

Global Well-Posedness of the Two-Dimensional Exterior Navier–Stokes Equations for Non-decaying Data

Ken Abe

Citation	Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 227(1); 69–104
Issue Date	2018-01
Type	Journal Article
Textversion	Author
Rights	This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis. The final authenticated version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-017-1157-5
DOI	10.1007/s00205-017-1157-5

Self-Archiving by Author(s)
Placed on: Osaka City University

GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL EXTERIOR NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS FOR NON-DECAYING DATA

KEN ABE

ABSTRACT. We prove global well-posedness of the two-dimensional exterior Navier-Stokes equations for bounded initial data with a finite Dirichlet integral, subject to the non-slip boundary condition. As an application, we construct global solutions for asymptotically constant initial data and arbitrary large Reynolds numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in an exterior domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u &= u_0 & \text{on } \Omega \times \{t = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that the two-dimensional exterior Navier-Stokes equations are globally well-posed for initial data with finite energy [40], [41], [37]. However, global solvability is unknown in general for initial data with infinite energy. An example of infinite energy solutions is a stationary solution with a finite Dirichlet integral, called D -solution [40]. It is known that D -solutions are bounded in Ω and asymptotically constant as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$; see Remark 1.2. In other words, D -solutions are elements of $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}_0^1$, where \dot{H}^1 denotes the homogeneous L^2 -Sobolev space and \dot{H}_0^1 denotes the space of all functions in \dot{H}^1 , vanishing on $\partial\Omega$.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the global solvability of (1.1) for non-decaying initial data $u_0 \in L_\sigma^\infty \cap \dot{H}_0^1$. We set the solenoidal L^∞ -space,

$$L_\sigma^\infty(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^\infty(\Omega) \mid \int_\Omega u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = 0 \text{ for } \varphi \in \hat{W}^{1,1}(\Omega) \right\},$$

by the homogeneous Sobolev space $\hat{W}^{1,1}(\Omega) = \{ \varphi \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega) \mid \nabla \varphi \in L^1(\Omega) \}$. For exterior domains, the space L_σ^∞ agrees with the space of all bounded divergence-free vector fields,

Date: July 16, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q35, 35K90.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations, exterior problem, D -solutions, infinite energy.

whose normal trace is vanishing on $\partial\Omega$ [5]. When Ω is the whole space, the global solvability of (1.1) is established in [28] for merely bounded initial data $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma$. Since the vortex stretching is absent for the two-dimensional vorticity equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega - \Delta \omega &= 0 && \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty), \\ \omega &= \omega_0 && \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{t = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

the global a priori estimate

$$\|\omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad t > 0,$$

holds for $\omega = \partial_1 u^2 - \partial_2 u^1$ by the maximum principle. (One may assume that the initial vorticity is bounded by the regularizing effect on L^∞ [27].) The vorticity estimate plays a crucial role in order to construct global mild solutions on L^∞_σ .

When Ω is a domain with boundaries, vorticity propagation is more involved. The global vorticity estimate may not hold and it is unknown whether the problem (1.1) is globally well-posed on L^∞_σ . For exterior domains, local solvability on L^∞_σ is recently established in [3] (see also [1]).

For initial data with finite energy, there is a global bound for vorticity. Since local solutions exist in $(0, T]$ for some $T > 0$ and satisfies

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq T} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \|u\|_{L^\infty}(t) + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}(t) \right\} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2},$$

by the energy equality,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx ds = \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^2 dx \quad t > 0,$$

local solutions are continued for all $t \geq T$ (see, e.g., [36]). In other words, global solutions exist, provided that initial data is decaying sufficiently fast as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Since local solutions are bounded and with a finite Dirichlet integral for each $t > 0$, one may assume the regularity condition $u_0 \in L^\infty \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ for initial data with finite energy. On the other hand, a finite Dirichlet integral does not imply decay at space infinity. Thus the condition $u_0 \in L^\infty \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ can be viewed as an extension of finite energy.

Our goal is to construct a global mild solution for $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$. As explained later in the introduction, the space $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ includes asymptotically constant vector fields. We prove global solvability of (1.1) for non-decaying initial data $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$, and deduce existence of asymptotically constant solutions for *arbitrary large* Reynolds numbers. To state a result, let $S(t)$ denote the Stokes semigroup. Let \mathbb{P} denote the Helmholtz projection. We construct global mild solutions of the form

$$(1.2) \quad u(t) = S(t)u_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u)(s)ds.$$

Let $C([0, T]; X)$ (resp. $C_w([0, T]; X)$) denote the space of all continuous (resp. weakly continuous) functions from $[0, T]$ to a Banach space X . When $X = L^\infty$, the space $C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$ denotes the space of all weakly-star continuous functions. Since the Stokes semigroup is an analytic semigroup on L^∞_σ [5], the first term is defined for non-decaying initial data $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$. The second term is defined by the Helmholtz projection on L^2 for $u \in C_w([0, \infty); L^\infty)$ satisfying $\nabla u \in C_w([0, \infty); L^2)$. The mild solutions constructed in this paper are sufficiently smooth and satisfy (1.1) in a suitable sense (see Remarks 5.1). The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be an exterior domain with C^3 -boundary in \mathbb{R}^2 . Assume that $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$. Then, there exists a unique mild solution $u \in C_w([0, \infty); L^\infty)$ of (1.1) satisfying $\nabla u \in C_w([0, \infty); L^2)$.*

Remark 1.2. (*D*-solutions) We constructed global solutions of (1.1) in $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$. The space $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ is a natural space for studying non-decaying solutions. So far, various stationary solutions have been constructed in $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$, while the existence of global solutions was unknown for the non-stationary problem (1.1). Stationary solutions of (1.1) were first constructed by Leray [40] based on an approximation as $R \rightarrow \infty$ of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_R + u_R \cdot \nabla u_R + \nabla p_R &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega_R, \\ \operatorname{div} u_R &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega_R, \\ u_R &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u_R &= u_\infty & \text{on } \{|x| = R\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\Omega_R = \Omega \cap \{|x| < R\}$ and the constant $u_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (see also [38, Chapter 5, Theorem 5]). The solutions constructed by the Leray's method are with a finite Dirichlet integral and called Leray's solution. Later on, stationary solutions with a finite Dirichlet integral are constructed also by the Galerkin method in [16, Theorem 3.2] ([18, Theorem X.4.1]). We refer to any stationary solutions of (1.1) with a finite Dirichlet integral as *D*-solution. Note that a finite Dirichlet integral does not imply a global bound as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ (e.g., $u = (\log |x|)^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1/2$). It is proved by Gilbarg and Weinberger [30] ([31]) that Leray's solutions are bounded in Ω and converge to some constant \bar{u}_∞ in the sense that $\int_0^{2\pi} |u(re_r) - \bar{u}_\infty|^2 d\theta \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where (r, θ) is the polar coordinate and $e_r = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. Moreover, every *D*-solutions are bounded and asymptotically constant in the above sense [6, Theorem 12]. Thus, *D*-solutions are elements of $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$.

When the constant u_∞ is sufficiently small ($u_\infty \neq 0$), Finn and Smith [15, Corollary 4.2] constructed unique stationary solutions of (1.1) satisfying $u - u_\infty = O(|x|^{-\varepsilon-1/4})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. The solutions with this decay rate are called *PR*-solution [51, Section 4]. It is known that *PR*-solutions have the faster decay $u - u_\infty = O(|x|^{-1/2})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ [51]. Since the *PR*-solutions are with a finite Dirichlet integral [15, Lemma 5.2], they are element of $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$. See [17], [35] for more information about stationary solutions. Note that for large Reynolds numbers, existence of stationary solutions is a long standing open question. Here, we regard the constant $|u_\infty|$ as the Reynolds number. As stated below in Theorem

1.3, Theorem 1.1 implies existence of global solutions of (1.1) for arbitrary large Reynolds numbers.

From a physical point of view, when the Reynolds number is large, a viscous fluid can vary behind the obstacle periodically or irregularly. It is natural to consider the non-stationary problem (1.1) for studying non-decaying flows of large Reynolds numbers. So far, existence of global solutions of (1.1) was unknown for asymptotically constant initial data. One may construct global solutions of (1.1) for small Reynolds numbers by subtracting a *PR*-solution from u and reducing the problem to decaying initial data with finite energy. We deduce existence of global solutions from Theorem 1.1 without constructing stationary solutions, and obtain asymptotically constant solutions for arbitrary large Reynolds numbers.

To state a result, let BUC denote the space of all bounded uniformly continuous functions in $\bar{\Omega}$. Let BUC_σ denote the space of all solenoidal vector fields in BUC , vanishing on $\partial\Omega$. We consider asymptotically constant initial data $u_0 \in BUC_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ such that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \geq R} |u_0(x) - u_\infty| = 0.$$

For simplicity of the notation, we shall denote by $u_0 \rightarrow u_\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Since the Stokes semigroup $S(t)u_0$ is asymptotically constant as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for such the initial data, sending $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ to (1.2) implies that mild solutions are also asymptotically constant. From Theorem 1.1, we deduce the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $u_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $u_0 \in BUC_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ satisfy $u_0 \rightarrow u_\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, the mild solution $u \in C([0, \infty); BUC)$ satisfies $u \rightarrow u_\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for each $t \geq 0$.*

For the two-dimensional Euler equations, global solvability of the exterior problem is proved in [34] for asymptotically constant initial data. In the paper, global solutions are constructed by Schauder's fixed point theorem for sufficiently smooth and asymptotically constant initial data, satisfying the decay condition of vorticity

$$\int_{\Omega} (1 + |x|^\theta) |\omega_0(x)| dx < \infty, \quad \text{for some } \theta > 0.$$

For the two-dimensional ideal flows, vorticity moves along a stream line and does not increase. Hence by using a stream line, the vorticity can be regarded as a fixed point of some map between a space of bounded functions (see also [33]).

On the other hand, for viscous flows we expect increase of vorticity since there exist boundary layers. As we have seen in the introduction, for decaying initial data sufficiently fast as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, vorticity is globally bounded in L^2 by the energy inequality, and solutions are sufficiently smooth for all $t > 0$ even if new vortices are produced from the boundaries. For slowly decaying or non-decaying initial data, we expect that stronger vorticity is produced from the boundaries. Indeed, for viscous flows of large Reynolds numbers, separations of boundary layers can produce turbulence behind the obstacle Ω^c (depending on shapes of the body Ω^c). See [39] for example. So far, a global vorticity bound was unknown

for such non-decaying flows. We proved that vorticity of non-decaying flows in $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ are globally bounded in L^2 for each $t > 0$ and solutions are sufficiently smooth for all $t > 0$ for arbitrary large Reynolds numbers. Note that Theorem 1.3 holds for exterior domains of class C^3 , independently of shapes of the body Ω^c .

Theorem 1.3 implies existence of global solutions for general viscosities $\nu > 0$ and arbitrary Reynolds numbers $R > 0$. Here, we set the Reynolds number by

$$R = \frac{|u_\infty|}{\nu} \text{diam } \Omega^c.$$

In fact, for solutions (u, p) constructed in Theorem 1.3, we consider the scaling

$$u_\nu(x, t) = \frac{1}{\nu^\alpha} u\left(\frac{x}{\nu^{1+\alpha}}, \frac{t}{\nu^{1+2\alpha}}\right), \quad p_\nu(x, t) = \frac{1}{\nu^{2\alpha}} p\left(\frac{x}{\nu^{1+\alpha}}, \frac{t}{\nu^{1+2\alpha}}\right)$$

with some constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and obtain the global solution (u_ν, p_ν) for $\nu > 0$ in the exterior domain $\Omega_\nu = \nu^{1+\alpha}\Omega$ satisfying $u_\nu \rightarrow \nu^{-\alpha}u_\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. The Reynolds number is a dimensionless parameter, used as an important index for characterizing status of viscous flows. One may observe that for fluid flows of large Reynolds numbers, the viscosities $\nu > 0$ are relatively small. However, such flows may not be simply understood like ideal flows. Indeed, it is known that small viscosities $\nu > 0$ have a significant effect in boundary layers [39]. Theorem 1.3 implies existence of global solutions for small viscosities $\nu > 0$ and large Reynolds numbers $R > 0$.

It is an interesting question whether mild solutions approach stationary solutions as time goes to infinity. When Ω is the whole space, mild solutions for $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1$ approach constants as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since the vorticity satisfies the global estimate

$$\|\omega\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}} \|\omega_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad t > 0,$$

for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ (e.g., [23, Chapter 2]), the Biot-Savart law implies that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C_p \|\omega\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for } 2 < p < \infty.$$

Since stationary solutions of (1.1) with a finite Dirichlet integral in \mathbb{R}^2 must be constants by the Liouville-type theorem [30, Theorem 2], one can rephrase that the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ is a trivial stationary solution.

When Ω is a half space, global solvability of (1.1) is unknown for non-decaying initial data; see [54], [43], [7], [3] for local solvability results. The statement of Theorem 1.1 is valid also for a half space and global mild solutions exist for $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. (For a half space, the condition $u \in \dot{H}_0^1$ implies a decay as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. See Remarks 6.4 (ii).) It is unknown whether the corresponding Liouville-type theorem holds for a half space since vorticity does not vanish on the boundary. We refer to [49], [26] for Liouville-type theorems in a half space. Note that for exterior domains, Leray's solutions are indeed non-trivial

[6, Theorem 29].

Let us sketch the proof of Theorem 1.1. We construct global mild solutions based on the Stokes semigroup on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} |f|_{\dot{H}^1} &= \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}, \\ \|f\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} &= \|f\|_{L^\infty} + |f|_{\dot{H}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

The space $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}$. Note that the norm is homogeneous by the scaling, i.e., $\|f_\lambda\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} = \lambda\|f\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}$ for $f_\lambda(x) = \lambda f(\lambda x)$, $\lambda > 0$. We first prove the a priori estimate of the Stokes flow

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_{0 < t \leq T_0} \left\{ \|S(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + t\|AS(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} \right\} \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}$$

for $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1_0$ and $T_0 > 0$. Here, A denotes the Stokes operator. The estimate (1.3) implies that the Stokes semigroup is an analytic semigroup on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1_0$. When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, the Stokes semigroup agrees with the heat semigroup and the estimate (1.3) holds; see Remarks 2.6 (i). We prove (1.3) for two-dimensional exterior domains by using fractional powers of the Stokes operator on L^2 and an approximation for $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1_0$. The estimate (1.3) implies the regularity properties

$$(1.4) \quad v, t^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla v \in C_w([0, T_0]; L^\infty), \quad \nabla v \in C_w([0, T_0]; L^2),$$

for the Stokes flow $v = S(t)u_0$ and $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1_0$.

The second step of the proof is to construct local-in-time solutions of (1.1). In this paper, we study local solvability of the Navier-Stokes system in a general form

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \Delta w + w \cdot \nabla w + v \cdot \nabla w + \nabla \pi &= -w \cdot \nabla v - v \cdot \nabla v & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_0), \\ \operatorname{div} w &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_0), \\ w &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T_0), \\ w &= w_0 & \text{on } \Omega \times \{t = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the system (1.5) agrees with the original system (1.1) for $v \equiv 0$. Moreover, for the Stokes flow $v = S(t)u_0$ and the solution u of (1.1), the difference $w = u - v$ satisfies the system (1.5) for $w_0 \equiv 0$. We establish a local solvability of (1.5) on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ for a solenoidal vector field v satisfying (1.4) and deduce the existence of the local solution u of (1.1).

A crucial step is to extend the local solution u of (1.1) by a global energy estimate. We apply a global energy estimate of the perturbed system (1.5) for $w_0 \equiv 0$ of the form

$$\int_{\Omega} |w|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx ds \leq \frac{1}{2}(e^{2N^2 t} - 1) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0,$$

$$N = \sup_{0 < t \leq T_0} \{ \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \}.$$

Since the global energy is bounded for the two-dimensional system (1.5), local solutions of (1.5) for $w_0 \equiv 0$ are globally bounded on $L^\infty \cap H^1$. We prove a global bound on $L^\infty \cap H^1$ by using an L^p -blow-up estimate of the system (1.5). Since $w = u - v$ solves the perturbed system (1.5) for $w_0 \equiv 0$ and $v = S(t)u_0$, we deduce that $u - v$ is globally bounded on $L^\infty \cap H^1$ and the local solution u is continued for all $t > 0$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove that the Stokes semigroup is an analytic semigroup on $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1_0$. In Section 3, we establish local solvability of the system (1.5) on $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1_0$. In Section 4, we prove that mild solutions of (1.5) for $w_0 \equiv 0$ are globally bounded on $L^\infty \cap H^1$. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 6, we study asymptotic behavior of the Stokes flow as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and prove Theorem 1.3. In Appendix A, we prove local solvability of (1.5) on L^p for $p > 2$ and deduce a blow-up estimate used in Section 4. In Appendix B, we note L^p -estimates of a fractional power of the Stokes operator in a half space, related to Remarks 2.6 (ii).

After the first draft of this paper is written, the author learned the papers [48], [55] on large time L^∞ -estimates of bounded solutions in \mathbb{R}^2 . Although vorticity of the Cauchy problem is uniformly bounded for all $t > 0$, a uniform L^∞ -estimate for velocity is unknown. In [28], Giga et al. gave a double exponential bound for the sup-norm of velocity by using a logarithmic Gronwall's inequality. Their estimate is later improved by Sawada-Taniuchi [48] to a single exponential bound by some approximation argument. More recently, the result is further improved by Zelik [55] to a linear growth estimate by using a uniform local energy. We refer to a lecture note of Gallay [19] for this uniform local energy estimate. For periodic initial data in one space direction, a uniform L^∞ -estimate is proved by Gallay-Slijepčević [21] (see also [20]).

The author learned a recent result of Maremonti-Shimizu [44]. In the paper, global solutions in an exterior domain are constructed for merely bounded initial data by a perturbation from \mathbb{R}^2 . See [3] for the local solvability result on L^∞_σ . For exterior domains solutions near the boundary may be understood as finite energy because of the compact boundary. On the other hand, for a half space global existence of non-decaying solutions is unknown. Theorem 1.1 implies existence of global solutions at least for slowly decaying data $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$. We refer to a recent paper [32] on stationary solutions in a half space.

2. THE STOKES SEMIGROUP ON $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$

In this section, we prove that the Stokes semigroup is an analytic semigroup on $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1_0$. We first prove the a priori estimate of the Stokes flow (1.3) for compactly supported initial

data by using fractional powers of the Stokes operator on L^2 , and then extend initial data by an approximation by using the Bogovskii operator. After the proof of Theorem 2.1, we remark on higher dimensional cases (Remarks 2.6).

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be an exterior domain with C^3 -boundary in \mathbb{R}^2 . For $T_0 > 0$, there exists a constant C such that the estimate*

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{0 < t \leq T_0} \left\{ \|S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + t \|AS(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} \right\} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}$$

holds for $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$. In particular, the Stokes semigroup forms a (not strongly continuous) analytic semigroup on $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$.

In the sequel, we use the following regularity properties of the Stokes flow deduced from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *For $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$, the Stokes flow $v = S(t)v_0$ satisfies*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{0 < t \leq T_0} \left\{ \|S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} \right\} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1},$$

$$(2.3) \quad v, t^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla v \in C_w([0, T_0]; L^\infty), \quad \nabla v \in C_w([0, T_0]; L^2).$$

Proof. The estimate (2.2) follows from (2.1) and the L^∞ -estimate of the Stokes semigroup [5]. Since $v = S(t)v_0$ converges to v_0 weakly-star on L^∞ as $t \rightarrow 0$, the function $t^{1/2} \nabla v$ converges to zero weakly-star on L^∞ . Moreover, ∇v converges to ∇v_0 weakly on L^2 by (2.2). \square

Let $H_{0,\sigma}^1$ denote the H^1 -closure of $C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$, the space of all smooth solenoidal vector fields with compact support in Ω . In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we prepare a characterization of the space $H_{0,\sigma}^1$ and an approximation for $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ by compactly supported functions.

Proposition 2.3. *Let Ω be an exterior domain with Lipschitz boundary in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. Then, the space $H_{0,\sigma}^1$ agrees with the space of all solenoidal vector fields in H_0^1 , where H_0^1 denotes the space of all functions in H^1 , vanishing on $\partial\Omega$.*

Lemma 2.4 (Approximation). *Let Ω be an exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . There exists a constant C such that for $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ there exists a sequence $\{v_{0,m}\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ with compact support in $\bar{\Omega}$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v_{0,m}\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} &\leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}, \\ v_{0,m} &\rightarrow v_0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We shall give proofs for Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 later and first complete:

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since the estimate

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_{0 < t \leq T_0} \left\{ \|S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} + t\|AS(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} \right\} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^\infty}$$

holds for $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ [5], it suffices to show

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{t > 0} \left\{ |S(t)v_0|_{\dot{H}^1} + t|AS(t)v_0|_{\dot{H}^1} \right\} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}.$$

We begin with $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap H_0^1$ with compact support in $\bar{\Omega}$. Since the Stokes operator A is a positive self-adjoint operator on L^2 , we are able to define the fractional power $A^{1/2}$ by the spectral representation and we have

$$|u|_{\dot{H}^1} = \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for } u \in D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

and $D(A^{1/2}) = H_{0,\sigma}^1$ [52, Lemma 2.2.1]. Since v_0 is supported in $\bar{\Omega}$ and vanishing on $\partial\Omega$, the initial data v_0 is an element of $H_{0,\sigma}^1$ by Proposition 2.3. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |S(t)v_0|_{\dot{H}^1} &= \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}S(t)v_0\|_{L^2} = \|S(t)A^{\frac{1}{2}}v_0\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v_0\|_{L^2} = |v_0|_{\dot{H}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by the analyticity of the Stokes semigroup on L^2 [52, IV 1.5], we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |AS(t)v_0|_{\dot{H}^1} &= \|A^{\frac{3}{2}}S(t)v_0\|_{L^2} = \|AS(t)A^{\frac{1}{2}}v_0\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v_0\|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{t}|v_0|_{\dot{H}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.6) holds.

For general $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$, we apply Lemma 2.4 and take a sequence $\{v_{0,m}\}$ with compact support in $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfying (2.4). By applying (2.6) for $v_m = S(t)v_{0,m}$, we have

$$\sup_{t > 0} \left\{ |v_m|_{\dot{H}^1} + t|Av_m|_{\dot{H}^1} \right\} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}.$$

Since $v_{0,m} \rightarrow v_0$ a.e. in Ω , by choosing a subsequence, v_m converges to $v = S(t)v_0$ locally uniformly in $\bar{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)$ [5]. Thus the estimate (2.6) holds for $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$. \square

In the sequel, we prove Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 by using the Bogovskii operator [8] ([18, Theorem III 3.1]). Let $L_{av}^p(D)$ denote the space of all average zero functions in $L^p(D)$, i.e., $\int_D f dx = 0$. Let $W_0^{1,p}(D)$ denote the space of all functions in $W^{1,p}(D)$ vanishing on ∂D for $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let D be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. There exists a bounded operator $B : L_{av}^p(D) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(D)$ such that $u = B[g]$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} u = g$ in D , $u = 0$ on ∂D and*

$$(2.7) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(D)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^p(D)}.$$

The constant $C = C_D$ is independent of dilation of D , i.e., $C_D = C_{\lambda D}$ for $\lambda > 0$.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. We reduce the problem to the cases of a bounded domain and the whole space by a cut-off function argument. We may assume $0 \in \Omega^c$ by translation. Let $\theta \in C_c^\infty[0, \infty)$ be a cut-off function such that $\theta \equiv 1$ in $[0, 1]$, $\theta \equiv 0$ in $[2, \infty)$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. We set $\theta_R(x) = \theta(|x|/R)$ so that $\theta_R \equiv 1$ in $B_0(R)$ and $\theta_R \equiv 0$ in $\overline{B_0(2R)^c}$. Here, $B_0(R)$ denotes the open ball centered at the origin with radius R . We fix $R \geq 1$ sufficiently large so that $\Omega^c \subset B_0(R)$. For $v_0 \in H_0^1$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ in Ω , we shall construct a sequence $\{v_{0,m}\} \subset C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$ such that $v_{0,m} \rightarrow v_0$ in H^1 . Let $B = B_{D_R}$ be the Bogovskiï operator on $D_R = \{x \in \Omega \mid R < |x| < 2R\}$. We decompose v_0 into two terms by setting

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= v_0 \theta_R - B[g_R], \\ v_2 &= v_0(1 - \theta_R) + B[g_R], \end{aligned}$$

for $g_R = v_0 \cdot \nabla \theta_R$. Since the average of g_R is zero in D_R by $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ in Ω and $v_0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, v_1 and v_2 are defined by the Bogovskiï operator on D_R . Observe that $v_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_1)$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} v_1 = 0$ in $\Omega_1 = \Omega \cap \{|x| < 2R\}$, and $v_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} v_2 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , $\operatorname{spt} v_2 \subset \{|x| \geq R\}$. Since the assertion of Proposition 2.3 is valid for bounded domains and the whole space [52, II. 2.2.3 Lemma and II. 2.5.5 Lemma], there exist sequences $\{v_{1,m}\} \subset C_{c,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega_1)$ and $\{v_{2,m}\} \subset C_{c,\sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{1,m} &\rightarrow v_1 \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega_1), \\ v_{2,m} &\rightarrow v_2 \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

We identify $v_{1,m}$ as an element of $C_{c,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$ by the zero extension to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}$. Since v_2 is supported in $\{|x| \geq R\}$, we may assume that $v_{2,m}$ is supported in Ω . Since $v_0 = v_1 + v_2$, we obtain the desired sequence $v_{0,m} = v_{1,m} + v_{2,m}$ in $C_{c,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$. \square

It remains to show Lemma 2.4. Since the \dot{H}^1 -semi-norm is invariant under the scaling $f_\lambda(x) = f(\lambda x)$, $\lambda > 0$, for the two-dimensional space, the approximation (2.4) holds with the $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ -norm (see Remarks 2.6 (iv) for $n \geq 3$).

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let θ be a cut-off function used in the proof of Proposition 2.3. We set $\theta_m(x) = \theta(|x|/m)$ so that $\theta_m \equiv 1$ in $B_0(m)$ and $\theta_m \equiv 0$ in $\overline{B_0(2m)^c}$, and take $m \geq 1$ sufficiently large so that $\Omega^c \subset B_0(m)$. For $v_0 \in L_\sigma^\infty \cap \dot{H}_0^1$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} v_{0,m} &= v_0 \theta_m - u_m, \\ u_m &= B_{D_m}[g_m] \quad \text{for } g_m = v_0 \cdot \nabla \theta_m, \end{aligned}$$

by the Bogovskiĭ operator B_{D_m} on $D_m = \{x \in \Omega \mid m < |x| < 2m\}$. We identify u_m and its zero extension to $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{D_m}$. We observe that $v_{0,m}$ is with compact support in $\overline{\Omega}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{div} v_{0,m} = 0$ in Ω , $v_{0,m} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Since $v_{0,m} \rightarrow v_0$ a.e. in Ω as $m \rightarrow \infty$, it suffices to show

$$\|v_{0,m}\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}.$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_0 \theta_m\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \|\nabla(v_0 \theta_m)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^2} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \theta_m\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the \dot{H}^1 -semi-norm is invariant for $\theta_m(x) = \theta(|x|/m)$, i.e.,

$$\|\nabla \theta_m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

we have

$$\|v_0 \theta_m\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1},$$

with some constant C , independent of $m \geq 1$. We shall show

$$(2.8) \quad \|u_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

The desired estimate for $v_{0,m}$ follows from (2.8). We estimate the cut-off function θ_m and observe that

$$\|g_m\|_{L^p(D_m)} \leq \frac{C_1}{m^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

holds for $p \in [1, \infty]$. We apply (2.7) to estimate

$$(2.9) \quad \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^p(D_m)} \leq C_2 \|g_m\|_{L^p(D_m)}.$$

Since the constant in (2.7) is invariant under the dilation, the constant C_2 is independent of m . We take $p = 2$ and obtain a uniform estimate for ∇u_m in L^2 . It remains to show the

L^∞ -estimate for u_m . Since u_m vanishes on ∂D_m , we apply the Poincaré inequality [14] to estimate

$$\|u_m\|_{L^p(D_m)} \leq mC_3 \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^p(D_m)}.$$

with the constant C_3 , independent of m . The above estimate is obtained by applying the inequality in D_1 and rescaling. We apply the Sobolev inequality [42, Lemma 3.1.4] for $p > 2$ to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_m\|_{L^\infty(D_m)} &\leq C_4 \|u_m\|_{L^p(D_m)}^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^p(D_m)}^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &\leq C_4 (mC_3)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^p(D_m)} \\ &\leq C_4 C_3^{1-\frac{2}{p}} C_2 C_1 \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the constants $C_1 - C_4$ are independent of m , we obtain the estimate (2.8). The proof is now complete. \square

Remarks 2.6. (i) When Ω is the whole space, the heat semigroup forms a bounded analytic semigroup on $L^\infty \cap \dot{W}^{1,n}$, where $\dot{W}^{1,n}$ denotes the homogeneous L^n -Sobolev space. We set

$$\begin{aligned} |f|_{\dot{W}^{1,n}} &= \|\nabla f\|_{L^n}, \\ \|f\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{W}^{1,n}} &= \|f\|_{L^\infty} + |f|_{\dot{W}^{1,n}}. \end{aligned}$$

The space $L^\infty \cap \dot{W}^{1,n}$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{W}^{1,n}}$. Since spatial derivatives commute with the semigroup, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{t\Delta} v_0|_{\dot{W}^{1,n}} &= \|e^{t\Delta} \nabla v_0\|_{L^n} \leq C \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^n}, \\ |\Delta e^{t\Delta} v_0|_{\dot{W}^{1,n}} &= \|\Delta e^{t\Delta} \nabla v_0\|_{L^n} \leq \frac{C'}{t} \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^n}, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the heat semigroup is a C_0 -semigroup on L^n , it is strongly continuous for $v_0 \in L^\infty \cap \dot{W}^{1,n}$, i.e., $\nabla e^{t\Delta} v_0 \rightarrow \nabla v_0$ in L^n as $t \rightarrow 0$.

(ii) When Ω is a half space, the Stokes semigroup is a bounded analytic semigroup on $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{W}_0^{1,n}$, where $\dot{W}_0^{1,n}$ denotes the space of all functions in $\dot{W}^{1,n}$, vanishing on the boundary. We estimate the $\dot{W}^{1,n}$ -semi-norm by the estimates of the fractional power

$$(2.10) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^n} \leq C_1 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^n},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^n} \leq C_2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^n},$$

for $u \in D(A^{1/2})$ and $D(A^{1/2}) = W_{0,\sigma}^{1,n}$, where $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,n}$ denotes the $W^{1,n}$ -closure of $C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$. The

estimate (2.10) is proved in [9, Theorem 3.6 (ii)] for general L^p -norms. The estimate (2.11) follows from a duality; see Appendix B. Applying (2.10) and (2.11) together with the analyticity of $S(t)$ on L^n implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |S(t)v_0|_{\dot{W}^{1,n}} &= \|\nabla S(t)v_0\|_{L^n} \leq C_1 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}S(t)v_0\|_{L^n} \\ &\leq C'_1 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v_0\|_{L^n} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^n}, \\ |AS(t)v_0|_{\dot{W}^{1,n}} &\leq \frac{C'}{t} \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^n}, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $v_0 \in D(A^{1/2})$. Since we are able to approximate $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{W}_0^{1,n}$ by elements of $D(A^{1/2})$ by a pointwise convergence as remarked below in (iv), we have

$$|S(t)v_0|_{\dot{W}^{1,n}} + t|AS(t)v_0|_{\dot{W}^{1,n}} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{W}^{1,n}}, \quad t > 0$$

for $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{W}_0^{1,n}$. Since the Stokes semigroup is a bounded analytic semigroup on L^∞_σ [13], [54], it forms a bounded analytic semigroup on $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{W}_0^{1,n}$ for $n \geq 2$.

(iii) When Ω is bounded, $S(t)$ is a bounded analytic semigroup on L^∞_σ [4]. Moreover, the estimates (2.10) and (2.11) hold (see Remark B.2). Thus $S(t)$ is regarded as a bounded analytic semigroup on $L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{W}_0^{1,n}$. When Ω is an exterior domain, the estimate (2.10) does not hold for $n \geq 3$ [10, Theorem 1.1 (ii)] (see also [29, Theorem B], [11, Theorem 4.4].) When $n = 2$, L^2 -theory is available and we obtained (2.1).

(iv) The assertion of Lemma 2.4 is extendable for higher dimensional cases for $n \geq 3$. Since the $\dot{W}^{1,n}$ -semi-norm is invariant under the scaling $f_\lambda(x) = f(\lambda x)$ for $\lambda > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by the same way as we proved Lemma 2.4, for $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{W}_0^{1,n}$ we are able to construct a sequence $\{v_{0,m}\} \subset L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{W}_0^{1,n}$ with compact support in $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfying

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v_{0,m}\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{W}^{1,n}} &\leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{W}^{1,n}}, \\ v_{0,m} &\rightarrow v_0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

with some constant C . By a similar cut-off function argument, we are able to construct a sequence satisfying (2.12) also for a half space $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $n \geq 2$.

3. LOCAL SOLVABILITY OF THE PERTURBED SYSTEM

In this section, we prove local solvability of the perturbed system (1.5) for non-decaying initial data in $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ (Theorem 3.1). The local solvability on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ is used in the next section in order to construct global solutions for $w_0 = 0$. We apply regularizing estimates of the Stokes semigroup proved in the previous section and establish the solvability on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ by an iterative argument.

We consider the perturbed system of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad & \partial_t w - \Delta w + w \cdot \nabla w + v \cdot \nabla w + \nabla \pi = -w \cdot \nabla v - v \cdot \nabla w & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_0), \\
& \operatorname{div} w = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_0), \\
& w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T_0), \\
& w = w_0 & \text{on } \Omega \times \{t = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We assume that the perturbation v is a solenoidal vector field in Ω , vanishing on the boundary, i.e., $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ in Ω and $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, and satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad v, t^{1/2} \nabla v \in C_w([0, T_0]; L^\infty), \quad \nabla v \in C_w([0, T_0]; L^2).$$

Our goal is to prove local existence of mild solutions of the form

$$w(t) = S(t)w_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s) \mathbb{P}(\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}) ds, \quad \tilde{w} = w + v.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let v be a solenoidal vector field in Ω satisfying (3.2). Set*

$$N = \sup_{0 < t \leq T_0} \left\{ \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}(t) + t^{1/2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}(t) \right\}.$$

There exists a constant ε such that for $w_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1_0$, there exists $T \geq \varepsilon K^{-2}$ for $K = \|w_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + N$, and a unique mild solution $w \in C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$ of (3.1) satisfying $t^{1/2} \nabla w \in C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$ and $\nabla w \in C_w([0, T]; L^2)$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we recall L^∞ -estimates of the Stokes semigroup.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $T_0 > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There exist constants $C_1 - C_4$ such that the estimates*

$$(3.3) \quad \|S(t)w_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + t^{1/2} \|\nabla S(t)w_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 \|w_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1},$$

$$(3.4) \quad t^{1/2} \|\partial_x^k S(t) \mathbb{P}f\|_{L^2} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{L^2},$$

$$(3.5) \quad t^{1/2} \|\partial_x^k S(t) \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} F\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_3 \|F\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\alpha} \|F\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^\alpha,$$

$$(3.6) \quad t^{1/2} \|S(t) \mathbb{P}f\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} \leq C_4 \|f\|_{L^2},$$

hold for $w_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}^1_0$, $f \in L^2$, $F \in W_0^{1,\infty}$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} F \in L^2$, $|k| \leq 2$, and $0 < t \leq T_0$.

Proof. The estimate (3.3) follows from Corollary 2.2. Since the Stokes semigroup $S(t)$ is an analytic semigroup on L^∞_σ , the estimate (3.4) holds [52, IV.1.5]. The estimate (3.5) is proved in [2, Theorem 1.1] for $F \in C_c^\infty$. It is proved in [3, Theorem 2.2] that the composition operator $S(t) \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}$ is uniquely extendable to a bounded operator $\overline{S}(t) \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}$ from $W_0^{1,\infty}$ to L^∞_σ

together with (3.5). Since $\overline{S(t)\mathbb{P}\operatorname{div}F}$ agrees with $S(t)\mathbb{P}\operatorname{div}F$ for $F \in W_0^{1,\infty}$ satisfying $\operatorname{div}F \in L^2$, we have (3.5).

It remains to show (3.6). Since $t^{1/2}\|\nabla S(t)\mathbb{P}f\|_2 \leq C\|f\|_2$ by (3.4), it suffices to show that

$$(3.7) \quad \|S(t)\mathbb{P}f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1/2}}\|f\|_{L^2}.$$

We estimate $v = S(t)\mathbb{P}f$ by interpolation. By the Sobolev inequality [42, Lemma 3.1.4], we have

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|v\|_{L^4}^{1/2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^4}^{1/2}.$$

We estimate the L^4 -norm by the H^1 -norm. We invoke the Sobolev inequality

$$(3.8) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^4} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^{1/2}\|\varphi\|_{H^1}^{1/2}$$

for $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$. The estimate (3.8) is known for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ (e.g., [38, Chapter 1, Lemma 2]) and extendable for the exterior domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by a suitable extension of $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. We apply (3.8) for $\varphi = v$ and ∇v . By L^2 -estimates of the Stokes semigroup (3.4) for $|k| \leq 2$, we obtain (3.7). The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We set a sequence $\{w_j\}$ by

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} w_{j+1} &= S(t)w_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_j ds, & \tilde{w}_j &= w_j + v, \\ w_1 &= S(t)w_0, \end{aligned}$$

and the constants

$$\begin{aligned} K_j &= \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \left\{ \|w_j\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}(t) + t^{1/2}\|\nabla w_j\|_{L^\infty}(t) \right\}, \\ \tilde{K}_j &= \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \left\{ \|\tilde{w}_j\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}(t) + t^{1/2}\|\nabla \tilde{w}_j\|_{L^\infty}(t) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $T \leq 1$. We first show that

$$(3.10) \quad K_j \leq CK \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

for $T \leq \varepsilon_0 K^{-2}$ with some constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $K = \|w_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + N$. We apply (3.3) to estimate

$$\|w_{j+1}\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} \leq C_1\|w_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + \int_0^t \|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_j\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} ds.$$

We set $\tilde{F}_j = \tilde{w}_j \tilde{w}_j$. Since $\operatorname{div} \tilde{F}_j = \tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_j$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{F}_j\|_\infty &\leq \tilde{K}_j^2, \\ \|\nabla \tilde{F}_j\|_\infty &\leq \frac{2}{s^{1/2}} \tilde{K}_j^2, \\ \|\operatorname{div} \tilde{F}_j\|_2 &\leq \tilde{K}_j^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $s \leq 1$ for $t \leq T$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{F}_j\|_\infty^{1-\alpha} \|\tilde{F}_j\|_{1,\infty}^\alpha &\leq \tilde{K}_j^{2(1-\alpha)} \left(\tilde{K}_j^2 + \frac{2}{s^{1/2}} \tilde{K}_j^2 \right)^\alpha \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{s^{1/2}} \right)^\alpha \tilde{K}_j^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3^\alpha}{s^{3/2}} \tilde{K}_j^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $\|\tilde{F}_j\|_{1,\infty} = \|\tilde{F}_j\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$. We apply (3.5) and estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\operatorname{div} \tilde{F}_j\|_\infty ds &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C_3}{(t-s)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}} \|\tilde{F}_j\|_\infty^{1-\alpha} \|\tilde{F}_j\|_{1,\infty}^\alpha ds \\ &\leq 3^\alpha C_3 \tilde{K}_j^2 \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(t-s)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \\ &= 3^\alpha C_3 M_1 \tilde{K}_j^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_l = \int_0^1 \frac{d\eta}{(1-\eta)^{\frac{l-\alpha}{2}} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2.$$

By (3.4) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\operatorname{div} \tilde{F}_j\|_2 ds &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C_2}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\operatorname{div} \tilde{F}_j\|_2 ds \\ &\leq 2C_2 \tilde{K}_j^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\|w_{j+1}\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} \leq C_1 \|w_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + (3^\alpha C_3 M_1 + 2C_2) \tilde{K}_j^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly, applying (3.3) and (3.5) implies

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w_{j+1}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 \|w_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} + 3^\alpha C_3 M_2 \tilde{K}_j^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By combining the above estimates and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_j &\leq K_j + N \\ &\leq K_j + K, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$K_{j+1} \leq C_5 K + C_6 (K_j + K)^2 T^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with the constants

$$\begin{aligned} C_5 &= 2C_1, \\ C_6 &= 2^\alpha C_3 (M_1 + M_2) + 2C_2. \end{aligned}$$

We take $T \leq \varepsilon_0 K^{-2}$ for

$$\varepsilon_0 = \left(\frac{C_5}{C_6 (2C_5 + 1)^2} \right)^2,$$

and obtain (3.10) for $C = 2C_5$. Since $S(t)w_0$ is weakly-star continuous on L^∞ at time zero by Corollary 2.2, the sequence $\{w_j\}$ is uniformly bounded in $C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$. Moreover, the sequence w_j satisfies $t^{1/2} \nabla w_j \in C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$ and $\nabla w_j \in C_w([0, T]; L^2)$.

We show that $\{w_j\}$ converges to a limit $w \in C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_j &= w_j - w_{j-1}, \\ L_j &= \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \left\{ \|\rho_j\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}(t) + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \rho_j\|_{L^\infty}(t) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_j - \tilde{w}_{j-1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_{j-1} &= \tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla (\tilde{w}_j - \tilde{w}_{j-1}) + \tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_{j-1} - (\tilde{w}_{j-1} - \tilde{w}_j) \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_{j-1} - \tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_{j-1} \\ &= \tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \rho_j + \rho_j \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_{j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we estimate

$$\rho_{j+1} = - \int_0^t S(t-s) \mathbb{P}(\tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \rho_j + \rho_j \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_{j-1}) ds.$$

We set $F_1 = \tilde{w}_j \rho_j$. Since $\operatorname{div} F_1 = \tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \rho_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1\|_\infty &\leq \tilde{K}_j L_j, \\ \|\nabla F_1\|_\infty &\leq \frac{2}{s^{1/2}} \tilde{K}_j L_j, \\ \|\operatorname{div} F_1\|_2 &\leq \tilde{K}_j L_j. \end{aligned}$$

Since $s \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1\|_\infty^{1-\alpha} \|F_1\|_{1,\infty}^\alpha &\leq (\tilde{K}_j L_j)^{1-\alpha} \left(\tilde{K}_j L_j + \frac{2}{s^{1/2}} \tilde{K}_j L_j \right)^\alpha \\ &\leq \frac{3^\alpha}{s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \tilde{K}_j L_j. \end{aligned}$$

We apply (3.5) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|S(t-s) \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} F_1\|_\infty ds &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C_3}{(t-s)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}} \|F_1\|_\infty^{1-\alpha} \|F_1\|_{1,\infty}^\alpha ds \\ &\leq 3^\alpha C_3 \tilde{K}_j L_j \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(t-s)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \\ &= 3^\alpha C_3 M_1 \tilde{K}_j L_j t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, applying (3.5) and (3.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|\nabla S(t-s) \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} F_1\|_\infty ds &\leq 3^\alpha C_3 M_2 \tilde{K}_j L_j, \\ \int_0^t \|\nabla S(t-s) \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} F_1\|_2 ds &\leq 2C_2 \tilde{K}_j L_j t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \|S(t-s) \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} F_1\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} ds + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t \|\nabla S(t-s) \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} F_1\|_{L^\infty} ds \\ &\leq (3^\alpha C_3 (M_1 + M_2) + 2C_2) \tilde{K}_j L_j t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar way, we set $F_2 = \rho_j \tilde{w}_{j-1}$ and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\operatorname{div} F_2\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1} ds + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t \|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\operatorname{div} F_2\|_2 ds \\ & \leq (3^\alpha C_3(M_1 + M_2) + 2C_2)\tilde{K}_{j-1}L_j t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining the above estimates for F_1 and F_2 , we obtain

$$L_{j+1} \leq C_7(\tilde{K}_j + \tilde{K}_{j-1})L_j T^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with the constant $C_7 = 3^\alpha C_3(M_1 + M_2) + 2C_2$. Since the estimate (3.10) holds for $C = 2C_5$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_j & \leq K_j + N \\ & \leq 2C_5 K + N \\ & \leq (2C_5 + 1)K. \end{aligned}$$

We take $T \leq \varepsilon_1 K^{-2}$ for

$$\varepsilon_1 = \left(\frac{1}{4C_7(2C_5 + 1)} \right)^2,$$

so that

$$L_{j+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}L_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

It follows that

$$L_{j+1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j L_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, w_j converges to a limit $w \in C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$ uniformly in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ and the limit w satisfies $t^{1/2}\nabla w \in C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$ and $\nabla w \in C_w([0, T]; L^2)$. By sending $j \rightarrow \infty$ to (3.9), the limit w satisfies the integral equation. It is not difficult to show the uniqueness by estimating difference of two mild solutions. We proved the existence of mild solution for $T > 0$ satisfying $T \leq \varepsilon_2 K^{-2}$ for $\varepsilon = \min\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1\}$. Thus the existence time is estimated from below by $T \geq \varepsilon K^{-2}$ for

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2}.$$

The proof is now complete. □

4. GLOBAL SOLUTIONS OF THE PERTURBED SYSTEM

The goal of this section is to construct global solutions of the perturbed system (3.1) for $w_0 = 0$ (Theorem 4.5). We first show that mild solutions on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ satisfy the system (3.1) in a suitable sense and a global energy estimate holds. In the subsequent section, we prove that mild solutions are globally bounded on L^∞ by using an L^p -blow-up estimate. We then estimate a global H^1 -norm of mild solutions by using an integral form.

4.1. Energy estimates. We first show that the mild solution for $w_0 = 0$ satisfies (3.1) on L^2 .

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $w_0 = 0$. Then, the mild solution w of (3.1) satisfies*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} w &\in C([0, T]; L^p), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \\ \nabla w &\in C([0, T]; L^q), \quad 2 \leq q < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$(4.2) \quad \partial_t w, \nabla^2 w \in L^s(0, T; L^2), \quad s \in (1, \infty),$$

and w satisfies (3.1) on L^2 for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$ with the associated pressure π .

In order to investigate regularity properties of mild solutions, we prepare an L^p -estimate of the Stokes semigroup.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a constant C such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \|\partial_x^k S(t) \mathbb{P}f\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{|k|+1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}} \|\mathbb{P}f\|_{L^2}$$

holds for $f \in L^2$, $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, $|k| \leq 1$ and $0 < t \leq T_0$.

Proof. It suffices to show the cases $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$. Then, the desired estimates follow from interpolation. When $k = 0$, (4.3) holds for $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$ by (3.4) and (3.6). When $|k| = 1$, (4.3) holds for $p = 2$ by (3.4). By the L^∞ -estimate of the Stokes semigroup [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla S(t) \mathbb{P}f\|_\infty &= \left\| \nabla S\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) S\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \mathbb{P}f \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| S\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \mathbb{P}f \right\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since (4.3) holds for $|k| = 0$ and $p = \infty$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla S(t)\mathbb{P}f\|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{t}\|\mathbb{P}f\|_2.$$

We proved (4.3) for $|k| = 1$ and $p = \infty$. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We estimate the mild solution

$$w = \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbb{P}f \, ds$$

for $f = -\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}$ and $\tilde{w} = w + v$. Since \tilde{w} satisfies $\tilde{w} \in C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$ and $\nabla \tilde{w} \in C_w([0, T]; L^2)$ by Theorem 3.1 and (3.2), we observe that

$$f \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2).$$

It follows from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{L^p} &\leq \int_0^t \|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}f\|_{L^p} \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}} \|\mathbb{P}f\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2)} \\ &\leq C t^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $w \in C([0, T]; L^p)$ for $p \in [2, \infty]$. By a similar way, applying (4.3) for $|k| = 1$ implies that $\nabla w \in C([0, T]; L^q)$ for $q \in [2, \infty)$.

It remains to show (4.2). By the maximal regularity estimate of the Stokes operator A [12, Theorem 4.4] ([52, IV. 1.6.2 Lemma]), we estimate

$$\|\partial_t w\|_{L^s(0, T; L^2)} + \|Aw\|_{L^s(0, T; L^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^s(0, T; L^2)} \quad \text{for } s \in (1, \infty).$$

By the resolvent estimate, we have

$$\|\nabla^2 w\|_2 \leq C'(\|w\|_2 + \|Aw\|_2).$$

Thus (4.2) holds and w satisfies (3.1) on L^2 with the associated pressure π . \square

Since the mild solution w satisfies (3.1) on L^2 , by integration by parts we obtain the global energy estimate.

Lemma 4.3. *The estimate*

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |w|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx ds \leq \frac{1}{2}(e^{2N^2 t} - 1) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0$$

holds for mild solutions of (3.1) for $w_0 = 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ with the constant N in Theorem 3.1. In particular, $w \in L^4(0, T_0; L^4)$.

Proof. We multiply $2w$ by (3.1) and observe that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |w|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} (w \cdot \nabla v) \cdot w dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla v) \cdot w dx.$$

Applying the Young's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 2 \int_{\Omega} (w \cdot \nabla v) \cdot w dx \right| &= \left| 2 \int_{\Omega} (w \cdot \nabla w) \cdot v dx \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|w\|_2 \|v\|_{\infty} \|\nabla w\|_2 \\ &\leq \|w\|_2^2 \|v\|_{\infty}^2 + \|\nabla w\|_2^2, \\ \left| 2 \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla v) \cdot w dx \right| &\leq \|v\|_{\infty}^2 \|w\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |w|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq 2N^2 \int_{\Omega} |w|^2 dx + N^2.$$

We set

$$\varphi(t) = \int_{\Omega} |w|^2 dx,$$

and observe that φ satisfies $\varphi(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\varphi e^{-2N^2 t}) &= (\dot{\varphi} - 2N^2 \varphi) e^{-2N^2 t} \\ &\leq N^2 e^{-2N^2 t}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the both sides between $(0, t)$ yields that

$$\varphi(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}(e^{2N^2 t} - 1).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |w|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx ds &\leq 2N^2 \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds + N^2 t \\
&\leq N^2 \int_0^t (e^{2N^2 s} - 1) ds + N^2 t \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (e^{2N^2 t} - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

We proved (4.4). By the Sobolev inequality [38, Chapter1, Lemma 1], we estimate

$$\|w\|_4 \leq C_s \|w\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the global energy estimate (4.4), $w \in L^4(0, T_0; L^4)$ follows. \square

4.2. L^∞ -**bounds.** The global bound in $L^4(0, T_0; L^4)$ implies:

Proposition 4.4. *The mild solution w of (3.1) for $w_0 = 0$ is globally bounded in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_0]$.*

Proof. We observe that the mild solution w is locally bounded in L^4 by (4.1). We shall show the global bound

$$(4.5) \quad w \in C([0, T_0]; L^4).$$

The global bound (4.5) implies that $w \in C([0, T_0]; L^\infty)$. In fact, by the local solvability of the perturbed system on L^4 (Lemma A.1), for each $t_0 \in (0, T_0)$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad (t - t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla w \in C([t_0, t_0 + T_1]; L^4),$$

for $T_1 \geq \varepsilon_4 K_4^{-4}$ with the constants

$$\begin{aligned}
K_4 &= \|w\|_{L^4}(t_0) + N^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_0), \\
N(t_0) &= \sup_{t_0 < t \leq T_0} \left\{ \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}(t) + (t - t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}(t) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The constant $N(t_0)$ is bounded for $t_0 \in (0, T_0]$ by (3.2). The global bound (4.5) implies that K_4 is bounded for all $t_0 \in (0, T_0]$. Thus the constant T_1 is uniformly estimated from below for $t_0 \in (0, T_0]$. Since ∇w is bounded in L^4 near $t = 0$ by (4.1), it follows from (4.6) that

$$\nabla w \in C([0, T_0]; L^4).$$

By the Sobolev inequality [42, Lemma 3.1.4], we estimate

$$\|w\|_\infty \leq C_s \|w\|_4^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|_4^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, $w \in C([0, T_0]; L^\infty)$ follows.

We prove (4.5). Suppose that there exists some $T_* \in (0, T_0]$ such that w blows up at $t = T_*$ on L^4 . Then, applying Corollary A.2 implies that

$$\|w\|_4(t) + N^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon'_4}{(T_* - t)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \quad \text{for } t < T_*,$$

with some constant ε'_4 . Since $N(t)$ is bounded for all $t \in [0, T_0]$ by (3.2), we have

$$\int_0^{T_*} \|w\|_4^4 dt = \infty.$$

This contradicts $w \in L^4(0, T_0; L^4)$ by Lemma 4.3. We reached a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

It remains to show that ∇w is globally bounded in L^2 .

Theorem 4.5. *The mild solution of (3.1) for $w_0 = 0$ is globally bounded in $L^\infty \cap H^1$, i.e., $w \in C([0, T_0]; L^\infty \cap H^1)$.*

Proof. We set

$$J(T) = \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \|\nabla w\|_2(t).$$

The constant $J = J(T)$ is finite up to some $T \in (0, T_0]$ by Theorem 3.1. We show that

$$J(T_0) < \infty.$$

Since w is globally bounded on $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ by Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, we have

$$R(T_0) = \sup_{0 < t \leq T_0} \{\|w\|_2 + \|w\|_\infty\} < \infty.$$

We separate ∇w into two terms and estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla w\|_2 &\leq \int_0^t \|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}\|_2 ds \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla w\|_2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla v\|_2 ds \\
&=: I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate I . By (3.4) and a duality, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \|S(t)\mathbb{P}\operatorname{div} F\|_2 \leq \frac{C_2}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|F\|_2 \quad \text{for } F \in H^1, t \leq T_0.$$

It follows from (3.4) and (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla w\|_2 &= \left\| \nabla S\left(\frac{t-s}{2}\right) S\left(\frac{t-s}{2}\right) \mathbb{P}\operatorname{div}(\tilde{w}w) \right\|_2 \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}C_2}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| S\left(\frac{t-s}{2}\right) \mathbb{P}\operatorname{div}(\tilde{w}w) \right\|_2 \\
&\leq \frac{2C_2^2}{t-s} \|\tilde{w}\|_\infty \|w\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the right-hand side is not integrable near $s = t$, we use the constant $J = J(T)$ and estimate I . Applying (3.4) implies that

$$\|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla w\|_2 \leq \frac{C_2}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\tilde{w}\|_\infty \|\nabla w\|_2.$$

By combining the above two estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla w\|_2 &= \|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla w\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla S(t-s)\mathbb{P}\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla w\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2C_2^2}{t-s} \|\tilde{w}\|_\infty \|w\|_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{C_2}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\tilde{w}\|_\infty \|\nabla w\|_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}C_2^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\tilde{w}\|_\infty \|w\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{2}C_2^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\tilde{w}\|_\infty \|w\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\
&\leq \sqrt{2}C_2^{\frac{3}{2}}(R+N)R^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\
&= 4\sqrt{2}C_2^{\frac{3}{2}}(R+N)R^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{4}},
\end{aligned}$$

with the constant N in Theorem 3.1. Here, the time variables are suppressed for $J = J(T)$ and $R = R(T_0)$. We estimate II by (3.4). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C_2}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla v\|_2 ds \\
&\leq 2C_2(R+N)NT^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By combining the estimates for I and II , we obtain

$$J \leq C(R+N)(R^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\frac{1}{2}}T_0^{\frac{1}{4}} + NT_0^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

with some constant C . Applying Young's inequality implies that

$$J \leq C^2(R+N)^2RT_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2C(R+N)NT_0^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus $J = J(T)$ is bounded for all $T \leq T_0$. We proved that $\nabla w \in C([0, T_0]; L^2)$. The proof is now complete. \square

5. MILD SOLUTIONS ON $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$

Now, we construct global solutions of (1.1) for $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$. It suffices to show a global bound for local solutions on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We apply Theorem 3.1 for $v = 0$ and observe that for $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$, there exists

$$T \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|u_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}^2}$$

and a unique mild solution $u \in C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$ of (1.1) satisfying $t^{1/2}\nabla u \in C_w([0, T]; L^\infty)$ and $\nabla u \in C_w([0, T]; L^2)$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= S(t)u_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u)(s)ds \\ &=: u_1 + u_2. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $v = u_1$ satisfies the condition (3.2) by Corollary 2.2 and u_2 is a mild solution of (3.1) for $w_0 = 0$, i.e.,

$$u_2(t) = - \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u)(s)ds, \quad u = u_2 + v.$$

Since u_2 is globally bounded in $L^\infty \cap H^1$ by Theorem 4.5, the local solution u is globally bounded $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$ and extendable for all $t > 0$. The proof is now complete. \square

Remarks 5.1. (i) (Regularity) The mild solutions constructed in Theorem 1.1 are Hölder continuous up to first derivatives, i.e., $\nabla u \in C^{\mu, \mu/2}(\overline{\Omega} \times [\delta, T])$ for $\delta, T > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$. Since the regularizing estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 < t \leq T_1} \left\{ \|u\|_{L^\infty}(t) + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}(t) + t^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} [\nabla u]_{\Omega}^{(\beta)}(t) \right\} &\leq C_1 \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left\{ [u]_{[\delta, T_1]}^{(\gamma)}(x) + [\nabla u]_{[\delta, T_1]}^{(\frac{\gamma}{2})}(x) \right\} &\leq C_2 \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

hold for $\beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$, $\delta \in (0, T_1)$ and $T_1 \geq \varepsilon/\|u_0\|_{\infty}^2$ with some constants ε , C_1 and C_2 [3], applying the above estimates for $u \in C_w([0, \infty); L^\infty)$ and each $t > 0$ implies the Hölder continuity of mild solutions. Here, $[\cdot]_{\Omega}^{(\beta)}$ denotes the β -th Hölder semi-norm in $\overline{\Omega}$. The mild solution satisfies (1.1) in the sense that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot (\partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi) + uu : \nabla \varphi) dx dt = - \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \cdot \varphi(x, 0) dx$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty))$. We observe that the second derivatives are in $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\overline{\Omega})$ for a.e. $t \in (0, \infty)$. We set $u = u_1 + u_2$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and invoke that $u_1 \in C^{2+\mu, 1+\mu/2}(\overline{\Omega} \times [\delta, T])$ satisfies the Stokes equations in a classical sense [5]. Since $u \in C_w([0, \infty); L^\infty)$ satisfies $\nabla u \in C_w([0, \infty); L^2)$, the maximal regularity estimate [12] ([52]) implies that $\partial_t u_2, \nabla^2 u_2 \in L^s(0, T; L^2)$ for $s \in (1, \infty)$ and each $T > 0$. Thus u_2 satisfies the inhomogeneous Stokes equations

$$\partial_t u_2 - \Delta u_2 + \nabla p_2 = -u \cdot \nabla u \quad \text{on } L^2$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, \infty)$ with the associated pressure p_2 . Thus $\partial_t u, \nabla^2 u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\overline{\Omega})$ for a.e. $t \in (0, \infty)$.

(ii) (Associated pressure) We multiply the projection $\mathbb{Q} = I - \mathbb{P} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ by (1.1) and observe that the associated pressure of a mild solution u is expressed by

$$\nabla p = \mathbb{Q}\Delta u - \mathbb{Q}u \cdot \nabla u.$$

Since the mild solution $u \in C_w([0, \infty); L^\infty)$ satisfies $\nabla u \in C_w([0, \infty); L^2)$, the second term is defined as an element of L^2 for each $t > 0$. Although the first term may not be defined for mild solutions on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$, we are able to define the associated pressure by using the solution operator $\mathbb{K} : L_{\text{tan}}^\infty(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L_d^\infty(\Omega)$ of the homogeneous Neumann problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta q &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial q}{\partial n} &= \text{div}_{\partial\Omega} W \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $L_{\text{tan}}^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ denotes the space of all bounded tangential vector fields on $\partial\Omega$ and $L_d^\infty(\Omega)$ denotes the space of all locally integrable functions f in Ω such that $df \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for $d(x) = \inf_{y \in \partial\Omega} |x - y|$ and $x \in \Omega$. The symbol $\text{div}_{\partial\Omega}$ denotes the surface divergence on $\partial\Omega$. Note that $\Delta u \cdot n = \text{div}_{\partial\Omega} W$ for $W = \omega n^\perp$ and $\omega = \partial_1 u^2 - \partial_2 u^1$, where $n = (n^1, n^2)$ denotes the unit outward normal vector field on $\partial\Omega$ and $n^\perp = (n^2, -n^1)$. The associated pressure of (1.1) is then expressed by

$$\nabla p = \mathbb{K}W - \mathbb{Q}u \cdot \nabla u$$

for the mild solution u on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$. See also [3, Remarks 1.2 (v)].

6. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR AT THE SPACE INFINITY

We prove Theorem 1.3. We show that the Stokes flow converges to a constant as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for asymptotically constant initial data $u_0 \in BUC_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$. We subtract initial data from the Stokes flow and estimate a spatial decay of the difference by using a fractional power of the Stokes operator on L^2 . After the proof of Theorem 1.3, we remark on a half space case (Remarks 6.4).

Lemma 6.1. *Let $u_0 \in BUC_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ satisfy*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \geq R} |u(x) - u_\infty| = 0$$

for some constant $u_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \geq R} |S(t)u_0 - u_\infty| = 0 \quad \text{for each } t \geq 0.$$

We observe that $S(t)u_0 - u_0$ decays as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 6.2. *The estimate*

$$(6.1) \quad \|S(t)u_0 - u_0\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}$$

holds for $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ and $t > 0$ with some constant C . If in addition that $u_0 \in BUC_\sigma$, then $S(t)u_0 - u_0 \in BUC \cap L^2$ for each $t \geq 0$.

Proof. We first show (6.1) for $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ with compact support in $\bar{\Omega}$. By the analyticity of $S(t)$ and an estimate of a fractional power on L^2 [52, III. 2.2.1 Lemma], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t)u_0 - u_0\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_0^t \|AS(s)u_0\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}S(s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|_{L^2} ds = 2t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6.1) holds. For general $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$, we take a sequence $\{u_{0,m}\} \subset L^\infty_\sigma \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ with compact support in $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfying (2.4) by Lemma 2.4 and obtain (6.1) by approximation. \square

Proposition 6.2 implies the pointwise convergence $S(t)u_0 - u_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. We prepare the following Proposition 6.3 and then give a proof for Lemma 6.1.

Proposition 6.3. *Assume that $f \in BUC \cap L^2(\Omega)$. Then,*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \geq R} |f(x)| = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that

$$\overline{\lim}_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \geq R} |f(x)| = \delta > 0.$$

Then, there exists a sequence $\{R_m\}$ such that

$$\sup_{|x| \geq R_m} |f(x)| \geq \frac{\delta}{2},$$

and $R_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We then take $x_m \in \Omega$ such that $|x_m| \geq R_m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_m)| &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{|x| \geq R_m} |f(x)| \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is uniformly continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } x, y \in \Omega \quad \text{satisfying } |x - y| \leq \eta.$$

We take $\varepsilon = \delta/8$ and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y)| &\geq \left| |f(y) - f(x_m)| - |f(x_m)| \right| \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{8} \quad \text{for } y \in B_{x_m}(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

The constant η depends on δ and is independent of $m \geq 1$. By choosing a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $B_{x_m}(\eta) \cap B_{x_l}(\eta) \neq \emptyset$ for $m \neq l$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dx &\geq \sum_{m=1}^N \int_{B_{x_m}(\eta)} |f|^2 dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\delta}{8}\right)^2 |B_0(\eta)| N \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We reached a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Lemma 6.1. For $u_0 \in BUC_{\sigma} \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ satisfying $u_0 \rightarrow u_{\infty}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, we observe that $S(t)u_0 - u_0 \in BUC \cap L^2$ by Proposition 6.2. Applying Proposition 6.3 implies that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \geq R} |S(t)u_0 - u_0| = 0 \quad \text{for each } t \geq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|x| \geq R} |S(t)u_0 - u_{\infty}| &\leq \sup_{|x| \geq R} |S(t)u_0 - u_0| + \sup_{|x| \geq R} |u_0 - u_{\infty}| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

\square

We now complete:

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We set

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= S(t)u_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u)(s)ds \\ &=: u_1 + u_2 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that

$$(6.2) \quad u_2(\cdot, t) \in BUC \cap L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{for each } t \geq 0.$$

In fact, the condition (6.2) implies that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \geq R} |u_2(x, t)| = 0,$$

by Proposition 6.3. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|x| \geq R} |u(x, t) - u_\infty| &\leq \sup_{|x| \geq R} |u_1(x, t) - u_\infty| + \sup_{|x| \geq R} |u_2(x, t)| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion of Theorem 1.3 holds. It remains to show (6.2). We set $f = -u \cdot \nabla u$ and observe that

$$f \in L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2) \quad \text{for } T_0 > 0,$$

by $u \in C([0, T_0]; BUC)$ and $\nabla u \in C_w([0, T_0]; L^2)$. By the same way as the proof of Lemma 4.2, applying (4.3) implies that

$$\|u_2\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2)}$$

for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. Moreover, we have $\nabla u_2 \in C([0, T_0]; L^q)$ for $2 \leq q < \infty$. Thus $u_2 \in BUC \cap L^2$ for each $t \geq 0$. We proved (6.2). The proof is now complete. \square

Remarks 6.4. (A half space case) (i) The statement of Theorem 1.1 is valid also for a half space. Since the Stokes semigroup is a bounded analytic semigroup on $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}_0^1$ as in Remarks 2.6 (ii), the proof of Theorem 1.1 is valid also for a half space without modifications. (ii) The space $\dot{H}_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ consists of decaying functions as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ in the sense that

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi |u(re_r)|^2 d\theta = 0.$$

See [32, Proposition 5.6]. For the reader's convenience, we outline the proof given in [32]. We first observe that u/x_2 belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ by the Hardy's inequality [45, 2.7.1]

$$\left\| \frac{u}{x_2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)} \leq 2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}$$

for $u \in \dot{H}_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. We set $D_r = (B_0(2r) \setminus \overline{B_0(r)}) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2$ for $r > 0$. Since the L^2 -trace on $\partial B_0(1) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2$ is estimated by the H^1 -norm in D_1 , by dilation we have

$$\frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{L^2(\partial B_0(r) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2)} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{r} \|u\|_{L^2(D_r)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(D_r)} \right).$$

Since $x \in D_r$ satisfies $r < |x| < 2r$ and

$$\frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{2}{|x|} \leq \frac{2}{x_2},$$

we estimate

$$\frac{1}{r} \|u\|_{L^2(D_r)} \leq 2 \left\| \frac{u}{x_2} \right\|_{L^2(D_r)}.$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{L^2(\partial B_0(r) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2)} = 0.$$

Transforming this by the polar coordinate implies (6.3).

APPENDIX A. LOCAL SOLVABILITY OF THE PERTURBED SYSTEM ON L^p

In Appendix A, we prove local solvability of the perturbed system (3.1) on L^p ($p > 2$) and the blow-up estimate used in Section 4. The proof is by an iterative argument and parallel to that of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma A.1. *Let v be a solenoidal vector field in Ω satisfying (3.2). For $p \in (2, \infty)$, there exists a constant ε_p such that for $w_0 \in L_\sigma^p$, there exists $T \geq \varepsilon_p K_p^{-2p/(p-2)}$ for*

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{aligned} K_p &= \|w_0\|_{L^p} + N^{1-\frac{2}{p}}, \\ N &= \sup_{0 < t \leq T_0} \left\{ \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}(t) + t^{1/2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}(t) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and a unique mild solution $w \in C([0, T]; L^p)$ of (3.1) satisfying $t^{1/2} \nabla w \in C([0, T]; L^p)$.

Corollary A.2. *Let $w \in C([0, T_*]; L^p)$ be a mild solution of (3.1) for $w_0 \in L^p_\sigma$. Suppose that w blows up at $t = T_*$ on L^p . Then,*

$$(A.2) \quad \|w\|_{L^p}(t) + N(t)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon'_p}{(T_* - t)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}} \quad \text{for } t < T_*,$$

where

$$N(t) = \sup_{t < s \leq T_0} \left\{ \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}(s) + (s-t)^{1/2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}(s) \right\},$$

and $\varepsilon'_p = \varepsilon_p^{1/2-1/p}$.

Proof. We fix an arbitrary $t_0 \in (0, T_*)$. By Lemma A.1, there exists $T_1 \geq \varepsilon_p K_p^{-2p/(p-2)}$ for $K_p = \|w\|_p(t_0) + N(t_0)^{1-2/p}$ and a unique mild solution w in $[t_0, t_0 + T_1]$. Since w blows up at $t = T_*$, the existence time T_1 is smaller than $T_* - t_0$ and (A.2) holds. \square

In order to prove Lemma A.1, we prepare L^p -estimates of the Stokes semigroup.

Proposition A.3. *Let $p \in [2, \infty)$ and $T_0 > 0$. There exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that the estimates*

$$(A.3) \quad t^{\frac{|k|}{2}} \|\partial_x^k S(t) \mathbb{P} w_0\|_p \leq C_1 \|w_0\|_p,$$

$$(A.4) \quad t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{|k|}{2}} \|\partial_x^k S(t) \mathbb{P} f\|_p \leq C_2 \|f\|_2,$$

hold for $w_0 \in L^p$, $f \in L^2$, $|k| \leq 1$ and $0 < t \leq T_0$.

Proof. The estimate (A.3) follows from the analyticity of the Stokes semigroup [53], [24] and the boundedness of the Helmholtz projection on L^p [50]. It follows from (3.6) and (A.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t) \mathbb{P} f\|_p &\leq \|S(t) \mathbb{P} f\|_\infty^\sigma \|S(t) \mathbb{P} f\|_2^{1-\sigma} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \|f\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma = 1 - 2/p$. By combining (A.3), we obtain (A.4) for $|k| \leq 1$. \square

Proof of Lemma A.1. We set the sequence $\{w_j\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} w_{j+1} &= S(t)w_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s) \mathbb{P}(w_j \cdot \nabla w_j + v \cdot \nabla w_j + w_j \cdot \nabla v + v \cdot \nabla v) ds, \\ w_1 &= S(t)w_0, \end{aligned}$$

and the constants

$$K_j = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \left\{ \|w_j\|_p(t) + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w_j\|_p(t) \right\}, \quad \text{for } p > 2.$$

By the Sobolev inequality [42, Lemma 3.1.4], we estimate

$$(A.5) \quad \|w_j\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_3}{t^{\frac{1}{p}}} K_j \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq T.$$

We may assume that $T \leq T_0$. We first show that

$$(A.6) \quad K_j \leq 2C_1 K_0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} T &\leq \varepsilon_0 K_0^{-\frac{2p}{p-2}}, \\ K_0 &= \|w_0\|_p + N^{1-\frac{2}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

with some constant ε_0 . We apply (A.3) and (A.5) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(w_j \cdot \nabla w_j)\|_p &\leq C_1 \|w_j \cdot \nabla w_j\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{C_1 C_3}{s^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2}}} K_j^2, \\ \|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla w_j)\|_p &\leq C_1 \|v \cdot \nabla w_j\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} N K_j. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (A.4) and (A.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(w_j \cdot \nabla v)\|_p &\leq \frac{C_2}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}} \|w_j \cdot \nabla v\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{C_2 C_3}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} s^{\frac{1}{p}}} K_j N, \\ \|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla v)\|_p &\leq \frac{C_2}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}} N^2. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating the above estimates in $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$\|w_{j+1}\|_p \leq \|S(t)w_0\|_p + C(K_j^2 T^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} + N K_j T^{\frac{1}{2}} + N^2 T^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p}}).$$

By a similar way, we estimate

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w_{j+1}\|_p \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla S(t)w_0\|_p + C'(K_j^2 T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} + NK_j T^{\frac{1}{2}} + N^2 T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}}).$$

It follows from (A.3) and (A.7) that

$$K_{j+1} \leq C_1 K_0 + C_4 (K_j^2 T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} + K_0^{\frac{p}{p-2}} K_j T^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_0^{\frac{2p}{p-2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}}),$$

with some constant C_4 . We take a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $T > 0$ satisfying (A.7). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{j+1} &\leq C_1 K_0 + C_4 (K_j^2 K_0^{-1} (K_0 T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}) + K_j (K_0^{\frac{p}{p-2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}}) + K_0 (K_0^{\frac{p+2}{p-2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}})) \\ &\leq C_1 K_0 + C_4 (K_j^2 K_0^{-1} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} + K_j \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_0 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}}). \end{aligned}$$

By a fundamental calculation, we obtain (A.6) with some constant ε_0 satisfying

$$C_4 (4C_1^2 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} + 2C_1 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}}) \leq C_1.$$

Since $S(t)w_0$ is continuous on L^p and $t^{1/2}\nabla S(t)w_0$ vanishes at time zero, $w_j \in C([0, T]; L_\sigma^p)$ satisfies $t^{1/2}\nabla w_j \in C([0, T]; L^p)$ and $t^{1/2}\|\nabla w_j\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

We show that the sequence $\{w_j\}$ converges to a limit $w \in C([0, T]; L_\sigma^p)$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_j &= w_j - w_{j-1}, \\ L_j &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{ \|\rho_j\|_p(t) + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \rho_j\|_p(t) \}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$(A.8) \quad \|\rho_j\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_3}{t^{\frac{1}{p}}} L_j \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq T.$$

By a similar way as the proof of Theorem 3.1, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{j+1} &= - \int_0^t S(t-s) \mathbb{P}(\tilde{w}_j \cdot \nabla \rho_j + \rho_j \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_{j-1}) ds \\ &= - \int_0^t S(t-s) \mathbb{P}(w_j \cdot \nabla \rho_j + v \cdot \nabla \rho_j + \rho_j \cdot \nabla w_{j-1} + \rho_j \cdot \nabla v) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{w}_j = w_j + v$. It follows from (A.3)-(A.5) and (A.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(w_j \cdot \nabla \rho_j)\|_p &\leq C_1 \|w_j \cdot \nabla \rho_j\|_p \\
&\leq \frac{C_1 C_3}{s^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2}}} K_j L_j, \\
\|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla \rho_j)\|_p &\leq \frac{C_1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} N L_j, \\
\|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(\rho_j \cdot \nabla w_{j-1})\|_p &\leq \frac{C_1 C_3}{s^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2}}} L_j K_{j-1}, \\
\|S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(\rho_j \cdot \nabla v)\|_p &\leq \frac{C_2 C_3}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} s^{\frac{1}{p}}} L_j N.
\end{aligned}$$

We integrate the above estimates in $(0, t)$ and obtain

$$\|\rho_{j+1}\|_p \leq C((K_j + K_{j-1})L_j T^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} + N L_j T^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

By a similar way, we estimate

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \rho_j\|_p \leq C'((K_j + K_{j-1})L_j T^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} + N L_j T^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

By (A.6) and (A.7), we obtain

$$L_{j+1} \leq C_5(K_0 T^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} + K_0^{\frac{p}{p-2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}}) L_j.$$

We set

$$K_0^{\frac{2p}{p-2}} T \leq \varepsilon_1$$

and observe that

$$L_{j+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} L_j,$$

for some constant ε_1 satisfying

$$C_5(\varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} + \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus we have

$$L_{j+1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j L_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

for

$$T \leq \varepsilon_2 K_0^{-\frac{2p}{p-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_2 = \min\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1\}.$$

Thus the sequence $\{w_j\} \subset C([0, T]; L_\sigma^p)$ converges to a limit $w \in C([0, T]; L_\sigma^p)$ satisfying $t^{1/2}\nabla w \in C([0, T]; L^p)$ and $t^{1/2}\|\nabla w\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. The limit w satisfies the integral equation and is unique. The existence time is estimated from below by $T \geq \varepsilon K_0^{-2p/(p-2)}$ for

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2}.$$

The proof is now complete. \square

APPENDIX B. A FRACTIONAL POWER OF THE STOKES OPERATOR IN A HALF SPACE

In Appendix B, we show L^p -estimates of a fractional power of the Stokes operator A in a half space.

Lemma B.1. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $n \geq 2$. For $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$(B.1) \quad \|\nabla u\|_p \leq C_1 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_p,$$

$$(B.2) \quad \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_p \leq C_2 \|\nabla u\|_p,$$

hold for $u \in D(A^{1/2})$ and $D(A^{1/2}) = W_{0,\sigma}^{1,p}$, where $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,p}$ denotes the $W^{1,p}$ -closure of $C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$.

Proof. The estimate (B.1) is proved in [9, Theorem 3.6 (ii)]. We prove (B.2). It suffices to show (B.2) for $u \in C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$ since $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,p}$ is the closure of $C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$ in $W^{1,p}$. We use L^2 -theory. Since the Stokes operator is a positive self-adjoint operator on L^2 , by a spectral representation we are able to define the fractional power $A^{1/2}v$ for $v \in D(A^{1/2}) = H_{0,\sigma}^1$ and we have

$$(A^{\frac{1}{2}} u, A^{\frac{1}{2}} v) = (\nabla u, \nabla v).$$

Here, (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product on L^2 . Moreover, for $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$ there exists $v \in D(A^{1/2})$ such that $A^{1/2}v = \mathbb{P}\varphi$ [52, III.2.2.1 Lemma]. Since the Helmholtz projection \mathbb{P} acts as a bounded operator on $L^{p'}$ [46], [9, Theorem 3.1], it follows from (B.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v\|_{p'} &\leq C \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_{p'} \\ &\leq C' \|\varphi\|_{p'}, \end{aligned}$$

where p' is the Hölder conjugate of $p \in (1, \infty)$. The above estimate implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |(A^{\frac{1}{2}}u, \varphi)| &= |(A^{\frac{1}{2}}u, \mathbb{P}\varphi)| \\ &= |(A^{\frac{1}{2}}u, A^{\frac{1}{2}}v)| \\ &= |(\nabla u, \nabla v)| \leq C' \|\nabla u\|_p \|\varphi\|_{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since φ is arbitrary, the estimate (B.2) holds. \square

Remark B.2. The estimates (B.1) and (B.2) hold also for bounded domains of class C^3 in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. The estimate (B.1) for bounded domains with smooth boundaries is proved in [25] by estimates of pure imaginary powers of the Stokes operator. Later, more strongly \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus is proved in [47] with C^3 -regularity (see also [22]). By the same way as we have seen above, the estimate (B.2) is deduced from (B.1) also for bounded domains.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Professors Thierry Gallay and Yoshikazu Giga for informing him of the papers [48], [55]. He is also grateful to Professors Paolo Maremonti and Senjo Shimizu for sending him their Preprint. Finally the author expresses his gratitude to Professor Toshiaki Hishida for informing him of the paper [10] on fractional power estimates, related to Remarks 2.6 (iii). This work was partially supported by JSPS through the Grant-in-aid for Research Activity Start-up 15H06312, Young Scientists (B) 17K14217 and Scientific Research (B) 17H02853.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares that he has no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Abe, *The Navier-Stokes equations in a space of bounded functions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **338** (2015), 849–865.
- [2] ———, *On estimates for the Stokes flow in a space of bounded functions*, J. Differ. Equ. **261** (2016), 1756–1795.
- [3] ———, *Exterior Navier-Stokes flows for bounded data*, Math. Nachr. **290** (2017), 972–985.
- [4] K. Abe and Y. Giga, *Analyticity of the Stokes semigroup in spaces of bounded functions*, Acta Math. **211** (2013), 1–46.
- [5] ———, *The L^∞ -Stokes semigroup in exterior domains*, J. Evol. Equ. **14** (2014), 1–28.
- [6] C. J. Amick, *On Leray’s problem of steady Navier-Stokes flow past a body in the plane*, Acta Math. **161** (1988), 71–130.
- [7] H.-O. Bae and B. Jin, *Existence of strong mild solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in the half space with nondecaying initial data*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **49** (2012), 113–138.
- [8] M. E. Bogovskii, *Solution of the first boundary value problem for an equation of continuity of an incompressible medium*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **248** (1979), 1037–1040.

- [9] W. Borchers and T. Miyakawa, L^2 decay for the Navier-Stokes flow in halfspaces, *Math. Ann.* **282** (1988), 139–155.
- [10] ———, *On some coercive estimates for the Stokes problem in unbounded domains*, The Navier-Stokes equations II—theory and numerical methods (Oberwolfach, 1991), 1992, pp. 71–84.
- [11] ———, *On stability of exterior stationary Navier-Stokes flows*, *Acta Math.* **174** (1995), 311–382.
- [12] L. de Simon, *Un'applicazione della teoria degli integrali singolari allo studio delle equazioni differenziali lineari astratte del primo ordine*, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **34** (1964), 205–223.
- [13] W. Desch, M. Hieber, and J. Prüss, L^p -theory of the Stokes equation in a half space, *J. Evol. Equ.* **1** (2001), 115–142.
- [14] L. C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, Second, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [15] R. Finn and D. R. Smith, *On the stationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in two dimensions*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **25** (1967), 26–39.
- [16] H. Fujita, *On the existence and regularity of the steady-state solutions of the Navier-Stokes theorem*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **9** (1961), 59–102.
- [17] G. P. Galdi, *Stationary Navier-Stokes problem in a two-dimensional exterior domain*, Stationary partial differential equations. Vol. I, 2004, pp. 71–155.
- [18] ———, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Second, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [19] Th. Gallay, *Infinite energy solutions of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*. arXiv:1411.5156v1.
- [20] Th. Gallay and S. Slijepčević, *Energy bounds for the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in an infinite cylinder*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **39** (2014), 1741–1769.
- [21] ———, *Uniform boundedness and long-time asymptotics for the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in an infinite cylinder*, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **17** (2015), 23–46.
- [22] M. Geißert and P. C. Kunstmann, *Weak Neumann implies H^∞ for Stokes*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **67** (2015), 183–193.
- [23] M.-H. Giga, Y. Giga, and J. Saal, *Nonlinear partial differential equations*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 79, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010.
- [24] Y. Giga, *Analyticity of the semigroup generated by the Stokes operator in L_r spaces*, *Math. Z.* **178** (1981), 297–329.
- [25] ———, *Domains of fractional powers of the Stokes operator in L_r spaces*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **89** (1985), 251–265.
- [26] Y. Giga, P.-Y. Hsu, and Y. Maekawa, *A Liouville theorem for the planer Navier-Stokes equations with the no-slip boundary condition and its application to a geometric regularity criterion*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **39** (2014), 1906–1935.
- [27] Y. Giga, K. Inui, and S. Matsui, *On the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with nondecaying initial data*, *Quaderni di Matematica* **4** (1999), 28–68.
- [28] Y. Giga, S. Matsui, and O. Sawada, *Global existence of smooth solutions for two dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with nondecaying initial velocity*, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **3** (2001), 302–315.
- [29] Y. Giga and H. Sohr, *On the Stokes operator in exterior domains*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **36** (1989), 103–130.
- [30] D. Gilbarg and H. F. Weinberger, *Asymptotic properties of Leray's solutions of the stationary two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, *Russian Math. Surveys* **29** (1974), 109–123.
- [31] ———, *Asymptotic properties of steady plane solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with bounded Dirichlet integral*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **5** (1978), 381–404.
- [32] J. Guillod and P. Wittwer, *On the stationary Navier-Stokes equations in the half-plane*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **17** (2016), 3287–3319.
- [33] T. Kato, *On classical solutions of the two-dimensional nonstationary Euler equation*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **25** (1967), 188–200.
- [34] K. Kikuchi, *Exterior problem for the two-dimensional Euler equation*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **30** (1983), 63–92.
- [35] M. Korobkov, K. Pileckas, and R. Russo, *The existence of a solution with finite Dirichlet integral for the steady Navier-Stokes equations in a plane exterior symmetric domain*, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **101** (2014), 257–274.

- [36] H. Kozono and T. Ogawa, *Two-dimensional Navier-Stokes flow in unbounded domains*, Math. Ann. **297** (1993), 1–31.
- [37] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *Solution “in the large” of the nonstationary boundary value problem for the Navier-Stokes system with two space variables*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12** (1959), 427–433.
- [38] ———, *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow*, Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris, 1969.
- [39] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid mechanics*, Translated from the Russian by J. B. Sykes and W. H. Reid. Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 6, Pergamon Press, London-Paris-Frankfurt; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1959.
- [40] J. Leray, *Étude de diverses équations intégrales non linéaires et de quelques problèmes que pose l’hydrodynamique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **12** (1933), 1–82.
- [41] ———, *Essai sur les mouvements plans d’un liquide visqueux que limitent des parois*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **13** (1934), 331–418.
- [42] A. Lunardi, *Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 16, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
- [43] P. Maremonti, *Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems in the half-space: existence and uniqueness of solutions non converging to a limit at infinity*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **362** (2008), 176–240.
- [44] P. Maremonti and S. Shimizu, *Global existence of solutions to 2-d Navier-Stokes flow with non decaying initial data in exterior domains*. Preprint 2016.
- [45] V. Maz’ya, *Sobolev spaces with applications to elliptic partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 342, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [46] M McCracken, *The resolvent problem for the Stokes equations on halfspace in L_p* , SIAM J. Math. Anal. **12** (1981), 201–228.
- [47] A. Noll and J. Saal, *H^∞ -calculus for the Stokes operator on L_q -spaces*, Math. Z. **244** (2003), 651–688.
- [48] O. Sawada and Y. Taniuchi, *A remark on L^∞ solutions to the 2-D Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **9** (2007), 533–542.
- [49] G. Seregin, *Liouville theorem for 2D Navier-Stokes equations in a half space*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **210** (2015), 849–856.
- [50] C. G. Simader and H. Sohr, *A new approach to the Helmholtz decomposition and the Neumann problem in L^q -spaces for bounded and exterior domains*, Mathematical problems relating to the Navier-Stokes equation, 1992, pp. 1–35.
- [51] D. R. Smith, *Estimates at infinity for stationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in two dimensions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **20** (1965), 341–372.
- [52] H. Sohr, *The Navier-Stokes equations*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher., Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
- [53] V. A. Solonnikov, *Estimates of the solution of a certain initial-boundary value problem for a linear nonstationary system of Navier-Stokes equations*, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **59** (1976), 178–254, 257. Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related questions in the theory of functions, 9.
- [54] ———, *On nonstationary Stokes problem and Navier-Stokes problem in a half-space with initial data nondecreasing at infinity*, J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **114** (2003), 1726–1740.
- [55] S. Zelik, *Infinite energy solutions for damped Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^2* , J. Math. Fluid Mech. **15** (2013), 717–745.

(K. Abe) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY, 3-3-138 SUGIMOTO, SUMIYOSHI-KU OSAKA, 558-8585, JAPAN

E-mail address: kabe@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp