CRITICAL AND SUBCRITICAL FRACTIONAL TRUDINGER-MOSER TYPE INEQUALITIES ON $\mathbb{R}^N$

FUTOSHI TAKAHASHI

Citation	OCAMI Preprint Series
Issue Date	2016
Type	Preprint
Textversion	Author
Relation	The following article has been submitted to Advances in Nonlinear Analysis. This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version. The article has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2017-0116 .
Is version of	https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2017-0116 .

From: Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute

<http://www.sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp/OCAMI/publication/preprint/preprint.html>

CRITICAL AND SUBCRITICAL FRACTIONAL TRUDINGER-MOSER TYPE INEQUALITIES ON $\mathbb{R}$

FUTOSHI TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we are concerned with the critical and subcritical Trudinger-Moser type inequalities for functions in a fractional Sobolev space $H^{1/2,2}$ on the whole real line. We prove the relation between two inequalities and discuss the attainability of the suprema.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$ be a domain with finite volume. Then the Sobolev embedding theorem assures that $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for any $q \in [1, +\infty)$, however, a simple example shows that the embedding $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ does not hold. Instead, functions in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ enjoy the exponential summability:

$$W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \{u \in L^N(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \exp\left(\alpha|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right) dx < \infty \text{ for any } \alpha > 0\},$$

see Yudovich [29], Pohozaev [24], and Trudinger [28]. Later, Moser [18] improved the embedding above as follows, now known as the Trudinger-Moser inequality:

$$TM(\Omega, \alpha) = \sup_{\substack{u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \\ \|\nabla u\|_{L^N(\Omega)} \leq 1}} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \exp(\alpha|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}) dx \begin{cases} < \infty, & \alpha \leq \alpha_N, \\ = \infty, & \alpha > \alpha_N, \end{cases}$$

here $\alpha_N = N\omega_{\frac{N-1}{N-1}}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ and $\omega_{N-1} = |S^{N-1}|$ denotes the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. On the attainability of $TM(\Omega, \alpha)$, Carleson-Chang [4], Flucher [6], and Lin [13] proved that $TM(\Omega, \alpha)$ is attained for any $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_N$.

On domains with infinite volume, for example on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$, the Trudinger-Moser inequality does not hold as it is. However,

Date: March 22, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35A23; Secondary 26D10.

Key words and phrases. Trudinger-Moser inequality, fractional Sobolev spaces, maximizing problem.

several variants are known on the whole space. In the following, let

$$\Phi_N(t) = e^t - \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \frac{t^j}{j!}$$

denote the truncated exponential function.

First, Ogawa [20], Ogawa-Ozawa [21], Cao [3], Ozawa [23], and Adachi-Tanaka [1] proved that the following inequality holds true, which we call Adachi-Tanaka type Trudinger-Moser inequality:

$$A(N, \alpha) = \sup_{\substack{u \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \\ \|\nabla u\|_{L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_N(\alpha |u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}) dx \begin{cases} < \infty, & \alpha < \alpha_N, \\ = \infty, & \alpha \geq \alpha_N. \end{cases}$$

The inequality enjoys the scale invariance under the scaling $u(x) \mapsto u_\lambda(x) = u(\lambda x)$ for $\lambda > 0$. Note that the critical exponent $\alpha = \alpha_N$ is not allowed for the finiteness of the supremum. Recently, it is proved that $A(N, \alpha)$ is attained for any $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_N)$ by Ishiwata-Nakamura-Wadade [10] and Dong-Lu [5]. In this sense, Adachi-Tanaka type Trudinger-Moser inequality has a subcritical nature of the problem.

On the other hand, Ruf [26] and Li-Ruf [15] proved that the following inequality holds true:

$$B(N, \alpha) = \sup_{\substack{u \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ \|u\|_{W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_N(\alpha |u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}) dx \begin{cases} < \infty, & \alpha \leq \alpha_N, \\ = \infty, & \alpha > \alpha_N. \end{cases}$$

Here $\|u\|_{W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)}^N + \|u\|_{L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)}^N \right)^{1/N}$ is the full Sobolev norm. Note that the scale invariance ($u \mapsto u_\lambda$) does not hold for this inequality. Also note that the critical exponent $\alpha = \alpha_N$ is permitted to the finiteness.

Concerning the attainability of $B(N, \alpha)$, the following facts have been proved:

- If $N \geq 3$, then $B(N, \alpha)$ is attained for $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_N$ [26].
- If $N = 2$, then there exists $\alpha_* > 0$ such that $B(2, \alpha)$ is attained for $\alpha_* < \alpha \leq \alpha_2 (= 4\pi)$ [26], [9].
- If $N = 2$ and $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently small, then $B(2, \alpha)$ is not attained. [9].

The non-attainability of $B(2, \alpha)$ for α sufficiently small is attributed to the non-compactness of “vanishing” maximizing sequences, as described in [9].

In the following, we focus our attention on the fractional Sobolev spaces.

Let $s \in (0, 1)$, $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. For $s > 0$, let us consider the space

$$L_s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|}{1 + |x|^{N+s}} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

For $u \in L_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we define the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{s/2}u$ as follows: First, for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the rapidly decreasing functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$, $(-\Delta)^{s/2}\phi$ is defined via the normalized Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ as $(-\Delta)^{s/2}\phi(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^s \mathcal{F}\phi(\xi))(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then for $u \in L_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $(-\Delta)^{s/2}u$ is defined as the element of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^N$, by the relation

$$\langle \phi, (-\Delta)^{s/2}u \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2}\phi, u \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\Delta)^{s/2}\phi \cdot u dx, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Note that $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \geq 1$. Also note that it could happen $\text{supp}((-\Delta)^{s/2}u) \not\subset \Omega$ even if $\text{supp}(u) \subset \Omega$ for some open set Ω in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

By using the above notion, we define the *Bessel potential space* $H^{s,p}(\Omega)$ for a (possibly unbounded) set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ as

$$\begin{aligned} H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) &= \{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : (-\Delta)^{s/2}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \}, \\ \tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega) &= \{ u \in H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Sobolev-Slobodeckij space $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) &= \{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty \}, \\ [u]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

and for a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we define

$$\tilde{W}^{s,p}(\Omega) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}$$

where $\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + [u]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \right)^{1/p}$. It is known that

$$\tilde{W}^{s,p}(\Omega) = \{ u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \}$$

if Ω is a Lipschitz domain and $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = F_{p,2}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (Triebel-Lizorkin space), $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = B_{p,p}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (Besov space). Thus $H^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, however in general, $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \neq W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p \neq 2$. See [25], [11] and the references therein.

Recently, Martinazzi [17] (see also [12]) proved a fractional Trudinger-Moser type inequality on $\tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega)$ as follows: Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and

$s = N/p$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|\Omega| < \infty$, it holds

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in \tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega) \\ \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq 1}} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \exp(\alpha|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}) dx \begin{cases} < \infty, & \alpha \leq \alpha_{N,p}, \\ = \infty, & \alpha > \alpha_{N,p}. \end{cases}$$

Here $\alpha_{N,p} = \frac{N}{\omega_{N-1}} \left(\frac{\Gamma((N-s)/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)2^s\pi^{N/2}} \right)^{-p/(p-1)}$.

We note that, differently from the classical case, the attainability of the supremum is not known even for $N = 1$ and $p = 2$.

On the Sobolev-Slobodeckij spaces $\tilde{W}^{s,p}(\Omega)$ with $sp = N$, similar fractional Trudinger-Moser inequality is also proved by Parini-Ruf [25] when $N \geq 2$ and Iula [11] when $N = 1$. In this case, the result is weaker and the inequality holds true only for $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_{N,p}^*$ for some (explicit) value $\alpha_{N,p}^*$. Also, it is not known the inequality holds or not when $\alpha = \alpha_{N,p}^*$.

In the following, we are interested in the simplest one dimensional case, that is, we put $N = 1$, $s = 1/2$ and $p = 2$. In this case, the Bessel potential space $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ coincides with the Sobolev-Slobodeckij space $W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ and both seminorms are related as

$$\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}[u]_{W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})}^2,$$

see Proposition 3.6. in [19]. Then the fractional Trudinger-Moser inequality in [17], [12] can be read as

Proposition 1. *(A fractional Trudinger-Moser inequality on $\tilde{H}^{1/2,2}(I)$)*
Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open bounded interval. Then it holds

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in \tilde{H}^{1/2,2}(I) \\ \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(I)} \leq 1}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I e^{\alpha|u|^2} dx \begin{cases} < \infty, & \alpha \leq \alpha_{1,2} = \pi, \\ = \infty, & \alpha > \pi \end{cases}$$

For the fractional Adachi-Tanaka type Trudinger-Moser inequality on the whole line, put

$$(1.1) \quad A(\alpha) = \sup_{\substack{u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} \\ \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx.$$

Then by the precedent results by Ogawa-Ozawa [21] and Ozawa [23], it is known that $A(\alpha) < \infty$ for small exponent α .

On the other hand, it is already known a fractional Li-Ruf type Trudinger-Moser inequality on $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$:

Proposition 2. (*Iula-Maalaoui-Martinazzi [12]*)

$$(1.2) \quad B(\alpha) = \sup_{\substack{u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \\ \|u\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 \right) dx \begin{cases} < \infty, & \alpha \leq \pi, \\ = \infty, & \alpha > \pi. \end{cases}$$

Here

$$\|u\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} = \left(\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

is the full Sobolev norm on $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Concerning $A(\alpha)$ in (1.1), a natural question is that to what range of the exponent α the supremum is finite. As pointed out in [8], it remained an open problem for a while. In this paper, first we prove the finiteness of supremum in the full range of values of exponent.

Theorem 1. (*Full range Adachi-Tanaka type on $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$*) We have

$$A(\alpha) = \sup_{\substack{u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} \\ \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 \right) dx. \begin{cases} < \infty, & \alpha < \pi, \\ = \infty, & \alpha \geq \pi. \end{cases}$$

Ozawa [22] proved that the Adachi-Tanaka type Trudinger-Moser inequality is equivalent to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality, and he also proved an exact relation between the best constants of both inequalities. As a result, we have the next corollary.

Corollary 1. *Set*

$$\beta_0 = \limsup_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}}{q^{1/2} \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1-2/q} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{2/q}}.$$

Then $\beta_0 = (2\pi e)^{-1/2}$.

Furthermore, we obtain the relation between the suprema of both critical and subcritical Trudinger-Moser type inequalities along the line of Lam-Lu-Zhang [14].

Theorem 2. (*Relation*) We have

$$B(\pi) = \sup_{\alpha \in (0, \pi)} \frac{1 - (\alpha/\pi)}{(\alpha/\pi)} A(\alpha).$$

Also we obtain how Adachi-Tanaka type supremum $A(\alpha)$ behaves when α tends to π .

Theorem 3. (*Asymptotic behavior*) *There exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any $\alpha < \pi$ which is close enough to π , it holds*

$$\frac{C_1}{1 - \alpha/\pi} \leq A(\alpha) \leq \frac{C_2}{1 - \alpha/\pi}.$$

Note that the estimate from the above follows from Theorem 2 and Proposition 2. On the other hand, we will see that the estimate from the below follows from a computation using the Moser sequence.

Concerning the existence of maximizers of Adachi-Tanaka type supremum $A(\alpha)$ in (1.1), we see

Theorem 4. (*Attainability of $A(\alpha)$*) *$A(\alpha)$ is attained for any $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$.*

On the other hand, as for $B(\alpha)$ in (1.2), we have

Theorem 5. (*Non-attainability of $B(\alpha)$*) *For $0 < \alpha \ll 1$, $B(\alpha)$ is not attained.*

It is plausible that there exists $\alpha_* > 0$ such that $B(\alpha)$ is attained for $\alpha_* < \alpha \leq \pi$, but we do not have a proof up to now.

Finally, we improve the subcritical Adachi-Tanaka type inequality along the line of Dong-Lu [5]:

Theorem 6. *For $\alpha > 0$, set*

$$(1.3) \quad E(\alpha) = \sup_{\substack{u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} \\ \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 e^{\alpha u^2} dx.$$

Then we have

$$E(\alpha) \begin{cases} < \infty, & \alpha < \pi, \\ = \infty, & \alpha \geq \pi. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, $E(\alpha)$ is attained for all $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$.

Since $e^{\alpha t^2} - 1 \leq \alpha t^2 e^{\alpha t^2}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, Theorem 6 extends Theorem 1. In the classical case, Dong-Lu used a rearrangement technique to reduce the problem to one-dimension and obtained the similar inequality by estimating a one-dimensional integral. The method is similar to [4]. In the fractional setting $H^{1/2,2}$, we cannot follow this argument and we need a new idea.

The organization of the paper is as follows: In section 2, we prove Theorem 1, 2, and 3. In section 3, we prove Theorem 4 and 5. In section 4, we prove Theorem 6.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1, 2, AND 3

For the proofs of Theorem 1, 2, and 3, we prepare several lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Set*

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{A}(\alpha) = \sup_{\substack{u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} \\ \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1 \\ \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx.$$

Then $\tilde{A}(\alpha) = A(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha > 0$.

Proof. For any $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda > 0$, we put $u_\lambda(x) = u(\lambda x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \|u_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \lambda^{-1}\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \end{cases}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= [u_\lambda]_{W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u(\lambda x) - u(\lambda y)|^2}{|x - y|^2} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u(\lambda x) - u(\lambda y)|^2}{|\lambda x - \lambda y|^2} d(\lambda x) d(\lambda y) \\ &= [u]_{W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 2\pi \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$, if we choose $\lambda = \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$, then $u_\lambda \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 1.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u_\lambda^2} - 1) dx \leq \tilde{A}(\alpha),$$

which implies $A(\alpha) \leq \tilde{A}(\alpha)$. The opposite inequality is trivial. $\square$

Lemma 2. *For any $0 < \alpha < \pi$, it holds*

$$A(\alpha) \leq \frac{(\alpha/\pi)}{1 - (\alpha/\pi)} B(\pi).$$

Proof. Choose any $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ and $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1$. Put $v(x) = Cu(\lambda x)$ where $C^2 = \alpha/\pi \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda = \frac{C^2}{1-C^2}$. Then

by scaling rules (2.2), we see

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &= C^2 \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \lambda^{-1}C^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\leq C^2 + \lambda^{-1}C^2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\pi v^2} - 1) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\pi C^2 u^2(\lambda x)} - 1) dx \\ &= \lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\pi C^2 u^2(y)} - 1) dy \\ &= \frac{1 - C^2}{C^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2(y)} - 1) dy \\ &= \frac{1 - (\alpha/\pi)}{(\alpha/\pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2(y)} - 1) dy.\end{aligned}$$

Thus testing $B(\pi)$ by v , we see

$$B(\pi) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\pi v^2} - 1) dx \geq \frac{1 - (\alpha/\pi)}{(\alpha/\pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2(y)} - 1) dy.$$

By taking the supremum for $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ and $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1$, we have

$$B(\pi) \geq \frac{1 - (\alpha/\pi)}{(\alpha/\pi)} \tilde{A}(\alpha).$$

Finally, Lemma 1 implies the result. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1: The assertion that $A(\alpha) < \infty$ for $\alpha < \pi$ follows from Lemma 2 and the fact $B(\pi) < \infty$ by Proposition 2.

For the proof of $A(\pi) = \infty$, we use the Moser sequence

$$(2.3) \quad u_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} (\log(1/\varepsilon))^{1/2}, & \text{if } |x| < \varepsilon, \\ \frac{\log(1/|x|)}{(\log(1/\varepsilon))^{1/2}}, & \text{if } \varepsilon < |x| < 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq |x|, \end{cases}$$

and its estimates

$$(2.4) \quad \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \pi + o(1),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \pi (1 + (C \log(1/\varepsilon))^{-1}),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = O((\log(1/\varepsilon))^{-1})$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for some $C > 0$. Note $u_\varepsilon \in \tilde{W}^{1/2,2}((-1,1)) \subset W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) = H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$. For the estimate (2.4), we refer to Iula [11] Proposition 2.2. For the estimate (2.5), we refer to [11] equation (35). Actually, after a careful look of the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [11], we confirm that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\log(1/\varepsilon)) \left(\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 - \pi \right) \leq C$$

for a positive $C > 0$, which implies (2.5). For (2.6), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \int_{|x| \leq \varepsilon} (\log(1/\varepsilon)) dx + \int_{\varepsilon < |x| \leq 1} \left(\frac{\log(1/|x|)}{(\log(1/\varepsilon))^{1/2}} \right)^2 dx \\ &= 2\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon) + \frac{2}{\log(1/\varepsilon)} \int_{\log(1/\varepsilon)}^0 t^2 (-e^t) dx \\ &= 2\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon) + \frac{2}{\log(1/\varepsilon)} (\Gamma(3) + o(1)) \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus we obtain (2.6).

By testing $A(\pi)$ by $v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon / \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\pi) &\geq \frac{1}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\pi v_\varepsilon^2} - 1) dx \\ &\geq \frac{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{|x| \leq \varepsilon} (e^{\pi v_\varepsilon^2} - 1) dx \\ &\geq \frac{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \varepsilon \exp \left(\pi \frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \varepsilon \exp \left(\frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{1 + (C \log(1/\varepsilon))^{-1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

since $e^t - 1 \geq (1/2)e^t$ for t large and (2.5). Also since

$$\frac{t}{1 + \frac{1}{Ct}} - t = \frac{-1/C}{1 + \frac{1}{Ct}} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{C} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

we see $\frac{t}{1 + \frac{1}{Ct}} = t - 1/C + o(1)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Put $t = \log(1/\varepsilon)$, we see

$$\exp \left(\frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{1 + (C \log(1/\varepsilon))^{-1}} \right) = \exp (\log(1/\varepsilon) - 1/C + o(1)) = (1/\varepsilon) e^{-1/C + o(1)},$$

which leads to

$$\varepsilon \exp \left(\frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{1 + (C \log(1/\varepsilon))^{-1}} \right) \geq e^{-1/C + o(1)} \geq \delta > 0$$

for some $\delta > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, by (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), we have for $\delta' > 0$

$$A(\pi) \geq \frac{\pi + o(1)}{(C \log(1/\varepsilon))^{-1}} \delta \geq \delta' (\log(1/\varepsilon)) \rightarrow \infty$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This proves $A(\pi) = \infty$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2: By Lemma 2, we have

$$B(\pi) \geq \sup_{\alpha \in (0, \pi)} \frac{1 - (\alpha/\pi)}{(\alpha/\pi)} A(\alpha).$$

Let us prove the opposite inequality. Let $\{u_n\} \subset H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$, $u_n \neq 0$, $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 1$, be a maximizing sequence of $B(\pi)$.

We may assume $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 < 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$$\begin{cases} v_n(x) = \frac{u_n(\lambda_n x)}{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}, & (x \in \mathbb{R}) \\ \lambda_n = \frac{1 - \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus by (2.2), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} v_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= 1, \\ \|v_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \frac{\lambda_n^{-1}}{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \frac{\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{1 - \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 1$. Thus, setting $\alpha_n = \pi \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 < \pi$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may test $A(\alpha_n)$ by $\{v_n\}$, which results in

$$\begin{aligned} B(\pi) + o(1) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\pi u_n^2(y)} - 1 \right) dy \\ &= \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\pi \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 v_n^2(x)} - 1 \right) dx \\ &\leq \lambda_n \frac{1}{\|v_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha_n v_n^2(x)} - 1 \right) dx \\ &\leq \lambda_n A(\alpha_n) = \frac{1 - (\alpha_n/\pi)}{(\alpha_n/\pi)} A(\alpha_n) \\ &\leq \sup_{\alpha \in (0, \pi)} \frac{1 - (\alpha/\pi)}{(\alpha/\pi)} A(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used a change of variables $y = \lambda_n x$ for the second equality, and $\|v_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 1$ for the first inequality. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have the desired result. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3:

We need to prove that there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that for any $\alpha < \pi$ which is sufficiently close to π , it holds that

$$A(\alpha) \geq \frac{C_1}{1 - \alpha/\pi}.$$

Again we use the Moser sequence (2.3) and we test $A(\alpha)$ by $v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon / \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. As in the similar calculations in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha) &\geq \frac{1}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha v_\varepsilon^2} - 1 \right) dx \\ &\geq \frac{(1/2)}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{|x| \leq \varepsilon} e^{\alpha v_\varepsilon^2} dx \\ &\geq C\varepsilon (\log(1/\varepsilon)) \exp \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{1 + (C \log(1/\varepsilon))^{-1}} \right) \\ &= C\varepsilon (\log(1/\varepsilon)) \exp (\delta_\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)) \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\delta_\varepsilon = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right) \frac{1}{1 + (C \log(1/\varepsilon))^{-1}} \in (0, 1)$.

Now, for $\alpha < \pi$ which is sufficiently close to π , we fix $\varepsilon > 0$ small such that

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \alpha/\pi} \leq \log(1/\varepsilon) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \alpha/\pi},$$

which implies

$$\exp \left(-\frac{2}{1 - \alpha/\pi} \right) \leq \varepsilon \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{1 - \alpha/\pi} \right).$$

With this choice of $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha) &\geq C\varepsilon (\log(1/\varepsilon)) \exp (\delta_\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)) \\ (2.8) \quad &= C\varepsilon (\log(1/\varepsilon)) (1/\varepsilon)^{\delta_\varepsilon} = C\varepsilon^{1-\delta_\varepsilon} (\log(1/\varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{1-\delta_\varepsilon} &\geq \left(\exp \left(-\frac{2}{1-\alpha/\pi} \right) \right)^{1-\delta_\varepsilon} = \exp \left(-\frac{2}{1-\alpha/\pi} (1-\delta_\varepsilon) \right) \\
&= \exp \left(-\left(\frac{2}{1-\alpha/\pi} \right) \left\{ (1-\alpha/\pi) + (\alpha/\pi) \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+(C \log 1/\varepsilon)^{-1}} \right) \right\} \right) \\
&= \exp \left(-2 - \left(\frac{2(\alpha/\pi)}{1-\alpha/\pi} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1+C \log 1/\varepsilon} \right) \right) \\
&\geq \exp \left(-2 - \left(\frac{2(\alpha/\pi)}{1-\alpha/\pi} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{C}{1-\alpha/\pi}} \right) \right) \\
&= e^{-2} \cdot e^{-\frac{2(\alpha/\pi)}{C+1-\alpha/\pi}} = e^{-2} \cdot e^{-f(\alpha/\pi)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $f(t) = \frac{2t}{C+1-t}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and we have used (2.7) in the last inequality. We easily see that $f(0) = 0$, $f'(t) = \frac{2(C+1)}{(C+1-t)^2} > 0$ for $t > 0$, thus $f(t)$ is strictly increasing in t and $\max_{t \in [0,1]} f(t) = f(1) = 2/C$. Thus we have

$$\varepsilon^{1-\delta_\varepsilon} \geq e^{-2} \cdot e^{-2/C} =: C_0$$

which is independent of α . Backing to (2.8) with (2.7), we observe that

$$A(\alpha) \geq C\varepsilon^{1-\delta_\varepsilon} (\log(1/\varepsilon)) \geq CC_0 (\log(1/\varepsilon)) \geq \frac{CC_0}{1-\alpha/\pi}$$

which proves the result. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 4 AND 5

For $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$, u^* will denote its symmetric decreasing rearrangement defined as follows: For a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, let A^* denote an open interval $A^* = (-|A|/2, |A|/2)$. We define u^* by

$$u^*(x) = \int_0^\infty \chi_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}: |u(y)| > t\}^*}(x) dt$$

where χ_A denote the indicator function of a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Note that u^* is nonnegative, even, and decreasing on the positive line $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$. It is known that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u^*) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(|u|) dx$$

for any nonnegative measurable function $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, which is the difference of two monotone increasing functions F_1, F_2 with $F_1(0) =$

$F_2(0) = 0$ such that either $F_1 \circ |u|$ or $F_2 \circ |u|$ is integrable. Also the inequality of Pólya-Szegö type

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(-\Delta u^*)^{1/4}|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(-\Delta u)^{1/4}|^2 dx$$

holds true for $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$, see for example, [2] and [16].

Remark 1. Note that Radial Compactness Lemma by Strauss [27] is violated on $\mathbb{R}$. More precisely, let

$$H_{rad}^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) = \{u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) : u(x) = u(-x), x \geq 0\},$$

then $H_{rad}^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ cannot be embedded compactly in $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ for any $q > 0$. To see this, let $\psi \neq 0$ be an even function in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset (-1, 1)$ and put $u_n(x) = \psi(x - n) + \psi(x + n)$. Then we see u_n is even, compactly supported smooth function, and $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But $\{u_n\}$ does not have any strong convergent subsequence in $L^q(\mathbb{R})$, because $\|u_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}^q = 2\|\psi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}^q > 0$ for any n sufficient large.

However, for a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with u_n even, nonnegative and decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have the following compactness result.

Proposition 3. *Assume $\{u_n\} \subset H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a sequence such that u_n is even, nonnegative and decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Let $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ for any $q \in (2, +\infty)$ for a subsequence.*

Proof. Since $\{u_n\} \subset H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a weakly convergent sequence, we have $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_n\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$ for some $C > 0$. We also have $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for a subsequence, thus u is even, nonnegative and decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Now, we use the estimate below, which is referred to a Simple Radial Lemma: If $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is even, nonnegative and decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$, then it holds

$$(3.2) \quad u^2(x) \leq \frac{1}{2|x|} \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} u^2(y) dy \leq \frac{1}{2|x|} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \quad (x \neq 0).$$

Thus $u_n^2(x) \leq \frac{C}{2|x|}$ for $x \neq 0$ by $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_n\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$ and $u^2(x) \leq \frac{C}{2|x|}$ by the pointwise convergence. Now, set $v_n = |u_n - u|^q$ for $q > 2$.

Then we see $v_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \geq R} |u_n - u|^q dx &= 2 \int_R^\infty |u_n - u|^q dx \\ &\leq 2^q \left(\int_R^\infty |u_n|^q dx + \int_R^\infty |u|^q dx \right) \\ &\leq C \int_R^\infty \frac{dx}{|x|^{q/2}} = \frac{CR^{1-q/2}}{(q/2) - 1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$ since $q > 2$. Thus $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable. Also by [19] Theorem 6.9, we know that

$$H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{for any } q_0 \geq 2 \text{ and } \|u\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|u\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

For any $q > 2$, take q_0 such that $2 < q < q_0 < \infty$. Since $u_n - u$ is uniformly bounded in $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\|u_n - u\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$, and

$$\int_I v_n dx = \int_I |u_n - u|^q dx \leq \left(\int_I |u_n - u|^{q_0} dx \right)^{q/q_0} |I|^{1-q/q_0}$$

for any bounded measurable set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $\int_I v_n dx \rightarrow 0$ if $|I| \rightarrow 0$, which implies $\{v_n\}$ is uniformly absolutely continuous. Thus by Vitali's Convergence Theorem (see for example, [7] p.187), we obtain $v_n = |u_n - u|^q \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, which is the desired conclusion. $\square$

Proposition 4. Assume $\{u_n\} \subset H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a sequence with $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$. Let $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ for some u and assume u_n is even, nonnegative and decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u_n^2} - 1 - \alpha u_n^2 \right) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 - \alpha u^2 \right) dx$$

for any $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$.

Proof. The similar proposition above is already appeared, see [10] Lemma 3.1, and [5] Lemma 5.5. We prove it here for the reader's convenience.

Put $\Phi_\alpha(t) = e^{\alpha t^2} - 1$ and $\Psi_\alpha(t) = e^{\alpha t^2} - 1 - \alpha t^2$. Note that $\Phi_\alpha(t)$ is nonnegative, strictly convex and $\Psi'_\alpha(t) = 2\alpha t \Phi_\alpha(t)$. Thus by the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_\alpha(u_n) - \Psi_\alpha(u)| &\leq \Psi'_\alpha(\theta u_n + (1 - \theta)u) |u_n - u| \\ &\leq 2\alpha |\theta u_n + (1 - \theta)u| \Phi_\alpha(\theta u_n + (1 - \theta)u) |u_n - u| \\ &\leq 2\alpha (|u_n| + |u|) (\theta \Phi_\alpha(u_n) + (1 - \theta) \Phi_\alpha(u)) |u_n - u| \\ &\leq 2\alpha (|u_n| + |u|) (\Phi_\alpha(u_n) + \Phi_\alpha(u)) |u_n - u|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Psi_{\alpha}(u_n) - \Psi_{\alpha}(u)| dx &\leq 2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u_n| + |u|) (\Phi_{\alpha}(u_n) + \Phi_{\alpha}(u)) |u_n - u| dx \\
 (3.3) \quad &\leq 2\alpha \| |u_n| + |u| \|_{L^a(\mathbb{R})} \|\Phi_{\alpha}(u_n) + \Phi_{\alpha}(u)\|_{L^b(\mathbb{R})} \|u_n - u\|_{L^c(\mathbb{R})}
 \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality, where $a, b, c > 1$ and $1/a + 1/b + 1/c = 1$ are chosen later.

First, direct calculation shows that

$$(3.4) \quad (\Phi_{\alpha}(t))^b < e^{b\alpha t^2} - 1 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

for all $b > 1$. Thus if we fix $1 < b < \pi/\alpha$ so that $b\alpha < \pi$ is realized, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Phi_{\alpha}(u_n) + \Phi_{\alpha}(u)\|_{L^b(\mathbb{R})}^b &\leq (\|\Phi_{\alpha}(u_n)\|_{L^b(\mathbb{R})} + \|\Phi_{\alpha}(u)\|_{L^b(\mathbb{R})})^b \\
 &\leq 2^{b-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Phi_{\alpha}(u_n))^b dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Phi_{\alpha}(u))^b dx \right) \\
 &\leq 2^{b-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{b\alpha u_n^2} - 1) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{b\alpha u^2} - 1) dx \right) \\
 &\leq 2^{b-1} A(b\alpha) \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

here we used (3.4) for the third inequality and Theorem 1 for the last inequality, the use of which is valid since $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ and $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ by the weak lower semicontinuity. Note that $\{u_n\}$ satisfies $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_n\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$ for some $C > 0$. Thus we have obtained $\|\Phi_{\alpha}(u_n) + \Phi_{\alpha}(u)\|_{L^b(\mathbb{R})} = O(1)$ independent of n .

Next, we estimate the term $\| |u_n| + |u| \|_{L^a(\mathbb{R})}$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$, we have by [19] Theorem 6.9 that $\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|u_n\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})}$ for any $q \geq 2$. Thus we see $\| |u_n| + |u| \|_{L^a(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ independent of n for $a \geq 2$. Now, note that if we choose $1 < b < \pi/\alpha$ and $a > 2$ sufficiently large, then we can find $c > 2$ such that $1/a + 1/b + 1/c = 1$.

By these choices and Proposition 3, we conclude that $\|u_n - u\|_{L^c(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Backing to (3.3) with all together, we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi_{\alpha}(u_n) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi_{\alpha}(u) dx \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

which is the desired conclusion. $\square$

Now, we prove Theorem 4. We will show that $A(\alpha)$ in (1.1) is attained for any $0 < \alpha < \pi$. Since $A(\alpha) = \tilde{A}(\alpha)$ by Lemma 1, we choose

a maximizing sequence for $\tilde{A}(\alpha)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u_n^2} - 1 \right) dx = A(\alpha) + o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Here $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ and $\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1$. By appealing to the use of rearrangement, we may furthermore assume that u_n is nonnegative, even, and decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Since $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a bounded sequence, we have $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$. By Proposition 4, we see

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u_n^2} - 1 - \alpha u_n^2 \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 - \alpha u^2 \right) dx$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, since $\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 1$, we have, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(3.5) \quad A(\alpha) = \alpha + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 - \alpha u^2 \right) dx.$$

Next, we claim that $A(\alpha) > \alpha$ for any $0 < \alpha < \pi$. Indeed, take any $u_0 \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $u_0 \not\equiv 0$, $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1$. Then we have

$$A(\alpha) = \tilde{A}(\alpha) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u_0^2} - 1 \right) dx = \alpha + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u_0^2} - 1 - \alpha u_0^2 \right) dx.$$

Now, since $e^{\alpha t^2} - 1 - \alpha t^2 > 0$ for any $t > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u_0^2} - 1 - \alpha u_0^2 \right) dx > 0$$

for $u_0 \not\equiv 0$, which results in $A(\alpha) > \alpha$, the claim.

By the claim and (3.5), we conclude that the weak limit u satisfies $u \not\equiv 0$. By the weak lower semi continuity, we have $u \not\equiv 0$ satisfies $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ and $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$. Thus by (3.5) again, we see

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha) &= \alpha + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 - \alpha u^2 \right) dx \\ &\leq \alpha + \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 - \alpha u^2 \right) dx \\ &= \alpha + \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 \right) dx - \alpha \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ maximizes $A(\alpha)$. $\square$

Next, we prove Theorem 5. We follow Ishiwata's argument in [9].
Let

$$M = \{u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) : \|u\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} = 1\},$$

$$J_\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad J_\alpha(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx.$$

Actually, we will show a stronger claim that J_α has no critical point on M for sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$. Assume the contrary that there exists a critical point $v \in M$ of J_α for small $\alpha > 0$. Then we define an orbit on M through v as

$$v_\tau(x) = \sqrt{\tau}v(\tau x) \quad \tau \in (0, \infty), \quad w_\tau = \frac{v_\tau}{\|v_\tau\|_{H^{1/2}}} \in M.$$

Note that $w_1 = v$ thus it must be $\left. \frac{d}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=1} J_\alpha(w_\tau) = 0$. By scaling rules (2.2), we see for any $p \geq 2$,

$$\|v_\tau\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p = \tau^{p/2-1} \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p \quad \text{and} \quad \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} v_\tau\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \tau \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Now, we see

$$\begin{aligned} J_\alpha(w_\tau) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha w_\tau^2} - 1) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^j}{j!} \frac{v_\tau^{2j}(x)}{(\|v_\tau\|_2^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} v_\tau\|_2^2)^j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^j}{j!} \frac{\|v_\tau\|_{2j}^{2j}}{(\|v_\tau\|_2^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} v_\tau\|_2^2)^j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^j}{j!} \frac{\tau^{j-1} \|v\|_{2j}^{2j}}{(\|v\|_2^2 + \tau \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} v\|_2^2)^j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^j}{j!} f_j(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_j(\tau) = \frac{\tau^{j-1}c}{(b+\tau a)^j}$ with $a = \|(-\Delta)^{1/4} v\|_2^2$, $b = \|v\|_2^2$ and $c = \|v\|_{2j}^{2j}$.
Since

$$f'_j(\tau) = \frac{\tau^{j-2}c}{(b+\tau a)^{j+1}} \{-\tau a + (j-1)b\}$$

and $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2 = 1$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left. \frac{d}{d\tau} J_\alpha(w_\tau) \right|_{\tau=1} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\alpha^j}{j!} \frac{\tau^{j-2} \|v\|_{2j}^{2j}}{(\|v\|_2^2 + \tau \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_2^2)^{j+1}} \left\{ -\tau \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_2^2 + (j-1) \|v\|_2^2 \right\} \right]_{\tau=1} \\
&\leq -\alpha \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^j}{(j-1)!} \|v\|_{2j}^{2j} \\
&= \alpha \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2 \left\{ -1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \frac{\|v\|_{2j}^{2j}}{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3. (*Ogawa-Ozawa [21]*) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $p \geq 2$, it holds*

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p \leq Cp^{p/2} \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

For $p = 2j$, Lemma 3 implies

$$\frac{\|v\|_{2j}^{2j}}{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2} \leq C(2j)^j \underbrace{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_2^{2j-4}}_{\leq 1 (j \geq 2)} \leq C(2j)^j.$$

Thus for $0 < \alpha \ll 1$ sufficiently small (it would be enough that $\alpha < 1/(2e)$), Stirling's formula $j! \sim j^j e^{-j} \sqrt{2\pi j}$ implies that

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \frac{\|v\|_{2j}^{2j}}{\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2} \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} (2j)^j \leq \alpha C$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of α . Therefore we have $\left. \frac{d}{d\tau} J_\alpha(w_\tau) \right|_{\tau=1} < 0$ for small α , which is a desired contradiction. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 6.

In order to prove Theorem 6, first we set

$$(4.1) \quad F(\beta) = \sup_{\substack{u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \\ \|u\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 e^{\beta u^2} dx$$

for $\beta > 0$. Then we have

Proposition 5. *We have $F(\beta) < \infty$ for $\beta < \pi$*

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 1.5 in [12]. Take any $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|u\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ in the admissible sets for $F(\beta)$ in (4.1). By appealing to the rearrangement, we may assume that u is even, nonnegative and decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$. We divide the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 e^{\beta u^2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} u^2 e^{\beta u^2} dx + \int_I u^2 e^{\beta u^2} dx = (I) + (II),$$

where $I = (-1/2, 1/2)$.

First, we estimate (I) . By the Radial Lemma (3.2), we see for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$,

$$u^{2k}(x) \leq \left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{2|x|} \right)^k = \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{2k}}{2^k} \frac{1}{|x|^k} \quad \text{for } x \neq 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} u^{2k}(x) dx &\leq \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{2k}}{2^k} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} \frac{dx}{|x|^k} \\ &= \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{2k}}{2^{k-1}} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^k} = \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{2k}}{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I) &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} u^2 e^{\beta u^2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} u^2 \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^k u^{2k}}{k!} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} u^2 dx + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} u^{2k} dx \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{2k}}{k-1} \\ &= \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{k-1}}{(k-1)(k-1)!} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{2(k-1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now by the constraint $\|u\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$, we have $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$. Also if we put $a_k = \frac{\beta^{k-1}}{(k-1)(k-1)!}$, then $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k$ converges since $a_{k+1}/a_k = \beta \frac{k-1}{k^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we obtain

$$(I) \leq 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{k-1}}{(k-1)(k-1)!} \leq C$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of $u \in H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|u\|_{H^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$.

Next, we estimate (II). Set

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) - u(1/2), & |x| \leq 1/2, \\ 0, & |x| > 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Then by the argument of [12], we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \\ u^2(x) &\leq v^2(x) \left(1 + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2\right) + 2 \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in I$. Put $w = v\sqrt{1 + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}$. Then we have $w \in \tilde{H}^{1/2,2}(I)$ since $v \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus I$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \left(1 + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2\right) \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2\right) \left(1 - \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2\right) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may use the fractional Trudinger-Moser inequality (Proposition 1) to w to obtain

$$\int_I e^{\pi w^2} dx \leq C$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of u . By $u^2 \leq w^2 + 2$ on I , we conclude that

$$\int_I e^{\pi u^2} dx \leq \int_I e^{\pi(w^2+2)} dx = e^{2\pi} \int_I e^{\pi w^2} dx \leq C'.$$

Now, since $\beta < \pi$, there is an absolute constant C_0 such that $t^2 e^{\beta t^2} \leq C_0 e^{\pi t^2}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, we obtain

$$(II) = \int_I u^2 e^{\beta u^2} dx \leq C_0 \int_I e^{\pi u^2} dx \leq C_0 C'.$$

Proposition 5 follows from the estimates (I) and (II). $\square$

By using Proposition 5 and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1 (after establishing the similar claims as in Lemma 1 and Lemma 2), it is easy to obtain the following Proposition:

Proposition 6. *For any $0 < \alpha < \beta < \pi$, we have*

$$E(\alpha) \leq \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha/\beta}\right) F(\beta).$$

Since $F(\beta) < \infty$ for any $\beta < \pi$, this proves the first part of Theorem 6. For the attainability of $E(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$, it is enough to argue as in the proof of Theorem 4. We omit the details. $\square$

Acknowledgments.

Part of this work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), No.15H03631, JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research, No.26610030.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Adachi, and K. Tanaka: *A scale-invariant form of Trudinger-Moser inequality and its best exponent*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **1102**, (1999) 148-153.
- [2] F. J. Almgren, Jr. and E. Lieb: *Symmetric decreasing rearrangement is sometimes continuous*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), no. 4, 683–773.
- [3] D. M. Cao: *Nontrivial solution of semilinear elliptic equation with critical exponent in $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. **17**, (1992) 407-435.
- [4] L. Carleson, and S.-Y.A. Chang: *On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser*, Bull. Sci. Math. **2**(110), (1986) 113-127.
- [5] M. Dong, and G. Lu: *Best constants and existence of maximizers for weighted Trudinger-Moser inequalities*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **55** (2016), no. 4, Art. 88, 26 pp.
- [6] M. Flucher: *Extremal functions for the Trudinger-Moser inequality in 2 dimensions*, Comment. Math. Helv. **67**, (1992) 471-497.
- [7] G. B. Folland: *Real analysis. Modern techniques and their applications. Second edition*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999. xvi+386 pp.
- [8] A. Iannizzotto, and M. Squassina: *1/2-Laplacian problems with exponential nonlinearity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **414a** (2014), no. 1, 372–385.
- [9] M. Ishiwata: *Existence and nonexistence of maximizers for variational problems associated with Trudinger-Moser type inequalities in $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Math. Ann. **351**, (2011) 781-804.
- [10] M. Ishiwata, M. Nakamura and H. Wadade: *On the sharp constant for the weighted Trudinger-Moser type inequality of the scaling invariant form*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire. **31** (2014), no. 2, 297-314.
- [11] S. Iula: *A note on the Moser-Trudinger inequality in Sobolev-Slobodeckij spaces in dimension one*, arXiv:1610.00933v1 (2016)
- [12] S. Iula, A. Maalaoui and L. Martinazzi: *A fractional Moser-Trudinger type inequality in one dimension and its critical points*, Differential Integral Equations **29** (2016), no. 5-6, 455-492.
- [13] K. C. Lin: *Extremal functions for Moser's inequality*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **348**, (1996) 2663-2671.
- [14] N. Lam, G. Lu, and L. Zhang: *Equivalence of critical and subcritical sharp Trudinger-Moser-Adams inequalities*, arXiv:1504.04858v1 (2015)
- [15] Y. Li, and B. Ruf: *A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57**, (2008) 451-480.
- [16] E. Lieb, and M. Loss: *Analysis (second edition)*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 14, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, (2001), xxii+346 pp.
- [17] L. Martinazzi: *Fractional Adams-Moser-Trudinger type inequalities*, Nonlinear Anal. **127a** (2015), 263–278.
- [18] J. Moser: *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20**, (1970) 1077-1092.

- [19] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci: *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [20] T. Ogawa: *A proof of Trudinger's inequality and its application to nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **14**, (1990) 765–769.
- [21] T. Ogawa, and T. Ozawa: *Trudinger type inequalities and uniqueness of weak solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger mixed problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **155**, (1991) 531–540.
- [22] T. Ozawa: *Characterization of Trudinger's inequality*, J. Inequal. Appl. **1** (1997), no. 4, 369–374.
- [23] T. Ozawa: *On critical cases of Sobolev's inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal. **127**, (1995) 259–269.
- [24] S. Pohozaev: *The Sobolev embedding in the case $pl = n$* , Proceedings of the Technical Scientific Conference on Advances of Scientific Research (1964/1965). Mathematics Section, Moskov. Energetics Institute, Moscow, (1965) 158–170.
- [25] E. Parini, and B. Ruf: *On the Moser-Trudinger inequality in fractional Sobolev-Slobodeckij spaces*, arXiv:1607.07681v1 (2016)
- [26] B. Ruf: *A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$* , J. Funct. Anal. **219**, (2005) 340–367.
- [27] W. A. Strauss, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **55** (1977), no. 2, 149–162.
- [28] N. S. Trudinger: *On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications*, J. Math. Mech. **17**, (1967) 473–483.
- [29] V. I. Yudovich: *Some estimates connected with integral operators and with solutions of elliptic equations*, Dok. Akad. Nauk SSSR **138**, (1961) 804–808.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY & OCAMI, SUMIYOSHI-KU, OSAKA, 558-8585, JAPAN
E-mail address: futoshi@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp