Promoted performance of microbial fuel cells using *Escherichia coli* cells with multiple-knockout of central metabolism genes

Yoshihiro Ojima, Taichi Kawaguchi, Saki Fukui, Ryota Kikuchi, Kazuma Terao, Daisuke Koma, Takashi Ohmoto, Masayuki Azuma

Citation	Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering. 43(2), 323–332
Issue Date	2020-02
Published	2019-10-12
Type	Journal Article
Textversion	author
Description	Best Paper Award 2020 を受賞。 本賞は、2020 年に掲載された約 180 報のうち 3 報に授与されました。 https://www.springer.com/journal/449/updates/17345210
Rights	This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering. The final authenticated version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-019-02229-z . See Springer Nature terms of reuse. https://www.springer.com/gp/open-access/publication-policies/aam-terms-of-use
Electronic supplementary material	The electronic supplementary material is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-019-02229-z .
DOI	10.1007/s00449-019-02229-z

Self-Archiving by Author(s)
Placed on: Osaka City University Repository

Promoted performance of microbial fuel cells using *Escherichia coli* cells with multiple-knockout of central metabolism genes

Yoshihiro Ojima^{1#}, Taichi Kawaguchi ^{1#}, Saki Fukui¹, Ryota Kikuchi¹, Kazuma Terao¹,
Daisuke Koma², Takashi Ohmoto², Masayuki Azuma^{1*}

¹*Department of Applied Chemistry and Bioengineering, Osaka City University, 3-3-138, Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

²*Research Division of Environmental Technology, Osaka Research Institute of Industrial Science and Technology Morinomiya Center, 1-6-50 Morinomiya, Joto-ku, Osaka 536-8553, Japan*

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Address correspondence to Masayuki Azuma, azuma@osaka-cu.ac.jp.

Abstract

The effect of central metabolic activity of *Escherichia coli* cells acting as biocatalysts on the performance of microbial fuel cells (MFCs) was studied with glucose used as the energy source. Milliliter-scale two-chambered MFCs were used with 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (HNQ) as an electron mediator. Among the single-gene deletions examined, *frdA*, *pdhR*, *ldhA*, and *adhE* increased the average power output of the constructed MFC. Next, multiple-gene knockout mutants were constructed using P1 transduction. The $\Delta 5$ ($\Delta frdA \Delta pdhR \Delta ldhA \Delta adhE \Delta pta$) strain showed the highest ave. power output (1.82 mW) and coulombic efficiency (21.3%). Our results show that the combination of multiple-gene knockout in *E. coli* cells leads to the development of an excellent catalyst for MFCs. Finally, preventing a decrease in the pH of the anodic solution was a key factor for improving the power output of the $\Delta 5$ strain, and a maximum ave. power output of 2.21 mW was achieved with 5% NaHCO₃ in the buffer. The ave. power density of the constructed MFC was 0.27 mW/cm³, which is comparable to an enzymatic fuel cell of a Milliliter-scale using glucose dehydrogenase.

Keywords: microbial fuel cell; *Escherichia coli*; central metabolism; knockout mutant; glucose

Introduction

Clean energy production from renewable substrates is essential for achieving sustainable energy supplies. Microbial fuel cells (MFCs) are devices that convert the chemical energy of biological fuels into electrical energy using microorganisms as biocatalysts [1-3]. MFCs have several key advantages over conventional fuel cells. For example, MFCs can be operated under mild conditions and do not require expensive inorganic catalysts or purification of the biocatalysts [4], which allows for large-scale, long-lifetime operation.

Looking back at the long history of MFC research, the idea of using microorganisms to produce electricity was, remarkably, first conceived and reported in 1911, using *E. coli* cells as the biocatalyst [5]. *E. coli* shows promise as a biocatalyst for MFCs because this bacterium can utilize a broad spectrum of organic compounds. However, electron mediators are necessary for *E. coli* cells to be used in MFC systems [6-8], because *E. coli* cells do not possess the extracellular electron transfer (EET) pathways found in electricigenic bacteria such as *Shewanella oneidensis* or *Geobacter sulfurreducens* [9, 10]. Due to the lack of EET pathways in *E. coli* cells, many researchers have attempted to promote the performance of *E. coli*-based MFCs by endowing *E. coli* cells with an EET pathway either via gene modification [11-15] or by modifying the electrode or the MFC system [16-18] for more efficient electron transfer. However, modifying the central metabolic activity of *E. coli* on the performance of MFCs have not been well studied.

In the present study, the performance of *E. coli*-based MFCs using *E. coli* cells that had multiple central metabolism genes knocked out was examined. In the first step, single-gene knockout mutants were compared in terms of their effect on the output of a constructed MFC system. Next, multiple-gene knockout mutants were constructed by

sequential knockout of genes that had increased the MFC power output, and the combination of multiple genes knocked out with highest power output was determined. Furthermore, the effect of 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (HNQ) and sodium hydrogen carbonate concentrations on the output of the $\Delta 5$ strain was examined to consider the electron transfer efficiency and pH value at the anode.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

The *E. coli* strains and phages used in this study are listed in Table 1. *E. coli* K-12 strain BW25113 and its derivatives [19] were obtained from the National BioResource Project (NIG, Mishima, Japan). The BW25113(DE3) strain was constructed in previous work [20]. In our study, multiple-deficiency mutant strains were constructed from the BW25113(DE3) strain by P1 transduction using P1kc phage [20]. Briefly, P1 transduction was performed on a kanamycin (Km)-sensitive recipient strain, with the donor strain harboring a Km cassette between flippase recognition target sites (FRT-Km-FRT cassette), which was inserted at the desired gene on the chromosome. Km resistance was used to select the recipient strain. The Km cassette was eliminated from the recipient strain by FLP/FRT (Flippase/Flippase Recognition Target) recombination. For multiple-deficiency mutant strains, the P1 transduction and elimination of the Km cassette steps were repeated. The deletion was confirmed by PCR using loci-specific primers (Supplementary Table S1).

Construction of MFCs

Milliliter-scale two-chambered MFCs were fabricated according to a method

described previously with minor modifications [21]. Briefly, anode and cathode tanks of 8.3 mL capacity were constructed from an acrylic rod of diameter 3.4 cm. Commercial carbon rods with diameters of 0.5 cm were used as cathodes. Carbon fiber bundles (Mitsubishi Rayon Co. Ltd, Japan) were used as high performance anodes. The diameter of one filament was 7 μm and one bundle consisted of approximately 12,000 filaments. For one anode, 10 bundles approximately 8 cm long were used to maintain contact with *E. coli* cells in the anode solution. A cation-specific membrane, GORE-SELECT (Japan Gore-Tex Inc. Japan), of thickness 30 μm , was used to separate the two electrode chambers.

Operation of MFCs

The fundamental anode solution (7.5 mL) was composed of 50 g/L glucose, 10 g/L sodium hydrogen carbonate (NaHCO_3), 0.2 g/L ammonium sulfate, and 0.5 M phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) in reverse osmosis water. This solution also contained 1 g/L 2-hydroxyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (HNQ) as an electron transfer mediator [21]. The cathodic chamber was filled with 7.5 mL of deionized water containing 158 g/L potassium ferricyanide, $\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$. Each *E. coli* strain was pre-cultured in lysogeny broth (LB) medium at 30°C. After 24 h, glucose was added to the culture broth at a concentration of 50 g/L and cultured for 1 h as a warm-up culture for the MFC operation.

E. coli cells were harvested by centrifugation ($10,000 \times g$, 5 min). The harvested *E. coli* cells were resuspended using the anode solution to an $\text{OD}_{600} = 64$ and added to the anodic chamber. The MFC was connected to an ammeter and a voltmeter (Sanwa Electric Instrument, Tokyo, Japan) and operated at 37°C. Current and voltage were recorded via a computer using PC Kink 7 software (Sanwa Electric Instrument) through

a connection with a 100 Ω resistor.

Analyses

Samples of 0.2 mL were withdrawn from the anode solution of a fuel cell for measuring the glucose concentration. After centrifugation (10,000 $\times g$, 5 min), the glucose concentration was determined by HPLC (GL Sciences Inc. Tokyo, Japan) using an ULTRON PS-80N column and ultrapure water as the solvent with a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min at 60°C. Glucose was detected using a refractive index detector.

The coulombic efficiency was calculated as $100 \times C_p / C_T$ [22], where C_p is the total coulombs calculated by integrating the current over time, and C_T is the theoretical amount of coulombs available from glucose, which is given by the following equation:

$$C_T = \frac{FN\Delta S v}{M} \quad (1)$$

where F is Faraday's constant (98485 C per mol of electrons), N is the number of mol of electrons generated per mol of glucose (24 electrons per mol), ΔS is the concentration of glucose consumed, v is the volume of liquid, and M is the molecular mass of glucose.

Results and discussion

Performance of the MFC with single-gene knockout mutants

Figure 1 shows an overview of the modification of *E. coli* central metabolism as a biocatalyst for improving the power output in a constructed MFC system. In total, thirteen genes associated with the central metabolism of *E. coli* cells were selected for single-gene deletion. Eight genes, *ldhA*, *adhE*, *adhP*, *pta*, *poxB*, *ackA*, *acs* and *pflB*, are involved in the synthesis or degradation of organic acids generated by branching from glycolysis [23]. Four genes, *pdhR*, *arcA*, *arcB* and *rpoS*, are regulators to repress the

activity of the TCA cycle under microaerobic or anaerobic conditions [24]. The *frdA* gene encodes the enzyme which catalyzes the reduction of fumarate to succinate in the TCA cycle [25]. We expected that knockouts of these genes would lead to more NADH (ie, electrons) generation from the TCA cycle.

Figure 2 shows the time course of power outputs from whole fuel cells composed of various single-gene knockout mutants of *E. coli* strains. For the wild-type (WT) BW25113 strain, the power started at 1.5 mW and reached a peak value of 2.3 mW after 60 min. This value is comparable to that of a similar MFC system that used yeast cells [21], indicating that *E. coli* can be used as a biocatalyst in the examined MFC system. After 60 min, the power output decreased in a linear manner to 0.5 mW at the end of the reaction (1080 min). Furthermore, for the single-gene knockout mutant strains, while the peak values and the slopes of decreasing power differed, the time courses showed a similar tendency. Among the mutant strains, the $\Delta frdA$, $\Delta pdhR$, $\Delta ldhA$ and $\Delta adhE$ strains had higher peak values of power (approximately 2.5 mW) when compared with that of the WT stain, and these values were maintained at a higher level throughout the MFC operation period. As for the genes involved in acetate metabolism (Δpta , $\Delta ackA$, $\Delta poxB$ and Δacs), the $\Delta poxB$ strain showed a relatively higher peak value; however, the decrease in power output was much steeper than for other strains, especially during the latter stages of the operating period. In contrast, the Δpta strain showed the smallest peak value of 2.0 mW at 60 min. The deletion of genes related to formate and ethanol production ($\Delta adhP$ and $\Delta pflB$) and regulators of the TCA cycle did not improve the power output drastically.

The ave. power outputs of whole fuel cells for 18 h are summarized in Figure 3. The ave. power of the WT strain was 1.25 mW, whereas four strains ($\Delta frdA$, $\Delta pdhR$, $\Delta ldhA$,

and $\Delta adhE$) showed significantly higher ave. power values. The maximum ave. value was 1.49 mW, which was achieved by the $\Delta adhE$ strain. The power outputs of the other nine strains (Δpta , $\Delta ackA$, $\Delta poxB$, Δacs , $\Delta adhP$, $\Delta pflB$, $\Delta arcA$, $\Delta arcB$ and $\Delta rpoS$) were the same or less than the WT strain. The ave. power output of the $\Delta rpoS$ strain was significantly lower than the other strains, at 1.09 mW.

The anodic chamber of the MFC constructed was considered to be under oxygen-limited conditions because there was minimal oxygen supply and the cell concentration was extremely high ($OD_{600} = 64$). In *E. coli*, under oxygen-limited conditions, the *frdA* gene encodes fumarate reductase, which catalyzes the reduction of fumarate to succinate accompanied by the oxidation of reduced menaquinone [25]. Deletion of the *frdA* gene has been reported to significantly suppress succinate production and slightly increases lactate production [26, 27]. These results suggest that the accumulation of reduced compounds arising from *frdA* deletion promoted the performance of MFC. The pyruvate dehydrogenase complex regulator (PdhR) is a transcriptional regulator that negatively controls the formation of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDHc), NADH dehydrogenase (NDH)-2 and cytochrome bo₃ oxidase in *E. coli*. Under oxygen-limited conditions, it has been reported that *pdhR*-deficient mutants grow and consume glucose more efficiently than the WT strain, with enhanced respiration because of the increased activities of PDHc and NDH-2 [28]. These reports support our result of improved MFC output following *pdhR* deletion. The *ldhA* and *adhE* genes encode lactate dehydrogenase and alcohol dehydrogenase, respectively [23]. Given that these enzymes consume NADH to produce anaerobic byproducts, it is not unexpected that the deletion of these genes increased the power output of *E. coli* MFCs (Fig. 1).

Improvement of MFC performance with multiple-knockout mutants

Next, the cumulative effects of multiple-gene deletions in *E. coli* cells on MFC performance were examined. The BW25113(DE3) strain containing the λ DE3 lysogen was used as the parent strain in a series of experiments. First, four genes (*frdA*, *pdhR*, *ldhA* and *adhE*) were selected for multiple-gene deletions, because deletion of each of these genes increased MFC the power. Although single-gene deletions of *pta*, *poxB* and *pflB* had no great effect on MFC power output, these enzymes are involved in the acetate and formate production pathways and play an important role in central, anaerobic carbon metabolism reactions. These genes were also selected for deletion because the consequences may differ when these genes are cumulatively deleted. The *arcA* gene was also chosen for deletion because a previous report described improved MFC performance using the Δ *arcA* strain [6]. In that report, the Δ *arcA* strain increased the power output because of the activation of key enzymes in the TCA cycle.

Figure 4 shows the time course of MFC power outputs using multiple-knockout mutants. Compared with the WT (BW25113(DE3)) strain, all multiple-knockout mutants showed much higher peak values of power output. While the double-knockout mutant $\Delta 2$ (Δ *frdA* Δ *pdhR*) showed a rapid decrease in power output after 200 min, the triple-knockout mutant $\Delta 3$ (Δ *frdA* Δ *pdhR* Δ *ldhA*) slightly suppressed the power drop during the latter stages of the operation period. The $\Delta 4$ (Δ *frdA* Δ *pdhR* Δ *ldhA* Δ *adhE*) and $\Delta 5$ (Δ *frdA* Δ *pdhR* Δ *ldhA* Δ *adhE* Δ *pta*) strains maintained relatively high power outputs and their time profiles overlapped. The sixth mutation of each of *pflB*, *poxB*, and *arcA* in the $\Delta 5$ strain did not improve the power profile.

The ave. power outputs are summarized in Fig. 5. The ave. power of BW25113(DE3) was 1.23 mW, which was almost equal to that of BW25113 (1.25 mW). This result suggests that the insertion of λ DE3 lysogen into the genomic DNA of BW25113 did not affect its performance in the MFC. The ave. power of $\Delta 2$ was 1.46 mW, which was slightly higher than that of the single-knockout mutants, $\Delta frdA$ (1.41 mW) and $\Delta pdhR$ (1.40 mW). The additional deletion of *ldhA* ($\Delta 3$) increased the ave. power to 1.57 mW. A cumulative effect of multiple-gene deletions on power output was observed. Further deletion of the *adhE* gene ($\Delta 4$) significantly promoted the performance of the MFC (1.81 mW) due to the slower decrease in power during the latter stages of operation period. Thus, the deletion of four genes (*frdA*, *pdhR*, *ldhA*, and *adhE*) resulted in a cumulative effect that increased the MFC power output. In addition, the ave. power of the $\Delta 5$ strain was 1.82 mW, and the additional deletion of the *pta* gene did not increase the MFC performance. Furthermore, a sixth mutation of each of *pflB*, *poxB*, and *arcA* in the $\Delta 5$ strain negatively affected the power output. These results suggest that the cumulative effects of multiple-gene deletions on MFC performance became saturated. The coulombic efficiency of these multiple-gene mutants was calculated based on the amount of glucose consumed (Fig. 5). The coulombic efficiencies of the WT, $\Delta 2$, and $\Delta 3$ strains were 8.1%, 9.5% and 9.1%, respectively, which were not significant. In contrast, the coulombic efficiency of $\Delta 4$ was 14%, which was significantly higher than that of $\Delta 3$. In addition to the increased ave. power, the repression of glucose consumption in the $\Delta 4$ strain is also a key reason for the higher coulombic efficiency (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the coulombic efficiency of the $\Delta 5$ strain reached 21.3%, even though the ave. power of this strain was almost equal to that of $\Delta 4$. This result is also because of the further decrease in glucose consumption by the $\Delta 5$ strain. In terms of fuel economy, $\Delta 5$ is a superior strain

when compared with that of $\Delta 4$, although their power outputs are at the same level. Further multiple-gene deletion did not drastically increase coulombic efficiency. Considering both ave. power and the coulombic efficiency, it was concluded that the $\Delta 5$ strain with multiple-gene knockout is the best biocatalyst among the examined strains.

Effect of HNQ concentration on the performance of the $\Delta 5$ strain

Although the $\Delta 5$ strain was selected as the best multiple-gene knockout *E. coli* for MFC, repression of glucose consumption still remained as a serious problem. We hypothesized that the reason for the decrease in glucose consumption was that the electron transfer efficiency was not sufficient for *E. coli* to regenerate the intracellular oxidation state at the anode. To confirm the effect of the mediator concentration on the power and glucose consumption for the $\Delta 5$ strain, lower (0.05%) and higher (0.20%) concentrations of HNQ (basal condition 0.10%) were added to the anodic chamber. Figure 6A shows the time course of power outputs using different mediator concentrations. In the presence of 0.05% HNQ, the power was clearly lower than that obtained with 0.10% HNQ. The ave. power with 0.05% HNQ was 1.56 mW (Fig. 6A), which was significantly lower when compared with that of 0.10% HNQ (1.82 mW). These results indicate that 0.05% HNQ is not sufficient to transfer all electrons generated from the $\Delta 5$ cells. In contrast, the time courses of power output using 0.10% and 0.20% HNQ almost overlapped and the ave. power did not increase with 0.20% HNQ. Based on these results, we concluded that the basal condition (0.10% HNQ) provided sufficient electron transfer efficiency and further increases in the HNQ concentration did not improve the power output and glucose consumption of $\Delta 5$ cells.

Effect of the NaHCO₃ concentration on the pH value of the anodic solution and performance of the $\Delta 5$ strain

The pH of the anodic solution with the $\Delta 5$ strain was found to decrease considerably during the operating period. Although the pH of the anodic solution was initially adjusted with phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) and 1% NaHCO₃, the pH value was observed to decrease to 5.1 over the 18 h operation period (Fig. 7A). This result suggests that the considerable pH drop decreased the activity of the $\Delta 5$ strain, which caused a decrease in power and glucose consumption. Higher concentrations of NaHCO₃ were added to the anode (3-7%) to prevent the pH drop in the anodic solution of $\Delta 5$ strain. As shown in Fig. 7A, the pH of the anodic solution over 18 h gradually increased with increasing NaHCO₃ concentrations used. The pH with 3% and 5% NaHCO₃ was 6.1 and 6.8, respectively, and reached 7.4 with 7% NaHCO₃ added, indicating that a drop in pH was prevented with higher NaHCO₃ concentrations. Figure 7B shows the time course of MFC power outputs with different NaHCO₃ concentrations. Compared with the basal condition (1% NaHCO₃), the power with 3% NaHCO₃ showed the same level of peak value and the power drop was strongly prevented during the latter stages of the operation period. With 5% NaHCO₃, the value was maintained at a higher level throughout the MFC operation period. These results show that preventing the decrease in pH in the anodic solution effectively improved the power output of the $\Delta 5$ strain. A further increase of the NaHCO₃ concentration to 7% showed a smaller peak value of 2.5 mW at 60 min and the power output was maintained at ~2.0 mW throughout the operation period. Therefore, the power output was more constant as the concentration of NaHCO₃ increased. The values of the ave. power output, glucose consumption and coulombic efficiency are summarized in Fig. 7C. The ave. power with 3% NaHCO₃ was 2.08 mW, which was significantly

higher than that with 1% NaHCO_3 (1.82 mW). With 5% NaHCO_3 , the ave. power increased slightly to 2.21 mW and was saturated with 7% NaHCO_3 (2.20 mW). Glucose consumption with 3% NaHCO_3 was 180 mg, which was two times higher than that with 1 % NaHCO_3 . These results suggested that glucose consumption was strongly promoted by preventing a decrease in pH and this improved the power output of the $\Delta 5$ strain. Coulombic efficiency decreased with an increase in NaHCO_3 concentration, which was inversely proportional to glucose consumption (Fig. 7C). Thus, controlling the pH was a key factor to improve the power output of the $\Delta 5$ strain and a maximum output of 2.21 mW was achieved with 5% NaHCO_3 .

Comparison of the performance of an enzymatic biofuel cell and the MFC prepared in this study

Biofuel cells are categorized based on the type of catalyst used; enzymes or microorganisms. In general, an enzymatic fuel cell (EFC) using glucose oxidase (GOx) or glucose dehydrogenase (GDH) shows a higher power density than a MFC because of the efficient reaction provided by the condensed pure enzymes. In contrast, a MFC can obtain a higher coulombic efficiency because microbial glucose degradation can theoretically obtain 24 electrons, whereas enzymatic glucose degradation is a 2-electron reaction. The MFC system in the present study has a simple anode component using glucose as a single substrate and that is very similar to EFCs. The results of the MFC used in the this study were compared with an enzymatic fuel cell with comparable cell volume (6.5 cm^3), operating time (16.6 h) and glucose concentration (1.2 M) [29]. Table 2 shows the comparison of the performance of the EFC and MFC used in this study. The calculated ave. power density of the $\Delta 5$ strain with 5% NaHCO_3 was 0.27 mW/cm^3 ,

which reached approximately 35% that obtained by the in EFC (0.77 mW/cm^3) of a previous report [29]. The highest coulombic efficiency was 21.3% obtained from the $\Delta 5$ strain with 1% NaHCO_3 , which is five times or more when compared with that of the EFC (3.7%). Thus, although the power output is lower when compared with EFC, the constructed MFC provides a considerable improvement on previous MFCs and has reached a comparable level to EFCs.

Conclusions

In this study, the effect of central metabolic activity of *E. coli* cells, using glucose as an energy source on the performance of a MFC was studied. Single-gene deletions of *frdA*, *pdhR*, *ldhA*, and *adhE* increased the power output of the constructed MFC. Multiple-gene knockout mutants created using P1 transduction showed that the $\Delta 5$ ($\Delta frdA \Delta pdhR \Delta ldhA \Delta adhE \Delta pta$) strain resulted in the highest ave. power (1.82 mW) and coulombic efficiency (21.3%). Finally, controlling the pH value was found to be a key factor in improving the power output of the $\Delta 5$ strain and a maximum ave. power of 2.21 mW was achieved with 5% NaHCO_3 . The ave. power density of the constructed MFC was 0.27 mW/cm^3 , which is comparable in performance to an enzymatic fuel cell (0.77 mW/cm^3).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Number 17K06932 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. We thank the Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

References

1. Choudhury P, Prasad Uday US, Bandyopadhyay TK, Ray RN, Bhunia B (2017) Performance improvement of microbial fuel cell (MFC) using suitable electrode and Bioengineered organisms: A review. *Bioengineered* 8: 471-487
2. Li M, Zhou M, Tian X, Tan C, McDaniel CT, Hassett DJ, Gu T (2018) Microbial fuel cell (MFC) power performance improvement through enhanced microbial electrogenicity. *Biotechnol Adv* 36: 1316-1327
3. Santoro C, Arbizzani C, Erable B, Ieropoulos I (2017) Microbial fuel cells: From fundamentals to applications. A review. *J Power Sources* 356: 225-244
4. Oliveira VB, Simões M, Melo LF, Pinto AMFR (2013) Overview on the developments of microbial fuel cells. *Biochem Eng J* 73: 53-64
5. Potter MC (1911) Electrical effects accompanying the decomposition of organic compounds. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 84: 260-276
6. Liu J, Yong Y-C, Song H, Li CM (2012) Activation enhancement of citric acid cycle to promote bioelectrocatalytic activity of arcA knockout *Escherichia coli* toward high-performance microbial fuel cell. *ACS Catal* 2: 1749-1752
7. Ojima Y, Kawata T, Matsuo N, Nishinoue Y, Taya M (2014) Recovery of electric energy from formate by using a recombinant strain of *Escherichia coli*. *Bioprocess Biosyst Eng* 37: 2005-2008
8. Wang C-T, Chen W-J, Huang R-Y (2010) Influence of growth curve phase on electricity performance of microbial fuel cell by *Escherichia coli*. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* 35: 7217-7223
9. Logan BE, Regan JM (2006) Electricity-producing bacterial communities in microbial fuel cells. *Trends Microbiol* 14: 512-518
10. Lovley DR (2006) Microbial fuel cells: novel microbial physiologies and engineering approaches. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 17: 327-332
11. Feng J, Qian Y, Wang Z, Wang X, Xu S, Chen K, Ouyang P (2018) Enhancing the performance of *Escherichia coli*-inoculated microbial fuel cells by introduction of the phenazine-1-carboxylic acid pathway. *J Biotechnol* 275: 1-6
12. Jensen HM, Albers AE, Malley KR, Londer YY, Cohen BE, Helms BA, Weigele P, Groves JT, Ajo-Franklin CM (2010) Engineering of a synthetic electron conduit in living cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 19213-19218
13. Jensen HM, TerAvest MA, Kokish MG, Ajo-Franklin CM (2016) CymA and exogenous flavins improve extracellular electron transfer and couple it to cell growth in Mtr-Expressing *Escherichia coli*. *ACS Synth Biol* 5: 679-688
14. Sturm-Richter K, Golitsch F, Sturm G, Kipf E, Dittrich A, Beblawy S, Kerzenmacher S, Gescher J (2015) Unbalanced fermentation of glycerol in *Escherichia coli* via heterologous production of an electron transport chain and electrode interaction in microbial electrochemical cells. *Bioresour Technol* 186: 89-96
15. Yong YC, Yu YY, Yang Y, Liu J, Wang JY, Song H (2013) Enhancement of extracellular electron transfer and bioelectricity output by synthetic porin. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 110: 408-416
16. Park IH, Heo YH, Kim P, Nahm KS (2013) Direct electron transfer in *E. coli* catalyzed MFC with a magnetite/MWCNT modified anode. *RSC Adv* 3: 16665-16671

17. Singh S, Modi A, Verma N (2016) Enhanced power generation using a novel polymer-coated nanoparticles dispersed-carbon micro-nanofibers-based air-cathode in a membrane-less single chamber microbial fuel cell. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* 41: 1237-1247
18. Zhou X, Chen X, Li H, Xiong J, Li X, Li W (2016) Surface oxygen-rich titanium as anode for high performance microbial fuel cell. *Electrochim Acta* 209: 582-590
19. Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H (2006) Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: The Keio collection. *Mol Syst Biol* 2: 2006.0008
20. Koma D, Yamanaka H, Moriyoshi K, Ohmoto T, Sakai K (2012) A convenient method for multiple insertions of desired genes into target loci on the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 93: 815-829
21. Kaneshiro H, Takano K, Takada Y, Wakisaka T, Tachibana T, Azuma M (2014) A milliliter-scale yeast-based fuel cell with high performance. *Biochem Eng J* 83: 90-96
22. Liu H, Logan BE (2004) Electricity generation using an air-cathode single chamber microbial fuel cell in the presence and absence of a proton exchange membrane. *Environ Sci Technol* 38: 4040-4046
23. Clark DP (1989) The fermentation pathways of *Escherichia coli*. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 63: 223-234
24. Partridge JD, Sanguinetti G, Dibden DP, Roberts RE, Poole RK, Green J (2007) Transition of *Escherichia coli* from aerobic to micro-aerobic conditions involves fast and slow reacting regulatory components. *J Biol Chem* 282: 11230-11237
25. Maklashina E, Berthold DA, Cecchini G (1998) Anaerobic expression of *Escherichia coli* succinate dehydrogenase: functional replacement of fumarate reductase in the respiratory chain during anaerobic growth. *J Bacteriol* 180: 5989-5996
26. Mazumdar S, Clomburg JM, Gonzalez R (2010) *Escherichia coli* strains engineered for homofermentative production of D-lactic acid from glycerol. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 76: 4327-4336
27. Zhou L, Zuo ZR, Chen XZ, Niu DD, Tian KM, Prior BA, Shen W, Shi GY, Singh S, Wang ZX (2011) Evaluation of genetic manipulation strategies on D-lactate production by *Escherichia coli*. *Curr Microbiol* 62: 981-989
28. Maeda S, Shimizu K, Kihira C, Iwabu Y, Kato R, Sugimoto M, Fukiya S, Wada M, Yokota A (2017) Pyruvate dehydrogenase complex regulator (PdhR) gene deletion boosts glucose metabolism in *Escherichia coli* under oxygen-limited culture conditions. *J Biosci Bioeng* 123: 437-443
29. Sakai H, Mita H, Sugiyama T, Tokita Y, Shirai O, Kano K (2014) Construction of a Multi-stacked Sheet-type Enzymatic Biofuel Cell. *Electrochemistry* 82: 156-161

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Overview of the modification of *E. coli* central metabolism as a biocatalyst for improving power output in a constructed MFC system. This overview includes the hypothetical mechanism of electron transfer, in which HNQ obtains electrons from a quinone pool such as ubiquinone in the cell membrane of *E. coli* cells.

Fig.1

Fig. 2 Time course of power output of whole fuel cells composed of various single-gene knockout mutant *E. coli* strains. Power was calculated based on the measurement of voltage and current. The lines show the average value of power obtained from more than three independent experiments.

Fig.2

Fig. 3 Ave. power output of whole fuel cells for 18 h operation with each knockout mutant strain of *E. coli*. Data were obtained from more than three independent experiments. Vertical bars indicate standard deviations. Statistically significant differences from the WT strain ($p < 0.05$) are marked with asterisks.

Fig.3

Fig. 4 Time courses of power outputs of whole fuel cells composed of various multiple-gene knockout mutant strains of *E. coli*. The definitions of the strains are given in Table 1. The lines show the average value of the power obtained from more than three independent experiments.

Fig.4

Fig. 5 Ave. power output, glucose consumption, and coulombic efficiency of whole fuel cells for 18 h operation with various multiple-gene knockout mutant strains of *E. coli*. The definitions of the strains are given in Table 1. Data were obtained from more than three independent experiments. Vertical bars indicate standard deviations. Asterisks show statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

fig.5

Fig. 6 Effect of the mediator (HNQ) concentration on the performance of MFCs with the *E. coli* $\Delta 5$ strain. (A) Power output of MFCs with 0.05%, 0.10% and 0.20% HNQ. (B) Ave. power outputs of MFCs for 18 h operation with different HNQ concentrations. Data were obtained from more than three independent experiments and vertical bars indicate standard deviations. Asterisks show statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Fig.6

Fig. 7 Effect of NaHCO₃ concentration on the pH value of the anodic solution and performance of the *E. coli* Δ5 strain. (A) pH value of the anodic solution over 18 h. (B) Time courses of power outputs of the *E. coli* Δ5 strain. (C) Ave. power output, glucose consumption, and coulombic efficiency of whole fuel cells for 18 h operation. Data were obtained from more than three independent experiments and vertical bars indicate standard deviations. Asterisks show statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Fig.7

Table 1 *E. coli* strains used in this study

Strain	Note	Reference
<i>E. coli</i>		
BW25113	<i>rrnBT14 ΔlacZ</i> WJ16 <i>hsdR</i> 514 <i>ΔaraBAD</i> AH33	[19]
<i>ΔfrdA</i> (JW4115)	BW25113, <i>ΔfrdA::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔpdhR</i> (JW0109)	BW25113, <i>ΔpdhR::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔldhA</i> (JW1375)	BW25113, <i>ΔldhA::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔadhE</i> (JW1228)	BW25113, <i>ΔadhE::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>Δpta</i> (JW2294)	BW25113, <i>Δpta::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔackA</i> (JW2293)	BW25113, <i>ΔackA::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔpoxB</i> (JW0855)	BW25113, <i>ΔpoxB::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>Δacs</i> (JW4030)	BW25113, <i>Δacs::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔpflB</i> (JW0886)	BW25113, <i>ΔpflB::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔadhP</i> (JW1474)	BW25113, <i>ΔadhP::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔarcA</i> (JW4364)	BW25113, <i>ΔarcA::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔarcB</i> (JW5536)	BW25113, <i>ΔarcB::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
<i>ΔrpoS</i> (JW5437)	BW25113, <i>ΔrpoS::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	[19]
BW25113(DE3)	Derived from BW25113, λDE3 phage lysogen	[20]
<i>ΔfrdA</i> (DE3)	BW25113(DE3), <i>ΔfrdA::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	This study
Δ2	Derived from <i>ΔfrdA</i> (DE3), <i>ΔfrdAΔpdhR::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	This study
Δ3	<i>ΔfrdAΔpdhRΔldhA::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	This study
Δ4	<i>ΔfrdAΔpdhRΔldhAΔadhE::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	This study
Δ5	<i>ΔfrdAΔpdhRΔldhAΔadhEΔpta::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	This study
Δ6-1	<i>ΔfrdAΔpdhRΔldhAΔadhEΔptaΔpflB::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	This study
Δ6-2	<i>ΔfrdAΔpdhRΔldhAΔadhEΔptaΔpoxB::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	This study
Δ6-3	<i>ΔfrdAΔpdhRΔldhAΔadhEΔptaΔarcA::FRT-Km-FRT</i>	This study

Table 2 Comparison of the performance of the enzymatic biofuel cell and the microbial fuel cell in this study.

Biocatalyst	Cell volume [cm ³]	Operating Time [h]	Ave. power [mW]	Ave. power density [mW/cm ³]	Coulombic efficiency ^a [%]	Reference
Glucose dehydrogenase	6.5	16.6	4.98	0.77	3.7	[29]
BW25113DE3 (1%NaHCO ₃)	8.3	18.0	1.23	0.15	8.2	This study
Δ5 (1%NaHCO ₃)	8.3	18.0	1.82	0.22	21.3	This study
Δ5 (5%NaHCO ₃)	8.3	18.0	2.20	0.27	10.4	This study

^a Calculation of the theoretical value in terms of a 24-electron reaction by glucose degradation.

Table S1 Primers for knockout confirmation

Primers	Note
<i>frdA</i> -F	5'-CGTGTCTCAAACGGGACCAAATG -3'
<i>frdA</i> -R	5'-CGATGAACTCTGGGTTCAGG -3'
<i>pdhR</i> -F	5'-ATGCGTGTGTAAGTTTGCAATTC -3'
<i>pdhR</i> -R	5'-AAGACTGGAAGGACGCCATATG -3'
<i>ldhA</i> -F	5'-GCAGAATCAAGTTCTACCGTGC -3'
<i>ldhA</i> -R	5'-GTCTGTTTTGCGGTCGCCAG -3'
<i>adhE</i> -F	5'-GTCTGAATCACGGTTAGCTCC -3'
<i>adhE</i> -R	5'-CCAGTCATCCTTCAGGTAACG -3'
<i>pta</i> -F	5'-GGCATGAGCGTTGACGCAAT -3'
<i>pta</i> -R	5'-GATCCTGAGGTTAATCCTTCA -3'
<i>pflB</i> -F	5'-ATATGACCGCAAATGGTCAA -3'
<i>pflB</i> -R	5'-ACAGGTATGAATGCCTTCTT -3'
<i>poxB</i> -F	5'-CCGTATATGGATTGGGTAGAGC -3'
<i>poxB</i> -R	5'-GCTTCTTTCAGGTATTCCCG -3'
<i>arcA</i> -F	5'-GCTTAAAAAAGGCTAAAC -3'
<i>arcA</i> -R	5'-GCAATCAGCAGAATGGCGTAC -3'