denotes the mean size. Batch-type experiments were carried out. Each sample contained 300 particles.

Figure 3 shows TEM images and elemental mappings of iron, carbon, and oxygen of the Fe-O NPs collected 10 min after the laser irradiation. We can identify two regions in the elemental mapping images. One is the region where iron overlapped with oxygen but without carbon, and the 50 other is the region where iron, oxygen, and carbon 51 overlapped. The former region, which is indicated by white 52 dotted circles in the bright field images, was found in 53 isolated Fe-O NPs obtained in *n*-hexane and in the highly 54 agglomerated Fe-O NPs obtained in water. The other region 55 in mapping images was shared by iron, carbon, and oxygen. 56 These different regions can be clearly observed by the 57 reconstructed images of iron and carbon distributions and 58 HAADF-STEM images, and by the line scan analyses 59 shown in Figure 4. As clearly shown in Figure 4a (obtained 60 in *n*-hexane), the isolated particles (colored in green) did not 61 contain carbon, whereas agglomerated regions (colored in 62 yellow) were composed of iron and carbon. We conclude 63 that this region is occupied by a mixture of Fe-O NPs and 64 carbon particles. In contrast, the reconstructed image of Fe-65 O NPs obtained in water (Figure 4b) shows that the amount 66 of carbon was negligible. Further, it is obvious that the 67 locations of iron and carbon did not overlap. We conclude 68 that carbon is not incorporated in Fe-O NPs synthesized in 69 water.



Figure 3. TEM images and elemental mappings of Fe-O NPs obtained
in (a) *n*-hexane and (b) water after the 25-min laser irradiation (scale
bar: 100 nm). The sample was collected 10 min after the laser
irradiation.



Figure 4. Elemental mapping of Fe-O NPs obtained in (a) *n*-hexane and (b) water after the 25-min laser irradiation (scale bar: 100 nm). (upper panels) Reconstructed images of iron and carbon distributions, and HAADF-STEM image. (lower panels) Elemental distributions obtained by EDS line scans. The scanned area is indicated by the yellow square frames shown in the upper panels. The sample was collected 10 min after the laser irradiation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Figure 5 shows the HR-TEM images of Fe-O NPs collected 10 min after the 25-min laser irradiation. The interplanar spacings and assignments of crystal planes are shown. The lattice fringe patterns of observed for Fe-O NPs were in good agreement with the calculated values using the unit length of magnetite (Fe₃O₄: 8.3941 Å).²² Based on the results obtained above, we identified most of the spherical Fe-O NPs as magnetite particles.

8 9



11 Figure 5. HR-TEM images of Fe-O NPs obtained in (a-c) n-hexane 12 (scale bar: a, 5 nm; b and c, 1 nm) and (d-f) water after the 25-min laser 13 irradiation (scale bar: d, 10 nm; e and f, 1 nm). Values in brackets denote the zone axes of electron beam incidence.

16 We expect that Fe-O NPs were formed by photochemical reactions as in the case of ferrocene in n-17 hexane solution.¹⁴ Oxidation may occur by the reactive 18 oxygen species formed in water or by dissolved oxygen in 19 *n*-hexane (the concentrations of oxygen in *n*-hexane under 20 air atmosphere is 3.1×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³.)²³ We reported that carbon agglomerates are produced as contaminants 23 originating from ligands in laser-assisted NP synthesis using ferrocene *n*-hexane solution.¹⁴ The decreases in carbon 25 agglomerates in the cases of Fe(acac)₃ compared with 26 similar experiments using ferrocene as a reactant are 27 attributable to the character of the ligands: cyclopentadienyl 28 ligands form carbon agglomerates, whereas acetylacetonate 29 ligands do not. This is understood by the analogy that 30 carbon NPs emerge from aromatics but not from aliphatic hydrocarbons under laser irradiation conditions similar to 31 those used in the present experiments.^{21,24} In water, the 32 33 source of carbon should be liberated ligands; however, the elemental mapping showed that the distributions of iron and 34 35 carbon did not coincide with each other. In n-hexane, we did

36 not observe carbon agglomerates or core-shell structures in 37 Fe-O NPs for the sample collected immediately after the 38 laser irradiation (Figure 1a), whereas net-like carbon 39 agglomerates appeared 10 min after the laser irradiation 40 (Figure 1b). Hu et al. reported that plenty of diamond-like 41 carbons covering iron NPs were formed by femtosecond 42 laser irradiation of an iron plate in *n*-hexane.²⁵ At present, 43 we do not have a definitive conclusion about the appearance of the agglomerates of Fe-O NPs and carbons. We propose 44 45 that carbonization promoted by the catalytic reaction of *n*-46 hexane on the surface of Fe-O NPs might play a role in the 47 formation of net-like carbons, where agglomerated Fe-O 48 NPs are captured.

49 We next consider the effect of ligands on the particle 50 growth process during laser irradiation. The mean size of 51 Fe-O NPs obtained from ferrocene n-hexane solution 52 (1.0×10⁻³ mol dm⁻³) was about 25 nm by the 25-min laser 53 irradiation (Figure S1 in Ref. 14). Here we emphasize that 54 the mean size of Fe-O NPs obtained from Fe(acac)₃ in n-55 hexane was about half that of those obtained in ferrocene nhexane solution even though the experimental conditions 56 (solvent, concentration, laser parameters) were the same. 57 58 Moreover, the mean size of the Fe-O NPs that emerged from 59 $Fe(acac)_3$ became ca. 5 nm by using water as a solvent. We 60 propose that the ligands (acetylacetonate anions) of 61 Fe(acac)₃ protect the particles from aggregation because of strong coordination ability.²⁶ 62 their Even though acetylacetonate anions may not cover the whole particle 63 surface, this effect is important for regulating the size of Fe-64 O NPs. Of course, laser fragmentation in liquid^{5,14,27} might 65 66 play a role in suppressing the particle growth.

67 This study demonstrates that the choice of ligands and 68 solvents strongly affects the morphology, primary particle 69 size distributions, and dispersion state of Fe-O NPs synthesized from iron complexes by femtosecond laser 70 71 irradiation. Fe-O NPs with carbon shell have been obtained 72 by the laser ablation of an iron plate in organic liquid 73 regardless of laser irradiation paramaters,²⁸ and by photochemical reaction of metal complexes in aromatic 74 solvent.^{12,13,15} We suggest that the choice of reactants (metal 75 76 complexes) and solvent (aliphatics) as well as pulse duration 77 (femtosecond) and wavelength (near-infrared) of laser pulse is important to produce carbon shell-free metal NPs.14 78 79 Furthermore, the use of Fe(acac)₃ decreases the mean size of 80 Fe-O NPs down to the single-nanometer level, presumably 81 because acetylacetonates prevent primary particles from 82 growing by coordination. We suggest that metal complexes 83 are not only a source of metal but also play an important 84 role in determining nanoparticle structures in laser-assisted 85 NP synthesis.

87 This work was supported in part by THE AMADA 88 FOUNDATION Grant for Laser Processing Grant Number 89 AF-2017224 for T. Y., JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 90 18J15442 for T. O. This work was performed under the 91 Research Program for Next Generation Young Scientists of 92 "Five-star Alliance" in "NJRC Mater. & Dev" for T. O. and 93 the Cooperative Research Program in "NJRC Mater. & 94 Dev." for T. Y. We thank Mr. Yuichiro Hayasaka for his

86

10

- 1 help with EDS measurements. We thank Mr. Kazuhiko
- 2 Kondo of Thales Japan Inc. for his kind contribution to our 3 laser system.

5 Supporting Information is available on http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.*****. 6

7 **References and Notes**

4

- 8 9 R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1962, 17, 975; M. A. El-Sayed, Acc. 1 Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 257; E. Roduner, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2006, 35, 10 583
- 11 2 T. Q. Bui, S. N.-C. Ton, A. T. Duong, H. T. Tran, J. Sci. Adv. 12 Mater. Devices 2018. 3. 107.
- 13 3 N. Pinna, G. Garnweitner, M. Antonietti, M. Niederberger, J. Am. 14 Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5608.
- 15 4 N. Jović Orsini, B. Babić-Stojić, V. Spasojević, M. P. Calatayud, 16 N. Cvjetićanin, G. F. Goya, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2018, 449, 17 286.
 - 5 D. Zhang, B. Gökce, S. Barcikowski, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 3990; D. Amansa, W. Cai, S. Barcikowski, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2019, 488.445.
- $\begin{array}{c} 18\\19\\20\\223\\24\\226\\27\\29\\31\\333\\35\\37\\38\\940\\42\\43\end{array}$ T. Nakamura, Y. Mochidzuki, S. Sato, J. Mater. Res. 2008, 23, 6 968; T. Nakamura, H. Magara, Y. Herbani, S. Sato, Appl. Phys. A 2011, 104, 1021; T. Nakamura, K. Takasaki, A. Ito, S. Sato, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2009, 255, 9630; N. Nakashima, K. Yamanaka, M. Saeki, H. Ohba, S. Taniguchi, T. Yatsuhashi, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 2016, 319, 70; T. Okamoto, T. Nakamura, K. Sakota, T. Yatsuhashi, Langmuir 2019, 35, 12123.
- 7 S. L. Chin, S. Lagacé, Appl. Opt. 1996, 35, 907.
- 8 N. Nakashima, K. Yamanaka, A. Itoh, T. Yatsuhashi, Chin. J. Phys. 2014, 52, 504.
- J. Gao, H. Gu, B. Xu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1097.
- 10 T. K. Jain, M. A. Morales, S. K. Sahoo, D. L. Leslie-Pelecky, V. Labhasetwar, Mol. Pharm. 2005, 2, 194.
- J.-P. Fortin, C. Wilhelm, J. Servais, C. Ménager, J.-C. Bacri, F. 11 Gazeau, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2628.
- J. B. Park, S. H. Jeong, M. S. Jeong, J. Y. Kim, B. K. Cho, 12 Carbon 2008, 46, 1369.
- 13 M. J. Wesolowski, S. Kuzmin, B. Wales, J. H. Sanderson, W. W. Duley, J. Mater. Sci. 2013, 48, 6212.
- 14 T. Okamoto, T. Nakamura, R. Kihara, T. Asahi, K. Sakota, T. Yatsuhashi, ChemPhysChem 2018, 19, 2480.
- 15 S. Moussa, G. Atkinson, M. S. El-Shall, J. Nanoparticle Res. 2013, 15, 1470.
- 44 45 J. Pola, M. Maryško, V. Vorlíček, S. Bakardjieva, J. Šubrt, Z. 16 Bastl, A. Ouchi, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 2008, 199, 156.
- 46 47 M. Watanabe, H. Takamura, H. Sugai, Nanoscale Res. Lett. 2009, 17 4.565.
- 18 T. K. Jain, M. K. Reddy, M. A. Morales, D. L. Leslie-Pelecky, V Labhasetwar, Mol. Pharm. 2008, 5, 316.
- 48 19 A.-H. Lu, E. L. Salabas, F. Schüth, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46 1222
- 20 S. Sun, H. Zeng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8204.
- 21 T. Hamaguchi, T. Okamoto, K. Mitamura, K. Matsukawa, T. Yatsuhashi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2015, 88, 251.
- M. E. Fleet, Acta Crystallogr. B 1981, 37, 917. 22
- 23 Handbook of Photochemistry, 3rd. Ed., ed. by M. Montalti, A. Credi, L. Priodi, M. T. Gandolfi, CRC Press, NW, 2006.
- 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 24 T. Okamoto, K. Mitamura, T. Hamaguchi, K. Matsukawa, T. Yatsuhashi, ChemPhysChem 2017, 18, 1007; T. Yatsuhashi, N. Uchida, K. Nishikawa, Chem. Lett. 2012, 41, 722.
- A. Hu, J. Sanderson, Y. Zhou, W. W. Duley, Diam. Relat. Mater. 25 2009, 18, 999.
- 61 62 E. K. C. Pradeep, M. Ohtani, T. Kawaharamura, K. Kobiro, 26 Chem. Lett. 2017, 46, 940.
- L. Delfour, T. E. Itina, J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 13893. 27
- 63 64 28 V. Amendola, M. Meneghetti, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2013, 65 15, 3027. 66

