Title	A characterization of the simple group J_4
Author	Guloglu, Ismail Suayip
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 18(1); 13-24
Issue Date	1981-02
ISSN	0030-6126
Textversion	Publisher
Relation	The OJM has been digitized through Project Euclid platform
	http://projecteuclid.org/ojm starting from Vol. 1, No. 1.

Placed on: Osaka City University

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SIMPLE GROUP J.

Ismail Şuayıp GÜLOĞLU

(Received June 12, 1979) (Revised March 19, 1980)

In [4] Janko describes the properties of a simple group of order $2^{21} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 43$ denoted by J_4 . It has exactly one conjugacy class of elements of order 3 and if π is one of them, then the centralizer of π in J_4 is isomorphic to the 6-fold cover of the Mathieu group M_{22} . We show in this paper that these properties characterize the group J_4 , we prove namely the

Theorem A. Let G be a finite group containing an element π of order 3 such that $C_G(\pi)$ is isomorphic to the 6-fold cover M_{22} . If G is not 3-normal then G is isomorphic to J_4 .

In the first section we shall list some properties of the 6-fold cover of M_{22} , which will be needed in the proof. The second section is then devoted to the proof of Theorem A. In the last section we remark that the following holds:

Theorem B There exists no simple group G which is not 3-normal and contains an element π such that $C_{G}(\pi)$ is isomorphic to the triple cover of M_{22} .

The Frattini subgroup of a group X is denoted by D(X). The other notation is hopefully standard.

In the whole paper with the exception of the last section G denotes a simple group satisfying the assumptions of Theorem A and π is an element of G of order 3 such that $C_G(\pi)$ is isomorphic to the 6-fold cover of M_{22} .

1. Some known results and structure of $N_G(\langle \pi \rangle)$

We first list some well known results which will be used in the proof of our theorems.

Lemma 1.1 (Gaschütz). Let A be an abelian normal subgroup of the group X contained in the subgroup B of X with (|X:B|, |A|)=1. Then if A has a complement in B, A has a complement in X.

Proof. See [1].

Lemma 1.2 (Thompson). If the group X admits a fixed-point-free automorphism of prime order then X is nilpotent.

Proof. See [2; 10.2.1].

Lemma 1.3 (Thompson). Let T_0 be a maximal subgroup of an S_2 -subgroup of the group X. If X does not have a subgroup with index two then all involutions of X are conjugate to elements of T_0 in X.

Proof. See [10. Lemma 5.38].

Lemma 1.4 (Burnside). Let P be an S_p -subgroup of the group X and assume that $N_x(P) = C_x(P)$. Then X has a normal p-complement.

Proof. See [2;7.4.3].

Lemma 1.5. Let P be a p-group and let Q be a noncyclic abelian q-group of automorphisms of P, q a prime distinct from p. Then $P = \langle C_P(x) | 1 \neq x \in Q \rangle$.

Proof. See [2; 5.3.16].

Lemma 1.6. Any involution t of the group X which does not lie in the maximal normal 2-subgroup of X inverts a nontrivial element of X of odd order.

Proof. Let t be an involution of X with $t \in O_2(X)$. Then there exists a conjugate t_1 of t in X such that the dihedral group $\langle t, t_1 \rangle$ is not a 2-group by [2;3.8.2]. Since the index of the cyclic subgroup $\langle t_1 t \rangle$ has index two in $\langle t, t_1 \rangle$ we see that $O(\langle t_1 t \rangle)$ is nontrivial and is inverted by t since t inverts $t_1 t$.

The following three lemmas are taken from [4; (2.1), (2.3), (2.4)].

Lemma 1.7. Let $X \cong M_{22}$ and let T be an S_2 -subgroup of X. Then T possesses precisely two distinct elementary abelian subgroups E_1 and E_2 of order 16 and they are both normal in T. We have $N_X(E_1)$ is a splitting extension of E_1 by A_6 , $N_X(E_2)$ is a splitting extension of E_2 by S_5 and $N_X(E_i)$ acts transitively on E_i^* , i=1, 2. The group X has the order $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ and exactly one conjugacy class of involutions with the representative $e \in E_1$ and we have $C_X(e) = C(e) \cap N_X(E_1)$. An S_3 -subgroup P of X is elementary abelian of order 9 and we have $C_X(P) = P$ and $N_X(P) = PQ$ where Q is quaternion and acts regularly on P. The group X has exactly one conjugacy class of elements of order 3 and if σ is one of them, then $C_X(\sigma) \cong \langle \sigma \rangle \times A_4$ and $N_X(\langle \sigma \rangle) = \langle \sigma \rangle B$ where $B \cong S_4$.

Lemma 1.8. Let $X \cong Aut(M_{22})$ so that $X' \cong M_{22}$ and |X: X'| = 2. The group X possesses exactly two conjugacy classes of involutions which are contained in X - X' with the representatives t_1 and t_2 . If E_1 and E_2 are the only elementary abelian subgroups of rank 4 of an S_2 -subgroup of X' as discribed in (1.7) then t_1 and t_2 can be chosen to lie in $C_X(E_2) = A = \langle E_2, t_2 \rangle$ which is elementary abelian of

14

order 32. Then $N_x(A) = AB$ where $B \subseteq X'$, $B \cong S_5$ and B operates transitively on E_2^* and operates on $A - E_2$ in two orbits of sizes 10 and 6 represented respectively by t_1 and t_2 , We have $N_x(E_1)$ is a splitting and faithful extension of E_1 by S_6 .

Lemma 1.9. Every maximal subgroup of the simple group M_{24} is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

 $PSL(2, 23), M_{23}, Aut(M_{22}), Aut(M_{12}), PSL(2, 7),$

The holomorph of an elementary abelian group of order 16,

An extension of M_{21} by S_3 ,

A splitting and faithful extension of an elementary abelian group of order 64 by a subgroup Y where $|0_3(Y)|=3$, $Y/0_3(Y)\cong S_6$, |Y:Y'|=2, Y''=Y' and $C_Y(0_3(Y))=Y'$,

A splitting and faithful extension of an elementary abelian group of order 64 by $S_3 \times PSL(2, 7)$.

In the next lemma we list some properties of $N_G(\langle \pi \rangle)$ which can be easily deduced from (1.7) and (1.8) and are essentially proved in [4]. Throughout the paper we shall fix the notation which will be introduced in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.10. The following hold in G:

(i) Let $H=N_G(\langle \pi \rangle)$. Then |H: H'|=2, $H''=H'=C_H(\pi)$, Z(H') is cyclic of order 6, $H'/Z(H') \cong M_{22}$ and $H/Z(H') \cong \operatorname{Aut}(M_{22})$. Let us denote the involution in Z(H') by z.

(ii) Let T be an S_2 -subgroup of H and let $T_0 = T \cap H'$. Then T_0 contains exactly two elementary abelian subgroups E_1 and E_2 of rank 5. These are normal in T and we have $C_{H'}(E_i) = E_i \langle \pi \rangle$, i=1, 2 and

 $N_{H'}(E_1) = E_1B_1$ where B_1 is isomorphic to the triple cover of A_6 ,

 $C_{H}(E_{1}) = E_{1}\langle \pi \rangle, N_{H}(E_{1})/C_{H}(E_{1}) \cong S_{6},$

 $N_{H'}(E_2) = E_2(\langle \pi \rangle \times B_2)$ where $B_2 \simeq S_5$ and acts transitively on $(E_2 | \langle z \rangle)^{\sharp}$,

 $N_H(E_2) = \langle \pi \rangle E_2^* B_2$ where E_2^* is an abelian group of order 64, B_2 normalizes E_2^* , $\langle z \rangle \geq D(E_2^*)$, E_2^* is elementary abelian if and only if there exist involutions in H - H' and if so then E_2^* is the only elementary abelian subgroup of T of order 64. Furthermore $E_2^* \cap H' = E_2$ and $E_2^* \leq T$.

(iii) Let P be an S_3 -subgroup of B_1 . Then P is an S_3 -subgroup of G. $P = \langle \pi, \sigma, \tau \rangle$ is extraspecial of order 27 and exponent 3, where the generators of P are chosen in such a way that $C_{E_1}(\tau) = \langle z \rangle$ and $C_{E_1}(\sigma) = E_0$ is of order 8. We have $N_{H'}(P) = \langle z \rangle \times PQ$ where Q is quaternion and acts regularly on $P | \langle \pi \rangle$. There exists exactly one conjugacy class of elements of order 3 in $H - \langle \pi \rangle$ and exactly one conjugacy class of order 9 in H' represented by $M = \langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$. We have $C_G(M) = M \times E_0$ and $N_{H'}(M) | C_{H'}(M) \cong S_3$.

(iv) We have $C_{H'}(E_0) = E_1 M$.

(v) $E_1 \cap E_2$ is of order 8 and we have $C_{H'}(E_1 \cap E_2) = \langle \pi \rangle \times E_1 E_2$ and

GÜLOĞLU, I.Ş.

 $N_{H'}(E_1 \cap E_2) = C_{H'}(E_1 \cap E_2)U$ where $U \cong S_3$ and $O_3(U)$ acts regularly on $E_1E_2|\langle z \rangle$. Furthermore $E_1 \cap E_2 \subseteq T' = \langle T' \cap E_1, T' \cap E_2 \rangle$ and $T' \cap E_i$, i=1, 2, are the only elementary abelian subgroups of T' of rank four. So $E_1 \cap E_2$ is normal in $N_G(T)$. We have $C(E_1) \cap E_2^* = E_1 \cap E_2$.

Proof. $C_G(\pi)$ is isomorphic to the 6-fold cover M_{22} , i.e. $C_G(\pi)' = C_G(\pi)$, $Z(C_G(\pi))$ is cyclic of order 6 and $C_G(\pi)/Z(C_G(\pi)) \cong M_{22}$.

Let P be an S_3 -subgroup of $C_G(\pi)$. Then $\langle \pi \rangle \subseteq Z(P)$ and P does not split over by $\langle \pi \rangle$ (1.1). So $D(P) = \langle \pi \rangle$ by (1.7) and hence P is an S_3 -subgroup of G. Let R be an S_2 -subgroup of $N(P) \cap C_G(\pi)$. Since R operates transitively on $(P/D(P))^{\sharp}$ by (1.7) we see that P is extraspecial of order 27 and exponent 3. Furthermore we have $R/\langle z \rangle \cong Q_8$ where z is the involution in $Z(C_G(\pi))$. So R must split over $\langle z \rangle$ and we get $N(P) \cap C_G(\pi) = \langle z \rangle \times PQ$ where $Q \cong Q_8$ and acts regularly on P/D(P). In particular there exists exactly one conjugacy class of elements of order 3 in $C_G(\pi) - \langle \pi \rangle$ and hence exactly one conjugacy class of subgroups of order 9.

Since G is not 3-normal, π must be conjugate to an element in $P_{-}\langle \pi \rangle$ and hence to π^{-1} . So $|N_G(\langle \pi \rangle): C_G(\pi)| = 2$. Let $H = N_G(\langle \pi \rangle)$. Then $H' = C_G(\pi)$ and H/Z(H') is isomorphic to Aut (M_{22}) or $Z_2 \times M_{22}$ since $|\operatorname{Aut}(M_{22}): M_{22}| = 2$ by (1.8). But the second case is not possible since otherwise there would exist a 2-element in H - H' which operates trivially on P/D(P) and inverts D(P)and this is absurd. So $H/Z(H') \cong \operatorname{Aut}(M_{22})$.

Let T be an S_2 -subgroup of H. Then all assertions of (ii) are proved in [4; Proposition 1 and 3] and we shall use them in the following.

Since an S_3 -subgroup of $B_1 \subseteq H$ is also an S_3 -subgroup of H we can assume that $P \subseteq B_1$. By the action of the non-cyclic abelian 3-group P/D(P) on the 2-group E_1 we see that there is an element σ in P with $E_0 = E_1 \cap C(\sigma)$ is elementary abelian of order 8 and an element τ in P with $\langle z \rangle = E_1 \cap C(\tau)$. Let $M = \langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$. Then $C_G(M) = E_0 \times M$ and $N_{H'}(M)/C_{H'}(M) \cong S_3$ by (1.7). This completes the proof of the first three assertions of the lemma.

For the proof of (iv) let $\overline{H}' = H'/Z(H')$, which is isomorphic to M_{22} . By (1.7) we have $C_{\overline{H}}(\overline{\sigma}) = \langle \overline{\sigma} \rangle x \overline{E}_0 \langle \overline{\tau} \rangle$ where $\overline{E}_0 \langle \overline{\tau} \rangle$ is isomorphic to A_4 and $N_{\overline{H}'}(\langle \overline{\sigma} \rangle) / \langle \overline{\sigma} \rangle$ is isomorphic to S_4 . This gives that $C_{\overline{H}}(\overline{E}_0) \cap N_{\overline{H}'}(\langle \overline{\sigma} \rangle) = \overline{E}_0 \langle \overline{\sigma} \rangle$ By Burnside's transfer theorem we get $C_{\overline{H}'}(\overline{E}_0) = 0_{3'}(C_{\overline{H}'}(\overline{E}_0)) \langle \overline{\sigma} \rangle$. By the structure of M_{22} , $\overline{K} = 0_{3'}(C_{\overline{H}}(\overline{E}_0))$ is a 2-group containing \overline{E}_1 .

Suppose that $\overline{K} \neq \overline{E}$. Then the non-trivial group $\overline{K}/\overline{E}_1$ is normalized by $\overline{P} = \langle \overline{\sigma}, \overline{\tau} \rangle$. Since P is not cyclic there is by (1.5) a non-trivial element \overline{x} in \overline{P} such that $C_{\overline{K}/\overline{E}_1}(\overline{x}) = C_{\overline{K}}(\overline{x})\overline{E}_1/\overline{E}_1 \neq 1$. As $\overline{\sigma}$ operates regularly on $\overline{K}/\overline{E}_1$ and normalizes $C_{\overline{K}/\overline{E}_1}(\overline{x})$ we get that $\overline{K}/\overline{E}_1 = C_{\overline{K}/\overline{E}_1}(\overline{x})$ is elementary abelian of order four since $|\overline{K}/\overline{E}_1| \leq 8$. By the structure of the centralizer of an element of order three in M_{22} we get that $C_{\overline{K}}(\overline{x})$ is four group and that $\overline{K} = \overline{E}_1 C_{\overline{K}}(\overline{x})$.

 $\bar{S} = C(C_{\bar{K}}(\bar{x})) \cap \bar{E}_1$ is non-trivial and is normalized by \bar{x} which operates

regularly on it. This yields that $|\bar{S}| \ge 4$. So $\bar{D} = C_{\bar{K}}(\bar{x})\bar{S}$ is elementary abelian of rank at least four. Since an S_2 -subgroup of M_{22} contains exactly two elementary abelian subgroups of rank four by (1.7) we see that \bar{D} is conjugate in \bar{H}' to \bar{E}_2 . But \bar{D} is normalized by \bar{P} whereas an S_3 -subgroup of $N_{\bar{H}}(\bar{E}_2)$ is of order 3. This contradiction shows that $\bar{K} = \bar{E}_1$ and hence $C_{H'}(E_0) = E_1M$.

For the proof of (v) observe that E_1E_2/E_1 is a non-trivial elementary abelian 2-group of $N_{H'}(E_1)/E_1 = \overline{B}_1$ which is isomorphic to the triple cover of A_6 . Since an S_2 -subgroup of \overline{B}_1 is dihedral of order 8 there exists a four group \overline{V} of \overline{B}_1 containing \overline{E}_2 . By the structure of \overline{B}_1 and by (1.1) we get that $N(\overline{V}) \cap \overline{B}_1 =$ $\langle \bar{\pi} \rangle x \bar{V} U$ where $\bar{U} \simeq S_3$ and operates faithfully on \bar{V} . Let U_0 be an S_3 -subgroup of the inverse image of \overline{U} . By (1.6) we can assume that U_0 is inverted by an involution x in $T_0 - E_1 E_2$ such that $\langle U_0, x \rangle$ maps into \overline{U} and $\langle U_0, x \rangle \simeq S_3$. U_0 normalizes the inverse image V of \overline{V} . Since $E_1E_2 \subseteq V$ and E_1 and E_2 are the only elementary abelian 2-groups of T_0 hence of V of rank 5 we see that U_0 normalizes both E_1 and E_2 and hence E_1E_2 . This implies that $V = \overline{E}_2$ and $E_1 \cap E_2$ is of order 8. Furthermore U_0 maps onto an S_3 -subgroup of $N_{H'}(E_2)/E_2$ Since B_2 operates transitively on $(E_2/\langle z \rangle)^{\sharp}$ by (ii) we obtain that U_0 operates regularly on $E_1/\langle z \rangle$. Since T_0 does not split over $\langle z \rangle$ we see that $\langle z \rangle$ is properly contained in $(E_1E_2)' = [E_1, E_2] \subseteq E_1 \subset E_2$ by (ii). Since U_0 operates regularly on $E_1 \cap E_2 \langle z \rangle$ we get that $(E_1 E_2)' = E_1 \cap E_2$ and hence $D(E_1 E_2) =$ $E_1 \cap E_2$. Since U_0 acts regularly on $E_1 \cap E_2/\langle z \rangle$ we see that $E_1 \cap E_2$ is not centralized by x and hence $C_{H'}(E_1 \cap E_2) = \langle \pi \rangle \times E_1 E_2$. By (iv) we get that $E_1 \cap E_2$ is not normalized by an S_3 -subgroup of H', because otherwise it would be centralized by a subgroup of order 9 and would be conjugate to E_0 by (iii). This implies that U_0 operates regularly also on $E_1/\langle z \rangle$, because otherwise an S_3 -subgroup of B_1 containing $\langle \pi, U_0 \rangle$ would normalize $\langle [E_1, U_0], z \rangle = E_1 \cap E_2$. So U_0 acts regularly on $E_1E_2/\langle z \rangle$ and we have $C(E_1) \cap E_2 = E_1 \cap E_2$.

So we have seen that the elementary abelian group $E_1E_2/E_1 \cap E_2$ of rank 4 is normalized by $\langle U_0, x \rangle \cong S_3$ such that U_0 operates regularly on it. This shows that $T'_0/E_1 \cap E_2 = C(x(E_1 \cap E_2) \cap (E_1E_2/E_1 \cap E_2))$ and hence that $T'_0 = \langle T'_0 \cap E_1, T'_0 \cap E_2 \rangle$ where $T'_0 \cap E_i$, i=1, 2, is of order 16. Since $T/E_1 \cong Z_2 x D_8$ we see that there exists an element t in $(T-T_0) \cap E_2^*$ such that $T/E_1 = \langle tE_1 \rangle x(T_0/E_1)$. Thus $[t, T_0] \subseteq E_1 \cap E_2^* = E_1 \cap E_2$. This implies that $T' = T'_0$. Since T_0 contains exactly two elementary abelian subgroups of order 32, T' is non-abelian. This yields that $T' \cap E_i$, i=1, 2, are the only elementary abelian subgroups of T' of order 16. Since T' is normal in $N_G(T)$ we get that $E_1 \cap E_2 = (T' \cap E_1) \cap (T' \cap E_2)$ is normal in $N_G(T)$.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

2. Proof of Theorem A

In this section we prove Theorem A in a sequence of lemmas. We shall

use the notation introduced in (1.10).

Lemma 2.1. We have $N_G(M)/C_G(M) \approx GL(2,3)$ and $N_G(M)$ is contained in $N_G(E_0)$.

Proof. Since G is not 3-normal and there exists precisely one conjugacy class of elements of order 3 in $H - \langle \pi \rangle$ represented by σ we have that $\pi \sim \sigma$ in G. So there exists an element g in G such that $\sigma^g = \pi$ and $C_P(\sigma)^g = M^g \subseteq P$. Since there exists in H' exactly one conjugacy class of subgroups of order 9 we can assume that $M^g = M$. So $\pi \sim \sigma$ in $N_G(M)$.

Since M^* is the union of $N_{H'}(M)$ -orbits of sizes 1, 1 and 6 represented by π , π^{-1} , σ respectively we get that $|N_G(M)/C_G(M)| = |GL(2,3)|$ and hence $N_G(M)/C_G(M) \cong GL(2,3)$.

Since $E_0 = O_2(C_G(M))$ by (1.10.iii) we see that $E_0 \triangleleft N_G(M)$.

Lemma 2.2. We have $C_G(E_1) = 0_2(C_G(E_1)) \langle \pi \rangle$ where $0_2(C_G(E_1))$ is either equal to E_1 or is an elementary abelian group of order 2^{11} .

Proof. By (1.10.ii) we have $C_H(E_1) = E_1 \langle \pi \rangle$. Burnside's transfer theorem yields then that $C_G(E_1) = 0_{3'}(C_G(E_1)) \langle \pi \rangle$ since $\langle \pi \rangle$ is an S_3 -subgroup of $C_G(E_1)$ by (1.10.iii).

Let $K=0_{3'}(C_G(E_1))$. Since $C_H(E_1)=E_1\langle \pi \rangle$ we see that π operates regularly on K/E_1 . Thus K/E_1 is nilpotent by (1.2). As $E_1 \subseteq Z(K)$ we get that K is nilpotent. Furthermore K is normalized by P and hence we have $K=\langle C_K(x)|$ $1 \neq x \in M \rangle$, by (1.5).

We have $E_0 = C(x) \cap E_1 \subseteq Z(C_K(x))$ for any $x \in M - \langle \pi \rangle$. Since $N_G(M)$ is contained in $N_G(E_0)$ by (2.1) we see that $C(E_0) \cap C_G(x) = 0_2(C(E_0) \cap C_G(x))M$ for any $1 \neq x \in M$, where the maximal normal 2-subgroup of $C(E_0) \cap C_G(x)$ is elementary abelian of order 32 by (1.10.iv). So $C_K(x)$ is an elementary abelian 2-group of order at most 32. On the other hand π operates regularly on $C_K(x)E_0$ for $x \in M - \langle \pi \rangle$. This implies that we have either $C_K(x) = 0_2(C(E_0) \cap C_G(x))$ $C_G(x)$ or $C_K(x) = E_0$ for $x \in M - \langle \pi \rangle$. Since all elements of the set $\{C_K(x) \mid x \in M - \langle \pi \rangle\}$ are conjugate to each other via τ we have either

$$C_{K}(x) = E_{0}$$
 for all $x \in M - \langle \pi \rangle$, i.e. $K = E_{1}$,

or

$$C_K(x) = 0_2(C(E_0) \cap C_G(x))$$
 for all $1 \neq x \in M$, i.e.
 $K = \langle 0_2(C(E_0) \cap C_G(x)) | 1 \neq x \in M \rangle$

where $0_2(C(E_0) \cap C_G(x))$ is elementary abelian of order 32 for all $1 \neq x \in M$. We can assume that we are in the second case.

Let S be an S_2 -subgroup of $N_G(M)$. Then S acts transitively on M^* and normalizes E_0 . So S acts transitively on the set $\{0_2(C(E_0) \cap C_G(x)) | 1 \neq x \in M\}$

18

and hence normalizes K. Since $E_1 = 0_2(C(E_0) \cap C_G(\pi)) \subseteq Z(K)$ we get that $K \subseteq Z(K)$ and hence that K is elementary abelian of order 2^{11} since

$$\overline{K} = K/E_1 = C_{\overline{K}}(\sigma) \times C_{\overline{K}}(\sigma\pi) \times C_{\overline{K}}(\sigma\pi^{-1})$$

is of order 2⁶.

Lemma 2.3. If $0_2(C_G(E_1)) = E_1$, then T is an S_2 -subgroup of G.

Proof. By (2.2) and the assumption of this lemma we have $C_G(E_1) = E_1 \times \langle \pi \rangle$. Then $N_G(E_1)$ normalizes $\langle \pi \rangle$ and hence we get $N_G(E_1) = N_H(E_1)$. Thus T is an S_2 -subgroup of $N_G(E_1)$.

Suppose that T is not an S_2 -subgroup of G. Then there exists a 2-group $T \langle x \rangle$ in G with $|T \langle x \rangle$: T |=2. If $E_1^x \subseteq T_0$ we get $E_1^x = E_1$ by (1.10.ii). This contradicts the fact that T is an S_2 -subgroup of $N_G(E_1)$. So $E_1^x \subseteq T_0$ and thus $T - T_0$ contains involutions. Then E_2^* is the only elementary abelian subgroup of T of order 64 by (1.10.ii) and hence x normalizes E_2^* .

Since x normalizes $T' \cap E_2^* = T' \cap E_2$ and since T' contains exactly two elementary abelian subgroups of rank four, namely $T' \cap E_i$, i=1, 2, we see that x also normalizes $T' \cap E_1$. Since $E_1 \cap E_2 \triangleleft N_G(T)$ by (1.10.v) we get that $E_1E_2^* = C_T(E_1 \cap E_2) \triangleleft N_G(T)$ and hence $X = E_1E_2^* \cap C(T' \cap E_1) = E_1C_{E_2^*}(T' \cap E_1)$ is normalized by x. (1.10.v) gives then that $Z(X) = T' \cap E_1$ and that E_1 and $(T' \cap E_1) \times (E_2^* \cap C(T' \cap E_1))$ are the only elementary abelian subgroups of X of rank five. Since $E_2^* \cap C(T' \cap E_1)$ is normalized by x we get that $E_1^* = E_1$ which is a contradiction. Thus T is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G.

Lemma 2.4. If T is an S_2 -subgroup of G then the centralizer of the involution z in G is H.

Proof. Let $C=C_{c}(z)$ and denote the homomorphic image of any subset X of C in $C/\langle z \rangle$ by X. Obviously H is contained in C.

Then T is an S_2 -subgroup of C by our assumption and \overline{T} is isomorphic to an S_2 -subgroup of Aut (M_{22}) . Since $H \subseteq C$ all involutions in \overline{T}_0 are conjugate to $\overline{e} \in Z(\overline{T})$ in \overline{C} and all involutions in $\overline{T} - \overline{T}_0$ are conjugate to involutions in $\overline{E}_2^* - \overline{E}_2$ in \overline{C} where $\overline{E}_2^* = C_T(\overline{E}_2)$ is the only elementary abelian subgroup of \overline{T} of order 32 by (1.7) and (1.8). Furthermore we have $N_{\overline{H}}(\overline{E}_2^*) = \overline{E}_2^* \overline{B}_2$ where $\overline{B}_2 \cong S_5$ and $(\overline{E}_2^*)^*$ splits into \overline{B}_2 -orbits of sizes 15, 6 and 10 represented respectively by \overline{e} , \overline{t}_1 and \overline{t}_2 where \overline{t}_1 and \overline{t}_2 are in $\overline{E}_2^* - \overline{E}_2$ by (1.8).

If \overline{C} has no subgroups of index two then \overline{t}_i , i=1, 2, must be conjugate to an element of \overline{T}_0 hence to \overline{e} in \overline{C} by (1.3), Thompson's transfer lemma. But this conjugation must take place in $N_{\overline{C}}(\overline{E}_2^*)$ since \overline{E}_2^* is the only elementary abelian subgroup of \overline{T} of rank 5. So we get by the above paragraph that all involutions of \overline{E}_2^* are conjugate to each other in $N_{\overline{C}}(\overline{E}_2^*)$. In particular 31 divides the order of the group $N_{\overline{C}}(\overline{E}_2^*)/C_{\overline{C}}(\overline{E}_2^*)$.

Güloğlu, I.Ş.

Let $\tilde{N} = N_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{E}_2^*)/C_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{E}_2^*)$. Then \tilde{N} is isomorphic to a subgroup of GL(5, 2), has dihedral S_2 -subgroups of order 8 and contains a subgroup \tilde{B}_2 which is isomorphic to S_5 . So $\tilde{N}/0(\tilde{N})$ is either isomorphic to A_7 or to a subgroup of $P\Gamma L(2, q)$ containing PSL(2, q) where q is an odd prime power by [3].

Assume first that $31 ||0(\tilde{N})|$. Let \tilde{S} be an S_{31} -subgroup of $0(\tilde{N})$. Since 31^2 does not divide the order of GL(5, 2) and since \tilde{N} is isomorphic to a subgroup of GL(5, 2) we see that \tilde{S} is cyclic of order 31. By Frattini's argument we get that $N_{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{S})$ covers $\tilde{N}/0(\tilde{N})$ and hence that $N_{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{S})/N_{0(\tilde{N})}(\tilde{S})$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to S_5 by the above paragraph. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{S})$ is cyclic we conclude that \tilde{S} is centralized by an element \tilde{a} of order 5. But $C(\tilde{a}) \cap \tilde{E}_2^*$ is nontrivial and is normalized by \tilde{S} . But this is not possible since \tilde{S} operates regularly on \tilde{E}_2^* . Thus $31 \not\downarrow |0(N)|$ and hence 31 ||N/0(N)|.

So $\tilde{N}/0(\tilde{N})$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $P\Gamma L(2, q)$ containing PSL(2, q). Since \tilde{N} is isomorphic to a subgroup of GL(5, 2) and $|GL(5, 2)| = 2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31$ this is possible only if q=31. But $|PSL(2, 31)| = 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 31$ whereas $|\tilde{N}|_2 = 8$. This contradiction shows that \bar{C} contains a subgroup \bar{C}_0 with index 2.

We have $1 \neq \overline{C}_0 \cap \overline{T}$. Thus $Z(\overline{T}) = \langle \overline{e} \rangle$ is contained in \overline{C}_0 . Since all involutions of \overline{T}_0 are conjugate to \overline{e} in $\overline{H}' \subseteq \overline{C}$ and since \overline{T}_0 is generated by its involutions we get $\overline{T}_0 \subseteq \overline{C}_0$. In particular \overline{T}_0 is an S_2 -subgroup of \overline{C}_0 and $\overline{H}' \subseteq \overline{C}_0$.

Let now \overline{Y} be a minimal normal subgroup of \overline{C}_0 . Since $0(\overline{Y})$ is characteristic in \overline{Y} we get either $0(\overline{Y}) = \overline{Y}$ or $0(\overline{Y}) = 1$.

Suppose that $0(\bar{Y})=1$. Then $\bar{T}_0 \cap \bar{Y}$ is nontrivial and hence $\bar{T}_0 \leq \bar{Y}$ as above. Thus $\bar{H}' \subseteq \bar{Y}$. So \bar{Y} is a direct product of isomorphic, non-abelian simple groups. Since \bar{P} is an S_3 -subgroup of \bar{Y} and $Z(\bar{P})$ is cyclic we see that \bar{Y} is simple. \bar{T}_0 is an S_2 -subgroup of the simple group \bar{Y} and is isomorphic to an S_2 -subgroup of M_{22} . So we get by [6; Corollary 1.3] that \bar{Y} is isomorphic to one of the following groups: M_{22} , M_{23} , McL, PSL(4, q), $q\equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, PSU(4, 1), $q\equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. An S_3 -subgroup of McL is of order 3^6 , M_{22} and M_{23} have abelian S_3 -subgroups, and PSL(4, q) and PSU(4,q) have S_3 -subgroups which are not isomorphic to P by [6; Lemma 2.1 and 2.2]. This contradiction shows that $0(\bar{Y})=\bar{Y}$.

If $\overline{Y} \cap \overline{H}' = 1$ then $\overline{\pi}$ acts regularly on \overline{Y} and hence \overline{Y} is nilpotent by (12). We have $\overline{Y} = \langle C_{\overline{Y}}(\overline{x}) | 1 \neq \overline{x} \in \overline{M} \rangle$ by (1.5). Since $C_{\overline{Y}}(\overline{x})$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of \overline{H}' for any $\overline{x} \in \overline{M}$ we get that $\pi(\overline{Y}) \subseteq \{5, 7, 11\}$ and $C_{\overline{Y}}(\overline{x})$ is cyclic of prime order or 1 by (1.7). Since $\overline{\pi}$ acts regularly on $C_{\overline{Y}}(\overline{x})$ we get that $C_{\overline{Y}}(x)$ is of order 7 for $\overline{x} \in \overline{M} - \langle \overline{\pi} \rangle$. Since \overline{P} operates nontrivially on \overline{M} and normalizes $Z(\overline{Y}) \neq 1$ we get by (1.5) $Z(\overline{Y}) = \langle C_{Z(\overline{Y})}(\overline{x}) | 1 \neq \overline{x} \in \overline{M} \rangle = \overline{Y}$. Thus \overline{Y} is elementary abelian of order 7³. Since $|GL(3,7)| = 2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 19$ we get that \overline{H}' cannot operate faithfully on \overline{Y} , i.e. \overline{H}' centralizes \overline{Y} . But this is not possible. Thus $\overline{Y} \cap \overline{H}' \neq 1$. Since \overline{Y} is of odd order and $\overline{Y} \cap \overline{H}'$ is normal in \overline{H}' we get that $\bar{Y} \cap \bar{H}' = \langle \bar{\pi} \rangle$ and hence by (1.4) $\bar{Y} = 0_{3'}(\bar{Y}) \langle \bar{\pi} \rangle$ since $\bar{H}' = N(\langle \bar{\pi} \rangle) \cap \bar{C}_0$. Since \bar{Y} is a minimal normal subgroup of \bar{C}_0 we obtain $0_3(\bar{Y}) = 1$ and hence $\langle \bar{\pi} \rangle \lhd \bar{C}_0$. This yields that $\bar{C}_0 = \bar{H}'$ and thus $C_G(z) = H$.

Lemma 2.5. $0_2(C_G(E_1))$ is elementary abelian of order 2^{11} .

Proof. Assume that $0_2(C_G(E_1))$ is not of order 2¹¹. Then we get by (2.2) that $0_2(C_G(E_1))=E_1$ and hence by (2.3) and (2.4) that T is an S_2 -subgroup of G and $C_G(z)=H$.

Let $F=C_G(E_0)$ and $\overline{F}=F/E_0$. *M* is an S_3 -subgroup of *F* by (1.10.iii). We show first that $0_{3'}(F)=E_0$.

Let $K=0_{3'}(F)$. Then K is a characteristic subgroup of F and hence normal in $N_G(E_0)$. Furthermore we have by (1.5) that $K=\langle C_K(x)|1\pm x\in M\rangle$. Since $N_G(M)\subseteq N_G(F_0)$ by (2.1) and $N_G(M)$ operates transitively on M^{\ddagger} we see that $N_G(M)$ operates transitively on the set $\{C_K(x)|1\pm x\in M\}$. Since $E_0\subseteq$ $Z(C_K(x))$ for any $x\in M$ we get by (1.10.iv) as in the proof of (2.2) that K/E_0 is an elementary abelian group of order 2^8 if $K\pm E_0$. But this is not possible since T is an S_2 -subgroup of G. So $K=E_0$ and hence $0_{3'}(F)=1$.

We have $N_{\overline{F}}(\overline{M}) = M\overline{Q}$ where \overline{Q} is a 2-group which acts regularly on \overline{M} by (2.1). Since $N_{\overline{F}}(\overline{M})$ is normalized by an element \overline{a} of order 3 contained in $N_{c}(E_{0})/E_{0}$ we can assume by Frattini's argument that \overline{a} normalizes \overline{Q} . By the structure of Aut $(M) \cong GL(2, 3)$ we see that \overline{Q} is not of order 4 because otherwise \overline{a} would centralize \overline{Q} . So \overline{Q} is either isomorphic to the quaternion grout is cyclic of order two. In the second case we get $\overline{F}=0_{3'}(\overline{F})N_{\overline{F}}(\overline{M})$ by [9, II]. Since $0_{3'}(\overline{F})=1$ this implies that $\overline{F}=N_{\overline{F}}(\overline{M})$ which is not poosible since $\overline{E}_{1}\subseteq \overline{F}$. So we have $N_{\overline{F}}(\overline{M})=\overline{M}\overline{Q}$ where \overline{Q} is quaternion and acts regularly on \overline{M} .

Let \overline{Y} be a minimal normal subgroup of \overline{F} . Since $0_{3'}(\overline{F})=1$ we have $\overline{M}\cap \overline{Y}\pm 1$. Since \overline{Q} operates transitively on M^{\ddagger} we obtain $\overline{M}\subseteq \overline{Y}$. As \overline{M} not normal in \overline{F} , \overline{Y} is not solvable. Furthermore \overline{Y} is the unique minimal normal subgroup of \overline{F} . Thus \overline{Y} is normal in $N_G(E_0)/E_0$. So there exists an element \overline{a} of order 3 in $N_G(E_0)/E_0$ which normalizes $N_{\overline{Y}}(M)$. The argument we used above to show that an S_2 -subgroup of $N_{\overline{F}}(\overline{M})$ is quaternion applies also to this situation and we get that $N_{\overline{Y}}(M)=N_{\overline{F}}(M)$. Since \overline{Q} is quaternion we see that \overline{Y} must be simple. By Frattini's argument we get furthermore that $\overline{Y}=\overline{F}$.

We have $C_{\overline{F}}(\overline{\pi}) = \overline{E}_1 \overline{M} \simeq Z_3 x A_4$ and all elements of \overline{M}^{\ddagger} are conjugate to $\overline{\pi}$ in \overline{F} . So [7] gives that \overline{F} is isomorphic to one of the following groups: PSL(3, 7), $PSU(3, 5^2)$, M_{22} , M_{23} , HS, PSL(5, 2), PSp(4, 4), M_{24} , R, J_2 . The last three of these groups have S_3 -subgroups of order 27 but \overline{F} has an S_3 -subgroup of order 9. PSL(5, 2), PSp(4, 4), M_{22} , M_{23} , HS have 2-subgroups of order $\geq 2^7$. But T is an S_2 -subgroup of G and is of order 29. We have 19 ||PSL(3, 7)| and $5^3 ||PSU(3, 5^2)|$ but $F \subseteq C_G(z) = H$ and $|H| = 2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$. This is a

contradiction.

This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2.6. G is isomorphic to J_4 .

Proof. By (2.2) and (2.5) we have $C_G(E_1) = K \langle \pi \rangle$ where K is elementary abelian of order 2^{11} and is normal in $C_G(E_1)$. Let $N = N_G(K)$. Then we have (i) $C_G(K) = K$ since $C_G(K) \subseteq C_G(E_1) = K \langle \pi \rangle$.

(i) $N_G(E_1) \subseteq N$ where $N_{H'}(E_1)/E_1$ is isomorphic to the triple cover of A_6

and $N_{H}(E_{1})/E_{1} \langle \pi \rangle \simeq S_{6}$ by (1.10.11).

(iii) $C_N(M) = MxE_0$ and $N_N(M) = N_G(M)$ as we have seen in the proof of (2.2).

We first show that $0_{3'}(N) = K$. Since $0_{3'}(N)$ is normalized by M we get by (1.5) that $0_{3'}(N) = \langle C(x) \cap 0_{3'}(N) | 1 \neq x \in M \rangle$. We have $C_K(\pi) = E_1$ and $C(\pi) \cap 0_{3'}(N)/C_K(\pi) \subseteq 0_{3'}(N_{H'}(E_1)/E_1) = 1$. Thus $C(\pi) \cap 0_{3'}(N) = C_K(\pi)$. By (iii) this yields that $C_K(x) = C(x) \cap 0_{3'}(N)$ for all $1 \neq x \in M$ and hence $0_{3'}(N) = K$.

Let $\overline{N} = N/K$ and let \overline{Y} be a minimal normal subgroup of \overline{N} . Since $0_{3'}(\bar{N})=1$ we see that $\bar{P}\cap \bar{Y}=1$. Thus $Z(\bar{P})$ is contained in \bar{Y} which implies that $\overline{M} \subseteq \overline{Y}$ by (iii). By (ii) we see that \overline{Y} is not solvable. Since $C_{\overline{N}}(\overline{\pi})$ is isomorphic to the triple cover of A_6 by (ii) and $\langle \pi \rangle \subseteq C_{\bar{Y}}(\bar{\pi}) \leq C_{\bar{N}}(\bar{\pi})$ we get that $C_{\bar{Y}}(\bar{\pi}) = C_{\bar{N}}(\bar{\pi})$. In particular \bar{Y} is simple since \bar{P} is an S_3 -subgroup of \bar{Y} and $Z(\bar{P})$ is cyclic. Since $C_{\bar{N}}(\bar{\pi}) \subseteq \bar{Y}$ we get $N(\bar{M}) \cap C_{\bar{N}}(\bar{\pi}) \subseteq N_{Y}(M)$ where $N(M) \cap C_{\bar{N}}(\bar{\pi})/\bar{M}$ is isomorphic to S_3 by (1.10.iii). Since $N_{\bar{N}}(\bar{M})/\bar{M}$ is isomorphic to GL(2, 3) by (iii) and (2.1) and since $N_{\overline{Y}}(\overline{M}) \leq N_{\overline{N}}(\overline{M})$ we get by the sructure of GL(2,3) that $N_{\bar{Y}}(\bar{M}) \leq N_{\bar{N}}(\bar{M})$. So we have seen that \bar{Y} is a simple group containing an element $\bar{\pi}$ of order 3 such that $C_{\bar{r}}(\bar{\pi})/\langle \bar{\pi} \rangle$ is isomorphic to $A_6 \simeq PSL(2, 9)$ and an elementary abelian subgroup \overline{M} of order 9 all identity elements of which are conjugate to $\bar{\pi}$ in \bar{Y} . So [7] gives that \bar{Y} is isomorphic to M_{24} or R or J_2 . But J_2 is 3-normal by [5] and R cannot operate faithfully on an elementary abelian 2-group of order 2^{11} since 29 ||R| and $29 \not\downarrow (2^k-1)$ for $1 \le k \le 11$. So $\overline{Y} \simeq M_{24}$. On the other hand \overline{P} is an S_3 -subgroup the normal subgroup \overline{Y} of \overline{N} and hence $N_{\overline{N}}(\overline{P})$ covers $\overline{N}/\overline{Y}$. Since $N_{\overline{N}}(\overline{P}) \subseteq N_{\overline{N}}(Z(\overline{P}))$ and $N_{\bar{H}}(\bar{P})/\bar{P}$ is a 2-group we get that \bar{N}/\bar{Y} is a 2-group. Since Aut $(M_{24})=M_{24}$ we obtain then that $\overline{N} = \overline{Y}$, for otherwise every element in $\overline{N} - \overline{Y}$ would induce a nontrivial outer automorphism of M_{24} by the structure of $N_{\bar{N}}(P)$.

Now we can apply [8; Theorem A] and obtain that K splits into two Nclasses of involutions the sizes of which are either 759 and 1288 or 1771 and 276. Since $z \in K$ is centralized by an S_3 -subgroup of N the number of conjugates of z in N is either $1288=2^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 23$ or $1771=7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$. In the first case we have $|C_N(z)/K| = 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$. By (1.9) we get then that $C_N(z)/K \cong \operatorname{Aut}(M_{12})$. We have $(C_N/(z)/K)' \cong M_{12}$ and $N_{H'}(E_1)K/K$ is contained in $(C_N(z)/K)'$. This implies that M_{12} contains an element of order 3 which centralizes a dihedral

22

group of order 8. But M_{12} has exactly two classes of involutions the centralizers of which in M_{12} are isomorphic to a faithful extension of $Q_8 * Q_8$ by S_3 or to $Z_2 \times S_5$. So there exists no dihedral subgroup of M_{12} of order 8 which is centralized by an element of order 3. This contradiction shows that K splits into two N-orbits of sizes 1771 and 276.

So z lies in the center of an S_2 -subgroup of N. We shall show that $O(C_G(z)) = W$ is trivial. Since $H \subseteq C_G(z)$ and $W \cap H \subseteq O(H) = \langle \pi \rangle$ we have either $W \cap H = 1$ or $W \cap H = \langle \pi \rangle$. In the second case we get by (1.4) that $W = O_{3'}(W) \langle \pi \rangle$ and hence $C_G(z) = WH$ by the Frattini's argument. But this is not possible since $2^{21} ||C_G(z)|$. So $W \cap H = 1$. Then W is nilpotent by (1.2) and we have $W = \langle C_W(x) | 1 \pm x \in M \rangle$ by (1.5). Since G has exactly one conjugacy class of elements of order 3, $C_W(x)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of H. Since π operates regularly on $C_W(x)$ for any $x \in M^{\ddagger}$ we get that $C_W(x)$ is cyclic of order 7 or 1. Since P normalizes W and acts nontrivially on $M - \langle \pi \rangle$ we get that Z(W) = W is elementary abelian of order 7³ or 1. In any case H' centralizes W. This implies that W = 1.

So we can apply [8; Theorem B] and see that either |G| = |M(24)'| or $G \simeq J_4$. But the first case is not possible since $3^{16} ||M(24)'|$. So G is isomorphic to J_4 . This completes the proof of the lemma and the proof of Theorem A.

3. Proof of Theorem B

A slight modification of the proof of Theorem A gives Theorem B. We shall only indicate where differences are to be made.

Let G be a simple group which is not 3-normal and contains an element π such that $C_G(\pi)$ is isomorphic to the triple cover of M_{22} . Then Lemma (1.10) is valid for G where H is to be replaced by $H/\langle z \rangle$. We shall use the same notation as in the second section which was introduced in (1.10) with their corresponding new meanings. Then we have

Lemma 3.1. We have $N_G(M)/C_G(M) \simeq GL(2, 3)$ and $N_G(M)$ is contained in $N_G/(E_0)$ where $E_0 = O_2(C_G(M))$ is a four group.

Proof. The same as in (2.1).

Lemma 3.2. We have $C_G(E_1)=0_2(C_G(E_1))\langle \pi \rangle$ where either $0_2(C_G(E_1))=E_1$ or $0_2(C_G(E_1))$ is elementary abelian of order 2^{10} .

Proof. The same as in (2.2).

Lemma 3.3. If $0_2(C_G(E_1)) = E_1$ then T is an S_2 -subgroup of G.

Proof. The same as in (2.3).

Lemma 3.4. T is not an S_2 -subgroup of G and hence $O_2(C_G(E_1))$ is of order 2^{10} .

Proof. The argument we have used in (2.4) to show that $C_c(z)$ contains a subgroup C_0 with index two applies also to this case and yields that G has a subgroup with index two. But this is a contradiction since G is simple.

Conclusion 3.5. G does not exist.

Proof. Otherwise we get as in (2.6) that $N_c(K)/K$ is isomorphic to J_2 or M_{24} or R, where $K=0_2(C_c(E_1))$ is elementary abelian of order 2¹⁰. But M_{24} and R cannot operate faithfully on a 2-group of order 2¹⁰. Since J_2 is 3-normal by [5] we obtain a contradiction since we can see that $N_c(K)$ is not 3-normal as in (2.6).

This completes the proof of Theorem B.

References

- W. Gaschütz: Zur Erweiterungstheorie endlicher Gruppen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 190 (1952), 93-107.
- [2] D. Gorenstein: Finite groups, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
- [3] D. Gorenstein and J. Walter: The characterization of finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, J. Algebra 2 (1965), 85-151.
- [4] Z. Janko: A new finite simple group of order 86.775.571.046.077.562.880 which possesses M_{24} and the full covering group of M_{22} as subgroups, J. Algebra 42 (1976), 564-596.
- [5] Z. Janko: Some new simple groups of finite order, Ist. Naz. Alta Math., Symposia Mathematica vol. I., Odensi, Gubbio (1968), 25-64.
- [6] D.R. Mason: Finite simple groups with Sylow 2-subgroups of type PSL(4,q), q odd,
 J. Algebra 26 (1973), 75-97.
- [7] M.E. O'Nan: Some characterizations by centralizers of elements of order 3, J. Algebra 48 (1977), 113-141.
- [8] A Reifart: Some simple groups related to M_{24} , J. Algebra 45 (1977), 199-209.
- [9] S. Smith and A.P. Typer: On finite groups with a certain Sylow normalizer I, II, J. Algebra 26 (1973), 343-367.
- [10] J.G. Thompson: Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 383-438.

Department of Mathematics Middle East Technical University Ankara, Turkey