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#### Abstract

In this paper we are concerned with the least energy solutions to the Lane-Emden problem driven by an anisotropic operator, so-called the Finsler $N$-Laplacian, on a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We prove several asymptotic formulae as the nonlinear exponent gets large.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer. In this paper, we study the following Lane-Emden problem driven by an anisotropic operator $Q_{N}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-Q_{N} u=u^{p} \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u>0 \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, p>1$ is any positive number, and $Q_{N}$ is a quasilinear operator, so-called the Finsler $N$ Laplacian, defined by

$$
Q_{N} u=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(H(\nabla u)^{N-1} H_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u)\right) .
$$

[^0]Here $H \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ is any norm on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $H_{\xi_{i}}(\xi)=\frac{\partial H(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{i}}$. We assume that $H^{N} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and Hess $\left(H^{N}(\xi)\right)$ is positive definite for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \xi \neq 0$. Note that $Q_{N} u$ can be written as

$$
Q_{N} u=\operatorname{div}\left(\left.\nabla_{\xi}\left(\frac{1}{N} H(\xi)^{N}\right)\right|_{\xi=\nabla u}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} a_{i j}(\nabla u) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}},
$$

where $a_{i j}(\nabla u)=\left.\operatorname{Hess}\left(\frac{1}{N} H^{N}(\xi)\right)_{i, j}\right|_{\xi=\nabla u}$. If $H(\xi)=|\xi|$ (the Euclidean norm), then $Q_{N} u$ coincides with the $N$-Laplacian $\Delta_{N} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u\right)$ of a function $u$. In this case, the problem (1.1) was treated by Ren and Wei [21] [22] when $N=2$, and in [23] for general $N \geq 2$. Ren and Wei [23] considered the least energy solution $u_{p}$ of the following quasilinear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{N} u=u^{p} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u>0 \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. They studied the asymptotic behavior of $u_{p}$ as the nonlinear exponent $p \rightarrow \infty$, and proved that the least energy solutions remain bounded in $L^{\infty}$-norm regardless of $p$. When the dimension $N=2$, they showed that the least energy solutions must develop one "peak" in the interior of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, that is, the shape of graph of $u_{p}$ looks like a single spike as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover they showed that this peak point must be a critical point of the Robin function of the domain. For other generalizations of this problem to various situations, see for example, [28], [29], [30], [24], [25].

Now, main aim of the paper is to extend the results of Ren and Wei [21], [22], [23] to the anisotropic problem (1.1).

As in [21], [22], [23], we restrict our attention to the least energy solutions to (1.1) constructed as follows:

Consider the constrained minimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p}=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u)^{N} d x: u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|u|^{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} d x=1\right\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Sobolev imbedding $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ is compact for any $p>1$, we have at least one minimizer $\underline{u}_{p}$ for the problem (1.2), where $\underline{u}_{p} \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega),\left\|\underline{u}_{p}\right\|_{p+1}=1$. As $\left|\underline{u}_{p}\right| \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ also achieves $C_{p}$, we may assume $\underline{u}_{p}>0$. Note that $Q_{N}(c u)=c^{N-1} Q_{N}(u)$ for a constant $c>0$. Thus if we define

$$
u_{p}=C_{p}^{\frac{1}{p+1-N}} \underline{u}_{p}
$$

then $u_{p}$ solves (1.1) and $C_{p}=\int_{\Omega} H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)^{N} d x /\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{p}\right|^{p+1} d x\right)^{\frac{N}{p+1}}$. Standard regularity argument implies that any weak solution $u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ satisfies $u \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$. We call $u_{p}$ the least energy solution to (1.1).

Our first result is the following $L^{\infty}$-bound of least energy solutions.
Theorem 1.1. Let $u_{p}$ be a least energy solution to (1.1). Then there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}$ (independent of $p$ ), such that

$$
0<C_{1} \leq\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_{2}<\infty
$$

for $p$ large enough.
Furthermore, we have

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)^{N} d x=\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p+1} d x=\left(\frac{N e \beta_{N}}{N-1}\right)^{N-1}
$$

where $\beta_{N}=N\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}, \kappa_{N}=|\mathcal{W}|$ is the volume (with respect to the $N$-dimensional Hausdorff measure) of the unit Wulff ball associated with the dual norm $H^{0}$ of $H$ :

$$
\mathcal{W}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: H^{0}(x)<1\right\}
$$

On the asymptotic behavior of the $L^{\infty}$-norm of $u_{p}$, we have
Theorem 1.2. Let $u_{p}$ be a least energy solution to (1.1). Then it holds that

$$
1 \leq \limsup _{p \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}
$$

To state further results, we need some definitions. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p}=\frac{u_{p}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $v_{p}$ is a weak solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-Q_{N} v_{p}=f_{p}(x)=\frac{u_{p}^{p}}{\int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p} d x} \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.4}\\
v_{p}>0 \text { in } \Omega \\
v_{p}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, X, Y \neq 0, X \neq Y$, we put

$$
d(X, Y)=\frac{\left(H^{N-1}(X)\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(X)-H^{N-1}(Y)\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(Y)\right) \cdot(X-Y)}{H^{N}(X-Y)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{N}=\inf \left\{d(X, Y) \mid X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, X, Y \neq 0, X \neq Y\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X \cdot Y=\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{j} Y_{j}$ denotes the usual inner product for $X, Y \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. As in [32] Lemma 5.1, we can obtain the estimate

$$
\min \left\{\frac{\lambda}{\beta^{N}}, 1\right\} \leq d_{N} \leq 1
$$

where $\lambda$ is the least eigenvalue of $\operatorname{Hess}\left(\frac{1}{N} H^{N}(\xi)\right)$, which is positive by the assumption (2.2) and $\beta$ is as in (2.3), see $\S 2$. Also define

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=\limsup _{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}{\left(\frac{N}{N-1} e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\right)}, \quad L_{1}=d_{N}^{-\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)} L_{0} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a sequence $v_{p_{n}}$ of $v_{p}$, we define the blow-up set $S$ of $\left\{v_{p_{n}}\right\}$ as usual:
$S=\left\{x \in \bar{\Omega}: \exists\right.$ a subsequence $v_{p_{n}^{\prime}}, \exists\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset \Omega$ s.t. $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $\left.v_{p_{n}^{\prime}}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty\right\}$.
In the following, $\sharp A$ denotes the cardinality of a set $A$ and $[\cdot]$ denotes the Gauss symbol.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a smooth bounded domain. Then for any sequence $v_{p_{n}}$ of $v_{p}$ with $p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, the blow-up set $S$ of $v_{p_{n}}$ is nonempty. Also there exists a subsequence (still denoted by $v_{p_{n}}$ ) such that the estimate

$$
\sharp(S \cap \Omega) \leq\left[\frac{e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}}{d_{N}}\right]
$$

holds true for this subsequence.
Assume $S \cap \Omega=\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right\} \subset \Omega$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}=\frac{u_{p_{n}}^{p_{n}}}{\int_{\Omega} u_{p_{n}}^{p_{n}} d x} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} \delta_{x_{i}} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of Radon measures of $\Omega$, where

$$
\gamma_{i} \geq\left(\frac{\beta_{N}}{L_{1}}\right)^{N-1}
$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} \leq 1$.
(ii) $v_{p_{n}} \rightarrow G$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega \backslash(S \cap \Omega))$ for some function $G$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}-Q_{N} G=0 & \text { in } \Omega \backslash(S \cap \Omega), \\ G=+\infty & \text { on } S \cap \Omega, \\ G=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \backslash(\partial \Omega \cap S) .\end{cases}
$$

(iii) $\left\|u_{p_{n}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(K)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any compact set $K \subset \Omega \backslash(S \cap \Omega)$.

In [23], Ren and Wei obtained an estimate of the number of interior blow-up set

$$
\sharp(S \cap \Omega) \leq\left[\frac{1}{d_{N}}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1}\right]
$$

when $H(\xi)=|\xi|$ case. Since $e^{x}<\frac{1}{1-x}$ for $x \in(0,1)$, we check that $e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}<\left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1}$ for all $N \geq 2$. Thus the estimate in Theorem 1.3 is better than that in [23] even when $H(\xi)$ coincides with the Euclidean norm $|\xi|$. Also, Theorem 1.2 seems new even for $H(\xi)=|\xi|$ and $N>2$ case.

Finally, we prove that if the blow-up set consists of one point, it must be an interior point of $\Omega$.

Theorem 1.4. Assume $\sharp S=1$ and $S=\left\{x_{0}\right\}, x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$. Then $x_{0} \in \Omega$ must hold.

The organization of the paper is as follows: In $\S 2$, we recall basic properties of the Finsler norm and collect useful lemmas about the Finsler $N$-Laplacian. In $\S 3$, we obtain asymptotic formula for $C_{p}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, and prove the latter half part of Theorem 1.1. In $\S 4$, we prove the $L^{\infty}$-bound of least energy solutions in Theorem 1.1. In $\S 5$, we prove Theorem 1.2 using an argument by Adimurthi and Grossi [1]. In §6, we prove Theorem 1.3. We use a notion of $(L, \delta)$-regular, or irregular points, which was originally introduced by Brezis and Merle [6]. Finally in $\S 7$, we prove Theorem 1.4 by using a local Pohozaev identity and an idea by Santra and Wei [25].

## 2. Notations and basic properties

Let $H$ be any norm on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, i.e., $H$ is convex, $H(\xi) \geq 0$ and $H(\xi)=0$ if and only if $\xi=0$, and $H$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t \xi)=|t| H(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.1), $H$ must be even: $H(-\xi)=H(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Throughout of the paper, we also assume that $H \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right), H^{N} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hess}\left(H^{N}(\xi)\right) \text { is positive definite for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \xi \neq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all norms on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ are equivalent to each other, we see the existence of positive constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha|\xi| \leq H(\xi) \leq \beta|\xi|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual norm of $H$ is the function $H^{0}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
H^{0}(x)=\sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\xi \cdot x}{H(\xi)}
$$

It is well-known that $H^{0}$ is also a norm on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and satisfies the inequality

$$
\frac{1}{\beta}|x| \leq H^{0}(x) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}|x|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

The set

$$
\mathcal{W}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: H^{0}(x)<1\right\}
$$

is called the Wulff ball, or the $H^{0}$-unit ball, and we denote $\kappa_{N}=$ $\mathcal{H}^{N}(\mathcal{W})$, where $\mathcal{H}^{N}$ denotes the $N$-dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We also denote $\mathcal{W}_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid H^{0}(x)<r\right\}$ for any $r>0$.

For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, the anisotropic $H$-perimeter of a set $E$ with respect to $\Omega$ is defined as

$$
P_{H}(E, \Omega)=\sup \left\{\int_{E \cap \Omega} \operatorname{div} \sigma d x: \sigma \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), H^{0}(\sigma(x)) \leq 1\right\}
$$

If $E$ is Lipschitz, then it holds $P_{H}(E, \Omega)=\int_{\Omega \cap \partial^{*} E} H(\nu) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}$, where $\partial^{*} E$ denotes the reduced boundary of the set $E$ and $\nu(x)$ is the measure theoretic outer unit normal of $\partial^{*} E$ (see [16]). Also we have $P_{H}\left(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=N \kappa_{N}$. For more explanation about the anisotropic perimeter, see [3] and [5].

Here we just recall some properties of $H$ and $H^{0}$. These will be proven by using the homogeneity property of $H$ and $H^{0}$, see [4] Lemma 2.1, and Lemma 2.2.

Proposition 2.1. Let $H$ be a Finsler norm on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then the following properties hold true:
(1) $\left|\nabla_{\xi} H(\xi)\right| \leq C$ for any $\xi \neq 0$.
(2) $\nabla_{\xi} H(\xi) \cdot \xi=H(\xi), \nabla_{x} H(x) \cdot x=H(x)$ for any $\xi \neq 0, x \neq 0$.
(3) $\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(t \xi)=\frac{t}{|t|}\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(\xi)$ for any $\xi \neq 0, t \neq 0$.
(4) $H\left(\nabla H^{0}(x)\right)=1 . H^{0}\left(\nabla_{\xi} H(\xi)\right)=1$.
(5) $H^{0}(x)\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)\left(\nabla_{x} H^{0}(x)\right)=x$.

Finally, given a smooth function $u$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, the Finsler Laplace operator of $u($ associated with $H)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q u(x) & =\operatorname{div}\left(H(\nabla u(x))\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(\nabla u(x))\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\left.H(\xi) H_{\xi_{j}}(\xi)\right|_{\xi=\nabla u(x)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, more generally, for any $1<q<\infty$, the Finsler $q$-Laplace operator $Q_{q}$ by

$$
Q_{q} u(x)=\operatorname{div}\left(H^{q-1}(\nabla u(x))\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(\nabla u(x))\right) .
$$

If we assume that $\operatorname{Hess}\left(H^{q}(\xi)\right)$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}, Q_{q}$ becomes a uniformly elliptic operator locally. The Finsler $q$-Laplacian has been widely investigated in literature by many authors in different settings, see [2], [5], [8], [9], [10], [12], [13], [14], [17], [20] [34] and the references therein.

We collect here several useful facts.
Theorem 2.2. (Finsler Trudinger-Moser inequality [32]) Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$. Let $u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ satisfy $\int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u)^{N} d x \leq$ 1. Then there exists a constant $C$ depending only on the dimension $N$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\beta|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right) d x \leq C|\Omega|
$$

holds for any $\beta \leq \beta_{N}=N\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$. Furthermore, $\beta_{N}$ is optimal in the sense that there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ with $\int_{\Omega} H\left(\nabla u_{n}\right)^{N} d x \leq 1$, such that $\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\beta\left|u_{n}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right) d x \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $\beta>\beta_{N}$.

Next is the unique existence of the Green function for the Finsler $p$-Laplacian.

Theorem 2.3. ([32]) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a bounded domain containing the origin. Define $\Omega^{*}=\Omega \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\Gamma(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C(p, N)\left(H^{0}(x)\right)^{\frac{p-N}{p-1}} \quad \text { for } \quad 1<p<N \\
C(N) \log \frac{1}{H^{0}(x)} \quad \text { for } \quad p=N
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $C(p, N)=\frac{p-N}{p-1}\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ and $C(N)=\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}$. Then there exists a unique function $G(\cdot, 0) \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega^{*}\right)$ with $|\nabla G| \in L^{p-1}(\Omega)$, $G / \Gamma \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}-Q_{p} G(\cdot, 0)=\delta_{0} & \text { in } \Omega \\ G(\cdot, 0)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, $g=G-\Gamma$ satisfies $g \in C(\Omega)$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} H^{0}(x) \nabla g(x)=0$.
We recall here useful regularity estimates which are valid for the Finsler $N$-Laplacian equations, under the assumption (2.2); see Serrin [26], Tolksdorf [31], DiBenedetto [15] and Lieberman [19].

Theorem 2.4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a smooth bounded domain. Then the following statements are true.
(1) Let $u \in W^{1, N}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of $-Q_{N} u=f$ in $\Omega$, where $f \in L^{q}(\Omega)$ for some $q>1$. Then for any subdomain $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}, q, N\right)>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}+\|u\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}\right)
$$

holds.
(2) Let $u \in W^{1, N}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of $-Q_{N} u=f$ in $\Omega$. Suppose $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq a$ and $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq b$ for some $a, b<\infty$. Then $u \in C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and for any subdomain $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}, a, b, \alpha\right)>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leq C
$$

holds. If, in addition, $u$ satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition $u=\phi$ on $\partial \Omega$ where $\phi \in C^{1, \beta}(\partial \Omega), \beta \in(0,1)$, then $u \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$ holds.
(3) (Harnack inequality) Let $u \in W^{1, N}(\Omega)$ be a nonnegative weak solution of $-Q_{N} u=f$ in $\Omega$. Suppose $\|f\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq b$ for some $q>1$. Then for any subdomain $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}, q, b\right)>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in \Omega^{\prime}} u(x) \leq C\left(1+\inf _{x \in \Omega^{\prime}} u(x)\right)
$$

holds.
Next is the result from [33] (Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2).
Theorem 2.5. (Finsler Brezis-Merle type inequality [33]) Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$.
(1) Suppose $u$ is a weak solution to

$$
\begin{cases}-Q_{N} u=f(x) & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $f \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. Then for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \beta_{N}\right)$ where $\beta_{N}=$ $N\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$, it holds that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\frac{\left(\beta_{N}-\varepsilon\right)|u(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}\right) d x \leq \frac{\beta_{N}}{\varepsilon}|\Omega|
$$

(2) Suppose $u$ and $v$ are weak solutions to

$$
-Q_{N} u=f(x)>0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

and

$$
-Q_{N} v=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \quad v=u \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
$$

respectively. Then for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \beta_{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\frac{\left(\beta_{N}-\varepsilon\right) d_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}|u(x)-v(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}\right) d x \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{\varepsilon}
$$

where $d_{N}$ is defined in (1.5).
Next is the Pohozaev identity for the Finsler $q$-Laplacian problem without the boundary condition. This is a special case of much more general identity proved in [11]. The identity below is known to hold for solutions in $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{2}(\Omega)$. The important point is that we can remove the condition $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ with the cost of the convexity and the $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$-regularity of the map $\mathbb{R}^{N} \ni \xi \mapsto H^{q}(\xi)$. This improvement is crucial for the application to the Finsler Laplacian problem, since the best possible regularity result of solutions is $C^{1, \alpha}$, not $C^{2}$, see Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.6. ([11]) Let $1<q<\infty$. Let $u \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a weak solution of $-Q_{q} u=f(u)$ in $\Omega$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a domain with the boundary of class $C^{1}$, and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Assume the map $\mathbb{R}^{N} \ni \xi \mapsto H^{q}(\xi)$ is convex and belongs to $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
N \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x & -\left(\frac{N-q}{q}\right) \int_{\Omega} H^{q}(\nabla u) d x \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega} F(u)(x-y) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x} \\
& -\frac{1}{q} \int_{\partial \Omega} H^{q}(\nabla u)(x-y) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x} \\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(H^{q-1}(\nabla u)\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(\nabla u) \cdot \nu(x)\right)((x-y) \cdot \nu(x)) d s_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds true for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Here $\nu$ is the outer unit normal of $\partial \Omega$ and $F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$.
Proof. Indeed, since $\mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi)=\frac{1}{q} H^{q}(\xi)-F(s)$ is of the "splitting" form, $F \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\xi \mapsto H^{q}(\xi)$ is convex and in $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, Lemma 5, thus the equation (3) in [11] holds as it is. Also, if we do not impose
the boundary condition $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ (and put $f=0$ there) in Lemma 2 in [11], we obtain the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial \Omega} & \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u)(h \cdot \nu) d s_{x}-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \int_{\partial \Omega} h_{j} D_{\xi_{i}} \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) D_{x_{j}} u \nu_{i} d s_{x} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{div} h) \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) d x-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \int_{\partial \Omega} D_{i} h_{j} D_{\xi_{i}} \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) D_{x_{j}} u d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $h \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Inserting $h(x)=x$ leads to the claim.
Finally, we prove the following simple lemma.
Lemma 2.7. Let $u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to $-Q_{N} u=f(u)$ in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Let $a, c>0, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then $v(x)=c u(a x+b)+d, x \in \Omega_{a, b}=\frac{\Omega-b}{a}$ is a weak solution to

$$
-Q_{N} v=a^{N} c^{N-1} f\left(\frac{v-d}{c}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega_{a, b}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega_{a, b}
$$

Proof. For $x \in \Omega_{a, b}$, put $y=a x+b \in \Omega$. Then for any $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{a, b}\right)$, $\tilde{\phi}(y)=\phi(x)$ belongs to $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{a, b}} H^{N-1}(\nabla v(x))\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(\nabla v(x)) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{a, b}} H^{N-1}(c a(\nabla u)(a x+b))\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(c a(\nabla u)(a x+b)) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} c^{N-1} a^{N-1} H^{N-1}(\nabla u(y))\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)\left((\nabla u(y)) \cdot a \nabla \tilde{\phi}(y) a^{-N} d y\right. \\
& =c^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} H^{N-1}(\nabla u(y))\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(\nabla u(y)) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi}(y) d y \\
& =c^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} f(u(y)) \tilde{\phi}(y) d y \\
& =c^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_{a, b}} f\left(\frac{v(x)-d}{c}\right) \phi(x) a^{N} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (2.1) and Proposition 2.1 (3). Thus we see

$$
\int_{\Omega_{a, b}} H^{N-1}(\nabla v(x))\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(\nabla v(x)) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) d x=a^{N} c^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_{a, b}} f\left(\frac{v(x)-d}{c}\right) \phi(x) d x
$$

This holds true for any $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{a, b}\right)$, which implies Lemma.

## 3. Asymptotic estimate for $C_{p}$

In this section, first by using the Finsler Trudinger-Moser inequality Theorem 2.2, we establish the refined Sobolev embedding.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a bounded domain. For any $t \geq 2$, there exists $D_{t}>0$ such that for any $u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$,

$$
\|u\|_{L^{t}(\Omega)} \leq D_{t} t^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}
$$

holds true. Furthermore, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} D_{t}=\left(\frac{1}{N \kappa_{N}^{1 / N}}\right)\left(\frac{N-1}{N e}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$. By the elementary inequality $\frac{x^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \leq e^{x}$ for $x \geq 0$ and $s \geq 0$, where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Gamma function, and the Finsler Trudinger-Moser inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{N} t+1\right)} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{t} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{N} t+1\right)} \int_{\Omega}\left(\beta_{N}\left(\frac{|u|}{\|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N} t} d x \beta_{N}^{-\frac{N-1}{N} t}\|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}^{t} \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\left(\beta_{N} \frac{|u(x)|}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right) d x \beta_{N}^{-\frac{N-1}{N} t}\|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}^{t} \\
& \leq C|\Omega| \beta_{N}^{-\frac{N-1}{N} t}\|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
D_{t}=\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{N} t+1\right)^{1 / t} C^{1 / t}|\Omega|^{1 / t} \beta_{N}^{-\frac{N-1}{N}} t^{-\frac{N-1}{N}}
$$

Then we have

$$
\|u\|_{L^{t}(\Omega)} \leq D_{t} t^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}
$$

Stirling's formula implies that

$$
\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{(N-1) t}{N}+1\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \sim\left(\frac{N-1}{N e}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} t^{\frac{N-1}{N}}
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. So we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} D_{t}=\beta_{N}^{-\frac{N-1}{N}}\left(\frac{N-1}{N e}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}=\left(\frac{1}{N \kappa_{N}^{1 / N}}\right)\left(\frac{N-1}{N e}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}
$$

which is a desired result.

Recall that $C_{p}$ is defined in (1.2). Using the above Lemma and energy comparison, we get the following.

Proposition 3.2. We have

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} C_{p}=\left(\frac{N e}{N-1} \beta_{N}\right)^{N-1}
$$

where $\beta_{N}=N\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$.
Proof. Lower bound $\lim \inf _{p \rightarrow \infty}(p+1)^{N-1} C_{p} \geq\left(\frac{N e}{N-1} \beta_{N}\right)^{N-1}$ is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.1 and the fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p}=\frac{\left\|H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)\right\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}^{N}}{\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^{N}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for least energy solutions $u_{p}$.
Therefore we must prove only the upper bound. We will do this by constructing a suitable test function for the value $C_{p}$.

We may assume that $0 \in \Omega$ and $\mathcal{W}_{L} \subset \Omega$ where $\mathcal{W}_{L}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right.$ : $\left.H^{0}(x)<L\right\}$. For $0<l<L$, consider the Finsler Moser function

$$
m_{l}(x)=\frac{1}{\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{1 / N}} \begin{cases}\left(\log \frac{L}{l}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}, & 0 \leq H^{0}(x) \leq l \\ \frac{\log \frac{L}{H^{0}(x)}}{\left(\log \frac{L}{L}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}}, & l \leq H^{0}(x) \leq L \\ 0, & L \leq H^{0}(x)\end{cases}
$$

We check that the Moser function $m_{l} \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ and $\left\|H\left(\nabla m_{l}\right)\right\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}=$ 1. Also it is easily checked that

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} m_{l}^{p+1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \geq\left(\int_{\mathcal{W}_{l}} m_{l}^{p+1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{1 / N}}\left(\log \frac{L}{l}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\left(l^{N} \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}
$$

Choosing $l=L \exp \left(-\left(\frac{N-1}{N^{2}}\right)(p+1)\right)$, we have

$$
\left\|m_{l}\right\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{1 / N}}\left(\frac{N-1}{N^{2}}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} e^{-\frac{N-1}{N}}(p+1)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\left(L^{N} \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}
$$

and

$$
C_{p} \leq \frac{\left\|H\left(\nabla m_{l}\right)\right\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)}^{N}}{\left\|m_{l}\right\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^{N}} \leq N \kappa_{N}\left(\frac{N^{2} e}{N-1}\right)^{N-1}(p+1)^{-(N-1)}\left(L^{N} \kappa_{N}\right)^{-\frac{N}{p+1}},
$$

which implies $\lim \sup _{p \rightarrow \infty}(p+1)^{N-1} C_{p} \leq\left(\frac{N e}{N-1} \beta_{N}\right)^{N-1}$.

Since

$$
\int_{\Omega} H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)^{N} d x=\int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p+1} d x
$$

and (3.1), we have the following lemma.

## Lemma 3.3.

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)^{N} d x=\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p+1} d x=\left(\frac{N e}{N-1} \beta_{N}\right)^{N-1}
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To obtain a lower bound for $\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, define the first eigenvalue of the Finsler $N$-Laplacian $Q_{N}$ :

$$
\lambda_{1}(\Omega)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u)^{N} d x: u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|u|^{N} d x=1\right\} .
$$

It is known that $0<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)<\infty$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p+1} d x=\int_{\Omega} H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)^{N} d x \geq \lambda_{1}(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{N} d x
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{p}^{p+1}-\lambda_{1}(\Omega) u_{p}^{N}\right) d x \geq 0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p+1-N} \geq \lambda_{1}(\Omega) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain a uniform upper bound of $\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, we use an argument with the coarea formula and the Finsler isoperimetric inequality in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{p}=\max _{x \in \Omega} u_{p}(x), \\
& \Omega_{t}=\left\{x \in \Omega: u_{p}(x)>t\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{A}=\left\{x \in \Omega: u_{p}(x)>\frac{\gamma_{p}}{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.1 with $t=\frac{N p}{N-1}$ and by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{\frac{N p}{N-1}} d x\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N p}} \leq D_{\frac{N p}{N-1}}\left(\frac{N p}{N-1}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\left\|H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)\right\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)} \leq M
$$

where $M$ is independent of $p$ if $p$ large. From this and Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\gamma_{p}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N p}{N-1}}|\mathcal{A}| \leq M^{\frac{N_{p}}{N-1}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by approximating the constant 1 on $\Omega_{t}$ by $C_{0}^{\infty}{ }_{-}$ functions, we have

$$
-\int_{\Omega_{t}} \operatorname{div}\left(H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)^{N-1}\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)\right) d x=\int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{p}^{p} d x
$$

Thus integration by parts leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{p}^{p} d x & =-\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)^{N-1}\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)\left(\nabla u_{p}\right) \cdot \nu d s \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)^{N-1}\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)\left(\nabla u_{p}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{p}}{\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|} d s  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)^{N}}{\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|} d s,
\end{align*}
$$

since the outer unit normal $\nu$ to $\partial \Omega_{t}$ is $\nu=-\frac{\nabla u_{p}}{\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|}$. Here we used Proposition 2.1 (3) in the last equality. Coarea formula implies

$$
\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=\int_{\Omega_{t}} 1 d x=\int_{t}^{\infty} \int_{\left\{u_{p}=s\right\}} \frac{d s}{\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{d s}{\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.3), (4.4), and the Schwartz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(-\frac{d}{d t}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|\right)^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{p}^{p} d x & =\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{1}{\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|} d s\right)^{N-1}\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{H^{N}\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)}{\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|} d s\right) \\
& \geq\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{H\left(\nabla u_{p}\right)}{\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|} d s\right)^{N}  \tag{4.5}\\
& =\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} H(\nu) d s\right)^{N} \\
& =P_{H}\left(\Omega_{t}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{N} \geq N^{N} \kappa_{N}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{N-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the last inequality of (4.5), we used the Finsler isoperimetric inequality in $\mathbb{R}^{N}[3]$, [27], [18]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{H}\left(E, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \geq N \kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N}}|E|^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any set of finite perimeter $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with respect to $H$.
Now, define $r(t)>0$ such that

$$
\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=\kappa_{N} r^{N}(t) .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=N \kappa_{N} r^{N-1}(t) r^{\prime}(t)
$$

Note that $r^{\prime}(t)<0$. Putting this in (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(-N \kappa_{N} r^{N-1}(t) \frac{d r}{d t}(t)\right)^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{p}^{p} d x \geq N^{N} \kappa_{N}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{N-1}, \\
& \left(-\frac{d r}{d t}\right)^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{p}^{p} d x \geq\left(N \kappa_{N}\right) r^{N-1}, \\
& -\frac{d t}{d r} \leq\left(\int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{p}^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}} r^{-1} \\
& \leq C r^{-1} \gamma_{p}^{\frac{p}{N-1}}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{\frac{1}{N-1}}=C \gamma_{p}^{\frac{p}{N-1}} r^{\frac{1}{N-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant dependent only on $N$ and varies from line to line. Integrating the last inequality from $r=0$ to $r=r_{0}$, we have

$$
t(0)-t\left(r_{0}\right) \leq C \gamma_{p}^{\frac{p}{N-1}} r_{0}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}
$$

Choose $r_{0}$ such that $t\left(r_{0}\right)=\frac{\gamma_{p}}{2}$. Then the above inequality implies

$$
\gamma_{p} \leq C \gamma_{p}^{\frac{p}{N-1}} r_{0}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \gamma_{p} \leq C \gamma_{p}^{\frac{p}{N-1}}|\mathcal{A}|^{\frac{1}{N-1}}
$$

Combining this with (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{p} \leq C \gamma_{p}^{\frac{p}{N-1}}\left(\frac{M^{\frac{N p}{N-1}}}{\left(\frac{\gamma_{p}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N p}{N-1}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}=C \gamma_{p}^{-\frac{p}{(N-1)^{2}}} M^{\frac{N_{p}}{(N-1)^{2}}}, \\
& \gamma_{p}^{1+\frac{p}{(N-1)^{2}}} \leq C M^{\frac{N p}{(N-1)^{2}}}, \\
& \gamma_{p} \leq C^{\frac{(N-1)^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}+p}} M^{\frac{N p}{(N-1)^{2}+p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we conclude that there exists $C>0$ (independent of $p$ ) such that $\gamma_{p} \leq C$ for $p$ large.

The latter half part of Theorem 1.1 is already proven in Lemma 3.3. Thus we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

From Theorem 1.1, we have the following consequence.
Corollary 4.1. There exist $C, C^{\prime}>0$ independent of $p$ large such that

$$
C \leq p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p} d x \leq C^{\prime}
$$

holds true.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\frac{1}{C_{2}} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p+1} d x \leq \frac{\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{C_{2}} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p} d x \leq p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p} d x
$$

where $C_{2}$ is as in Theorem 1.1. The left-hand side of the above inequality is bounded from below by a positive constant by Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, Hölder's inequality implies

$$
p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p} d x \leq\left(p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p+1} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} p^{\frac{1}{p+1}}|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}
$$

and the right-hand side of the above inequality is bounded from above by Lemma 3.3. This proves the conclusion.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. Since $\lim \sup _{p \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \geq$ 1 immediately follows from (4.1) (this is true for any solution sequence, not necessary least energy solutions), we just need to prove $\lim \sup _{p \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}$. For this purpose, we follow the argument by Adimurthi and Grossi [1].

Let $x_{p} \in \Omega$ be a point so that the least energy solution to (1.1) takes its maximum: $u_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)=\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. As in [1], We make a change of variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{p}(x)=\frac{p}{u_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)}\left(u_{p}\left(\varepsilon_{p} x+x_{p}\right)-u_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)\right), \quad x \in \Omega_{p}=\frac{\Omega-x_{p}}{\varepsilon_{p}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{p}>0$ is defined so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{p}^{N} p^{N-1} u_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)^{p+1-N} \equiv 1 . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 1.1, we see $\varepsilon_{p} \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Since $u_{p}$ is a weak solution to (1.1), $z_{p}$ is a weak solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-Q_{N} z_{p}=\left(1+\frac{z_{p}}{p}\right)^{p} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{p}  \tag{5.3}\\
\left.z_{p}\right|_{\partial \Omega_{p}}=-p \\
\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}_{n}} z_{n}(x)=z_{n}(0)=0 \\
-p<z_{p} \leq 0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{p}
\end{array}\right.
$$

by Lemma 2.7. We want to pass to the limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.3). For this purpose, take any ball $B_{R}(0) \subset \Omega_{p}$ centered at the origin and radius $R$. Consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-Q_{N} w_{p}=\left(1+\frac{z_{p}}{p}\right)^{p} \quad \text { in } B_{R}(0) \\
\left.w_{p}\right|_{\partial B_{R}(0)}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Comparison principle for $-Q_{N}$ (see for example, [33] Theorem 3.1) and Serrin's elliptic estimate Theorem 2.4 yield that $0 \leq w_{p} \leq C$ on $B_{R}(0)$ where $C$ is a constant independent of $p$. Set $\psi_{p}(x)=w_{p}(x)-z_{p}(x), x \in$ $B_{R}(0)$. Then $\psi_{p}$ is a nonnegative in $B_{R}(0)$ and $\psi_{p}(0)=w_{p}(0)-z_{p}(0)=$ $w_{p}(0) \leq C$ uniformly in $p$. Moreover, we have

$$
0=-\left(Q_{N} w_{p}-Q_{N} z_{p}\right)=-\tilde{Q}_{N}\left(w_{p}-z_{p}\right)=-\tilde{Q}_{N} \psi_{p}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{Q}_{N}\left(w_{p}-z_{p}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial^{2} H^{N}}{\partial \xi_{i} \partial \xi_{j}}\left(t \nabla w_{p}+(1-t) \nabla z_{p}\right) d t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(w_{p}(x)-z_{p}(x)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thanks to the assumption that $\operatorname{Hess} H^{N}(\xi)$ is positive definite, $\tilde{Q}_{N}$ is a quasilinear elliptic differential operator. Thus we can apply Serrin's Harnack inequality (Theorem 2.4 (3)) to $\psi_{p}$, which implies that there exists $C=C(R, r)>0$ for any $0<r<R$ such that
$\sup _{B_{r}(0)} \psi_{p}(x) \leq C\left(1+\inf _{x \in B_{r}(0)} \psi_{p}(x)\right) \leq C\left(1+\psi_{p}(0)\right)=C\left(1+w_{p}(0)\right) \leq C$.
Thus we have

$$
0 \geq z_{p}(x)=w_{p}(x)-\psi_{p}(x) \geq-C
$$

for $x \in B_{r}(0)$. Since $0<r<R$ is arbitrary, we have $\left\{\left|z_{p}\right|\right\} \subset$ $\left.L_{l o c}^{\infty}\left(B_{R}(0)\right)\right)$ is uniformly bounded in $p$. Again Serrin's regularity estimate implies that $\left\{z_{p}\right\}$ is bounded in $\left.C_{l o c}^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{R}(0)\right)\right)$ for any $R>0$ uniformly in $p$.

Now, we consider two cases:
Case (i): $\frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{p}, \partial \Omega_{p}\right)}{\varepsilon_{p}} \rightarrow+\infty$
Case (ii): $\frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{p}, \partial \Omega_{p}\right)}{\varepsilon_{p}}$ is bounded and

$$
\Omega_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right)=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: x_{N}>s_{0}\right\} \quad(p \rightarrow \infty)
$$

for some $s_{0}$.
In the case (i), note that $\Omega_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Hence by the AscoliArzelá theorem, we know that (up to a subsequence), $\left\{z_{p}\right\}$ converges to some function $z \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $z$ satisfies

$$
-Q_{N} z=e^{z} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

Now we claim that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{z} d x<+\infty$. In fact, since $z_{p} \rightarrow z$ in $C_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we obtain

$$
1_{\Omega_{p}}(x)\left(1+\frac{z_{p}(x)}{p}\right)^{p} \rightarrow e^{z(x)}
$$

pointwisely for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $1_{\Omega_{p}}$ is the characteristic function of $\Omega_{p}$. By using Fatou's lemma and Hölder's inequality, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{z} d x & \leq \liminf _{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{p}}\left(1+\frac{z_{p}(x)}{p}\right)^{p} d x \\
& \leq \lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^{N-1}}{\left(u_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)\right)^{N-1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{p}(y)\right)^{p} d y \\
& \leq \lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^{N-1}}{\left(u_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)\right)^{N-1}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{p}(y)\right)^{p+1} d y\right)^{p /(p+1)}|\Omega|^{1 /(p+1)} \\
& \leq C<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the facts that $\int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p+1} d y=\frac{O(1)}{p^{N-1}}$ by Lemma 3.3 and $u_{p}\left(x_{p}\right) \geq C_{1}>0$ by Theorem 1.1. Hence, we check that the limit function satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-Q_{N} z=e^{z} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{5.4}\\
z \leq 0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{z} d x<\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the case (ii), almost the same proof works, and we see that the limit function $z$ is a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-Q_{N} z=e^{z} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right)  \tag{5.5}\\
z \leq 0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right) \\
z=-\infty, \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right) \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right)} e^{z} d x<\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we prove the following lemma. The case $N=2$ was treated by Ding (see [7]) when $H(\xi)=|\xi|$, and by Wang and Xia [32] for general $H(\xi)$.
Lemma 5.1. If $z$ is a $C^{1}$ weak solution of (5.4), then we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{z} d x \geq\left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} N^{N} \kappa_{N}
$$

If $z$ is a $C^{1}$ weak solution of (5.5), then we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right)} e^{z} d x \geq\left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} N^{N} \kappa_{N}
$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we use a level set argument. First, we assume $z$ is a solution of (5.4). Put

$$
\Omega_{t}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: z(x)>t\right\}, \quad \mu(t)=\left|\Omega_{t}\right| .
$$

Integration by parts on $\Omega_{t}$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{z} d x=-\int_{\Omega_{t}} Q_{N} z d x & =\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} H^{N-1}(\nabla z)\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)(\nabla z) \cdot \frac{\nabla z}{|\nabla z|} d s_{x} \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{H^{N}(\nabla z)}{|\nabla z|} d s_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Finsler isoperimetric inequality (4.6) and Hölder's inequality, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
N \kappa_{N}^{1 / N}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \leq P_{H}\left(\Omega_{t}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) & =\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{H(\nabla z)}{|\nabla z|} d s_{x} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{H^{N}(\nabla z)}{|\nabla z|} d s_{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{d s_{x}}{|\nabla z|}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \\
& =\left(\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{z} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\left(-\mu^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}},
\end{aligned}
$$

here we have used coarea formula

$$
\mu(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} \int_{\{x: z(x)=s\}} \frac{d s_{x}}{|\nabla z|} d s
$$

Thus we have

$$
\mu(t) \leq\left\{\frac{1}{N \kappa_{N}^{1 / N}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{z} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\left(-\mu^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\right\}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{z} d x & =\int_{-\infty}^{\max z} e^{t} \mu(t) d t \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{N \kappa_{N}^{1 / N}}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\max z} e^{t}\left(\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{z} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\left(-\mu^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{N \kappa_{N}^{1 / N}}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\max z} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{z} d x\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} d t \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{N \kappa_{N}^{1 / N}}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{z} d x\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} N^{N} \kappa_{N} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{z} d x
$$

The proof when $z$ is a solution to (5.5) is similar, since the boundary condition $z=-\infty$ on $\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right)$ assures that all level sets of $z$ are confined in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right)$.

By the change of variables, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{p}^{p+1}(y) d y=u_{p}^{N}\left(x_{p}\right) \int_{\Omega_{p}}\left(1+\frac{z_{p}(x)}{p}\right)^{p+1} d x \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take $\lim \sup _{p \rightarrow \infty}$ of both sides of (5.6). Then we see

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \text { LHS of }(5.6)=\left(\frac{N e}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} N^{N} \kappa_{N}
$$

by Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, Fatou's lemma and Lemma 5.1 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{p \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \text { RHS of }(5.6) & \geq\left(\limsup _{p \rightarrow \infty} u_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)\right)^{N} \times \begin{cases}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{z} d x & \text { when case (i) } \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right)} e^{z} d x & \text { when case (ii) }\end{cases} \\
& \geq\left(\limsup _{p \rightarrow \infty} u_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)\right)^{N}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} N^{N} \kappa_{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
e^{N-1} \geq\left(\limsup _{p \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right)^{N}
$$

which implies Theorem 1.2

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. Given any sequence $p_{n}$ of $p$ with $p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, let us recall (1.3) and (1.6) for $p=p_{n}, u_{n}=u_{p_{n}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}, \quad \lambda_{n}=\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}, \\
& f_{n}(x)=\frac{u_{n}^{p_{n}}}{\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}} d x}, \\
& L_{0}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n}\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}{\left(\frac{N}{N-1} e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\right)}, \quad L_{1}=d_{N}^{-\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)} L_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $v_{n}$ is a weak solution of (1.4) for $p=p_{n}$. By Hölder's inequality and Theorem 1.1, we see

$$
p_{n}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}} d x \leq p_{n}^{N-1}\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1} d x\right)^{\frac{p_{n}}{p_{n}+1}}|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p_{n}+1}} \rightarrow\left(\frac{N e}{N-1} \beta_{N}\right)^{N-1}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that

$$
L_{0} \leq e^{\frac{1}{N}} \beta_{N}, \quad L_{1} \leq e^{\frac{1}{N}} \beta_{N} d_{N}^{-\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)}
$$

First, we prove $S \neq \phi$ for any sequence $v_{n}=v_{p_{n}}$ of $v_{p}$ with $p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, by Theorem 1.1, we have $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \geq C_{1}>0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_{n} \in \Omega$ be a point such that $u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, then

$$
v_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)}{\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \geq \frac{C_{1}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}=\frac{C_{1}}{O\left(\frac{1}{p_{n}}\right)} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

by Lemma 3.3. This implies that any accumulation point of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is contained in $S$ and hence $S \neq \phi$.

Next, as in [6], [21], [22], we define $(L, \delta)$-regular set and $(L, \delta)$ irregular set of a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. Since

$$
f_{n}=\frac{u_{n}^{p_{n}}}{\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}} d x} \in L^{1}(\Omega), \quad f_{n} \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} f_{n} d x=1,
$$

there exists a subsequence (still denoted by $n$ ) such that

$$
f_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu, \quad \mu(\Omega) \leq 1
$$

in the sense of Radon measures of $\Omega$, where $\mu$ is a nonnegative Radon measure.

Given $L>0$ and $\delta>0$, we call a point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ a $(L, \delta)$-regular point of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ if there exists $\varphi \in C_{0}(\Omega), 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ near $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \varphi d \mu<\left(\frac{\beta_{N}}{L+3 \delta}\right)^{N-1}
$$

where $\beta_{N}=N\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ is as in Theorem 2.2. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{L}(\delta)=\left\{x_{0} \in \Omega: x_{0} \text { is a }(L, \delta) \text {-regular point }\right\}, \\
& \Sigma_{L}(\delta)=\Omega \backslash R_{L}(\delta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We call a point in $\Sigma_{L}(\delta)$ an $(L, \delta)$-irregular point of the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. Note that $(L, \delta)$-regular, or $(L, \delta)$-irregular points are automatically interior points of $\Omega$. Also note that if $x_{0} \in \Sigma_{L}(\delta)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right) \geq\left(\frac{\beta_{N}}{L+3 \delta}\right)^{N-1} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
1 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq\left(\frac{\beta_{N}}{L+3 \delta}\right)^{N-1} \sharp \Sigma_{L}(\delta)
$$

by (6.1), we see that $\Sigma_{L}(\delta)$ is a finite set for any $L>0$ and $\delta>0$.
Next Lemma is the key to analyze the interior blow-up set $S \cap \Omega$.

Lemma 6.1. (smallness of $\mu$ implies boundedness) Let $x_{0}$ be a $\left(L_{1}, \delta\right)$ regular point of a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ where $L_{1}$ is defined in (1.6). Then $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ for some $R_{0}>0$.
Proof. Key point in the proof is to get the following pointwise estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)<\exp \left(\left(L_{1}+\delta / 2\right) d_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} v_{n}(x)\right), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In checking (6.2), we use the elementary inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log x}{x} \leq \frac{\log y}{y} \quad \text { for any } 0<x \leq y \leq e \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\alpha_{n}=\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p_{n}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{n}}}}=\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\lambda_{n}^{\frac{N-1}{p_{n}}}}
$$

and recall that $\lambda_{n}=O\left(\frac{1}{p_{n}}\right)$ by Corollary 4.1, so $\lambda_{n}^{\frac{N-1}{p_{n}}}=O\left(\frac{1}{p_{n}}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{p_{n}}} \rightarrow$ 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}
$$

by Theorem 1.2. From this, we see that for any small $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$,

$$
\frac{u_{n}(x)}{\lambda_{n}^{\frac{N-1}{p_{n}}}} \leq \alpha_{n} \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}+\varepsilon^{\prime}<e
$$

holds for any $x \in \Omega$ and for large $n$. Therefore by (6.3), we have for fixed small $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\frac{\log \left(\frac{u_{n}(x)}{\lambda_{n}^{N-1}}\right)}{\frac{u_{n}(x)}{\lambda_{n} p^{\frac{N-1}{p n}}}} \leq \frac{\log \alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n}} \leq\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right) \frac{1}{e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}}+\varepsilon
$$

for large $n$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log f_{n}(x)=p_{n} \log \frac{u_{n}(x)}{\lambda_{n}^{\frac{N-1}{p_{n}}}} & \leq p_{n}\left(\frac{u_{n}(x)}{\lambda_{n}^{\frac{N-1}{p_{n}}}}\right)\left(\frac{N-1}{N} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}}+\varepsilon\right) \\
& =p_{n} \lambda_{n}\left(\frac{N-1}{N} e^{-\frac{N-1}{N}}+\varepsilon\right) \frac{v_{n}(x)}{\lambda_{n}^{\frac{N-1}{p_{n}}}} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{N}{N-1} e^{\frac{N-1}{N}} L_{1} d_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\frac{N-1}{N} e^{-\frac{N-1}{N}}+2 \varepsilon\right) v_{n}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

here we have used $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\frac{N-1}{p_{n}}}=1$ and

$$
p_{n} \lambda_{n} \leq \frac{N}{N-1} e^{\frac{N-1}{N}} L_{1} d_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}+\varepsilon
$$

for large $n$ by the definition of $L_{1}$. Therefore

$$
\log f_{n}(x) \leq\left(\left(L_{1}+\delta / 2\right) d_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\right) v_{n}(x)
$$

holds if we choose $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. This proves the pointwise estimate (6.2).

Next, by the use of Brezis-Merle theory for the Finsler $N$-Laplacian, we obtain the integral estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R_{1} / 2}\left(x_{0}\right)} \exp \left(\left(L_{1}+\delta\right) d_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} v_{n}(x)\right) d x \leq C \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $R_{1}>0$ small and $C>0$ independent of $n$, here $x_{0}$ is a ( $L_{1}, \delta$ )-regular point.

Indeed, by the definition of $\left(L_{1}, \delta\right)$-regular point, we can find $R_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{R_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right)} f_{n} d x \leq\left(\frac{\beta_{N}}{L_{1}+2 \delta}\right)^{N-1}
$$

Also by Theorem 2.5 (i) and the fact that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}=1$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\left(\beta_{N}-\varepsilon\right) v_{n}(x)\right) d x \leq \frac{\beta_{N}}{\varepsilon}|\Omega|
$$

for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \beta_{N}\right)$. From this, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N}(\Omega)} \leq C \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is independent of $n$. Next, let $\phi_{n}$ be a weak solution of

$$
-Q_{N} \phi_{n}=0 \quad \text { in } B_{R_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right) \quad \phi_{n}=v_{n} \quad \text { on } \partial B_{R_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

Then by Theorem 2.5 (2) and the fact that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(B_{R_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}<\frac{\beta_{N}}{L_{1}+2 \delta}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\left(L_{1}+\delta\right) d_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\left|v_{n}(x)-\phi_{n}(x)\right|\right) d x \leq C \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if we choose $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \beta_{N}\right)$ sufficiently small. By the comparison principle for the Finsler $N$-Laplacian (see [33] Theorem 3.2) and Serrin's estimates Theorem 2.4 (i), we have

$$
\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{R_{1} / 2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{R_{1} / 2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq C\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N}\left(B_{R_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq C
$$

where we have used (6.5). Combining this with (6.6), we obtain the desired integral estimate (6.4).

Comparing (6.4) and (6.2), we see that $f_{n}$ is bounded uniformly in $n$ in $L^{q}\left(B_{R_{1} / 2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ where $q=\frac{L_{1}+\delta}{L_{1}+\delta / 2}>1$. Therefore, Serrin's regularity estimate Theorem 2.4 (i) again implies that

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{R_{1} / 4}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq C
$$

independent of $n$. Taking $R_{0}=R_{1} / 4$ ends the proof of Lemma 6.1.
We know that $\Sigma_{L_{1}}(\delta)$ is a set of finite points, all of those are interior of $\Omega$. From Lemma 6.1, we obtain $S \cap \Omega=\Sigma_{L_{1}}(\delta)$ for any $\delta>0$ and

$$
1 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq\left(\frac{\beta_{N}}{L_{1}+3 \delta}\right)^{N-1} \sharp\left(\Sigma_{L_{1}}(\delta)\right)=\left(\frac{\beta_{N}}{L_{1}+3 \delta}\right)^{N-1} \sharp(S \cap \Omega) .
$$

Hence

$$
\sharp(S \cap \Omega) \leq\left(\frac{L_{1}+3 \delta}{\beta_{N}}\right)^{N-1} \leq\left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{N}} \beta_{N} d_{N}^{-\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)}+3 \delta}{\beta_{N}}\right)^{N-1}
$$

Taking a limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\sharp(S \cap \Omega) \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}} d_{N}^{-1}
$$

This proves the first part of Theorem 1.3.
If $x_{0} \in S \cap \Omega=\Sigma_{L_{1}}(\delta)$, then for any $R>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}=+\infty \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if for some $R>0$, assume there exists $C>0$ independent of $n$ such that $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq C$ for all large $n$. Then

$$
f_{n}=\frac{v_{n}^{p_{n}}}{\lambda_{n}^{N-1-p_{n}}} \leq C^{p_{n}} O\left(\frac{1}{p_{n}}\right)^{p_{n}-(N-1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

uniformly on $B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$. This implies $x_{0}$ is a $\left(L_{1}, \delta\right)$-regular point, which is absurd. The same kind of argument leads to that the limit measure $\mu$ is atomic and of the form

$$
\mu=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} \delta_{x_{i}}
$$

where $S \cap \Omega=\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right\}$. Since $\mu(\Omega) \leq 1$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} \leq 1$ and

$$
\gamma_{i} \geq\left(\frac{\beta_{N}}{L_{1}}\right)^{N-1}
$$

for all $i=1, \cdots, k$ by letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (6.1) with $L=L_{1}$. This proves Theorem 1.3 (i).

On any compact sets in $\Omega \backslash(S \cap \Omega),\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded. Then by Serrin's and Tolksdorf's regularity estimate, $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is also bounded in $C_{l o c}^{1, \alpha}(\Omega \backslash(S \cap \Omega))$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$. By Ascoli-Arzelá theorem, we have a subsequence and a function $G$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow G$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega \backslash(S \cap \Omega))$. That this $G$ satisfies Theorem 1.3 (ii) is clear.

Finally, since $\lambda_{n}=O\left(\frac{1}{p_{n}}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $v_{n}(x)=\frac{u_{n}(x)}{\lambda_{n}}$ is uniformly bounded in $L_{l o c}^{\infty}(\Omega \backslash(S \cap \Omega))$, we easily see that Theorem 1.3 (iii) holds.

Thus all the proof of Theorem 1.3 has been completed.

## 7. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof. Assume the contrary that $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, where $x_{0}$ is the unique blowup point of a sequence $v_{n}=v_{p_{n}}$ with $p_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $R>0$ small, we may use the Pohozaev identity Theorem 2.6 on $\Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$, with the aid of Theorem 2.4:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N}{p_{n}+1} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1} d x=\int_{\partial\left(\Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \frac{u_{n}^{p_{n}+1}}{p_{n}+1}(x-y) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x}  \tag{7.1}\\
& -\frac{1}{N} \int_{\partial\left(\Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} H^{N}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right)(x-y) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x} \\
& +\int_{\partial\left(\Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}\left(H^{N-1}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right)\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x)\right)(x-y) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x} .
\end{align*}
$$

In order to remove the integral terms involving $\partial \Omega$, we use a trick in [25]. Define

$$
\rho_{n}=\frac{\int_{\partial \Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} H^{N}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x}}{\int_{\partial \Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} H^{N}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right) \nu\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x}}
$$

and put $y_{n}=x_{0}+\rho_{n} \nu\left(x_{0}\right)$. We assume $R>0$ so small such that $1 / 2 \leq \nu\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot \nu(x) \leq 1$ for $x \in \partial \Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then we have that $\rho_{n} \leq 2 R$. By the definition of $y_{n}$ and $\rho_{n}$, we see that

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} H^{N}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right)\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x} \equiv 0
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also since $u_{n}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $u_{n}>0$ in $\Omega$, we see $\nu(x)=-\frac{\nabla u_{n}(x)}{\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right|}$. By using these, we see (7.1) with $y=y_{n}$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N}{p_{n}+1} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1} d x=\frac{1}{p_{n}+1} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1}\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x}  \tag{7.2}\\
& -\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} H^{N}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right)\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x} \\
& +\int_{\left.\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}\left(H^{N-1}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right)\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x)\right)\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{n}(x) d s_{x}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying $\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{N}$ to both sides of (7.2) and recalling $v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N}{p_{n}+1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{N} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1} d x  \tag{7.3}\\
& =\frac{1}{p_{n}+1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{N} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1}\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x} \\
& -\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} H^{N}\left(\nabla v_{n}\right)\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x} \\
& +\int_{\left.\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}\left(H^{N-1}\left(\nabla v_{n}\right)\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)\left(\nabla v_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x)\right)\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla v_{n}(x) d s_{x} \\
& =I+I I+I I I .
\end{align*}
$$

We estimate the terms $I, I I, I I I$ on the right-hand side of (7.3) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |I|=\frac{1}{p_{n}+1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{N}\left|\int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1}\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{O\left(p_{n}^{N}\right)}{p_{n}^{N-1}\left(p_{n}+1\right)}\left\|p_{n}^{N-1} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x)\right| d s_{x} \\
& =\frac{O\left(p_{n}^{N}\right)}{p_{n}^{N-1}\left(p_{n}+1\right)}\left\|p_{n}^{N-1} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} O\left(R^{N-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that since $S \cap \Omega=\phi$ by assumption,

$$
f_{n}=\frac{u_{n}^{p_{n}}}{\lambda_{n}^{N-1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

uniformly on compact sets in $\Omega$ and

$$
p_{n}^{N-1} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1}(x) \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} p_{n}^{N-1} u_{n}^{p_{n}}(x) \leq C \frac{u_{n}^{p_{n}}(x)}{\lambda_{n}^{N-1}} \leq C f_{n}(x)
$$

by Theorem 1.1 and the fact that $\lambda_{n}=O\left(\frac{1}{p_{n}}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have

$$
\left\|p_{n}^{N-1} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

and thus

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}|I|=0
$$

Also, by Theorem 1.3 (ii), we have $v_{n} \rightarrow G$ in $C_{l o c}^{1, \alpha}(\Omega \backslash(S \cap \Omega))$. Thus we have $H^{N}\left(\nabla v_{n}\right)=O(1)$ on $\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$, which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I I| & =\frac{1}{N}\left|\int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} H^{N}\left(\nabla v_{n}\right)\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x) d s_{x}\right| \\
& \leq O(1) \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x)\right| d s_{x} \leq O(1) O\left(R^{N-1}\right), \\
|I I I| & =\left|\int_{\left.\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}\left(H^{N-1}\left(\nabla v_{n}\right)\left(\nabla_{\xi} H\right)\left(\nabla v_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x)\right)\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla v_{n}(x) d s_{x}\right| \\
& \leq O(1) \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|\left(x-y_{n}\right) \cdot \nu(x)\right| d s_{x} \leq O(1) O\left(R^{N-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}|I I|=\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}|I I I|=0 .
$$

From these, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(\text { RHS of }(7.3))=0 \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, recall

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{n}(x)=\frac{p_{n}}{u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)}\left(u_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{n} x+x_{n}\right)-u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \\
x \in \Omega_{R, n}=\frac{\left(\Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-x_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{n}^{N} p_{n}^{N-1} u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)^{p_{n}+1-N} \equiv 1$. Then we see from Fatou's lemma, Theorem 1.1, and Lemma 5.1, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\left.\Omega \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} p_{n}^{N-1} u_{n}^{p_{n}+1}(y) d y \\
& =\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)^{N} \int_{\Omega_{R, n}}\left(1+\frac{z_{n}(x)}{p_{n}}\right)^{p_{n}+1} d x \\
& \geq C_{1}^{N} \int_{U} e^{z} d x \geq C_{1}^{N}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} N^{N} \kappa_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ or $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\left(s_{0}\right)$ for some $s_{0}>0$ according to the cases $\frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, \partial \Omega_{R, n}\right)}{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow+\infty$ or $\frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, \partial \Omega_{R, n}\right)}{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow s_{0}$. Note that our assumption
$\sharp S=1$ assures that we can choose $x_{n}$ as a maximum points of $u_{n}$. From this and the fact that $\lambda_{n}=O\left(\frac{1}{p_{n}}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(\text { LHS of }(7.3)) \geq C>0 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C>0$ independent of $n$.
Clearly (7.5) contradicts to (7.4), and we conclude that $x_{0} \notin \partial \Omega$.
Finally, as a corollary, we prove the following.
Corollary 7.1. Let $R>0$ and let $\left\{u_{p}\right\}$ be a sequence of least energy solutions to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-Q_{N} u_{p}=u_{p}^{p} \quad \text { in } \mathcal{W}_{R}  \tag{7.6}\\
u_{p}>0 \quad \text { in } \mathcal{W}_{R} \\
u_{p}=0 \text { on } \partial \mathcal{W}_{R}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{R}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: H^{0}(x)<R\right\}$. Then the blow-up set $S$ of $v_{p}$ satisfies $S \cap \mathcal{W}_{R}=\{0\}$, and

$$
u_{p} \rightarrow G(\cdot, 0) \quad \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{W}_{R} \backslash\{0\}\right)
$$

where $G$ is the unique Green function on $\mathcal{W}_{R}$ obtained in Theorem 2.3, and

$$
f_{p}=\frac{u_{p}^{p}}{\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}} u_{p}^{p} d x} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \delta_{0}
$$

in the sense of Radon measures on $\mathcal{W}_{R}$, along the full sequence.
Proof. The usual method of moving plane to prove the symmetry of solutions is not applicable in the anisotropic situation. However, we can use Theorem 4.1 in [5] under the convexity and $C^{1}$-assumption of the map $\xi \mapsto H^{N}(\xi)$. (Note that the key point of the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [5] is the Pohozaev identity Theorem 4.2 in [5] for $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$-weak solutions, which is valid by the above assumptions). Thus we assure that any positive solution $u_{p}$ to (7.6) is Finsler-radial, that is, all level sets of $u_{p}$ are homothetic to $\mathcal{W}_{R}$ for any $p>1$. Let $S$ be the blow-up set of $v_{p}$. Then we see that $S \cap \mathcal{W}_{R}=\{0\}$. Indeed, if there were a point $x_{0} \in S \cap \mathcal{W}_{R}$, then all points on the level set of $u_{p}$ passing through $x_{0}$ must be blow-up points of $v_{p}$, which contradicts to the fact that $\sharp\left(S \cap \mathcal{W}_{R}\right)$ is finite. Thus by Theorem 1.3 , we see

$$
v_{p} \rightarrow G(\cdot, 0) \quad \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{W}_{R} \backslash\{0\}\right)
$$

for some function $G$ along a subsequence. The limit function must be the unique Green function constructed in Theorem 2.3, and by the uniqueness, the convergence is true for the full sequence.
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