

<b>Title</b>	On dominant dimension of Noetherian rings
<b>Author</b>	Hoshino, Mitsuo
<b>Citation</b>	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 26(2); 275-280
<b>Issue Date</b>	1989-06
<b>ISSN</b>	0030-6126
<b>Textversion</b>	Publisher
<b>Relation</b>	The OJM has been digitized through Project Euclid platform <a href="http://projecteuclid.org/ojm">http://projecteuclid.org/ojm</a> starting from Vol. 1, No. 1.

Placed on: Osaka City University

## ON DOMINANT DIMENSION OF NOETHERIAN RINGS

Dedicated to Professor Hiroyuki Tachikawa on his 60th birthday

MITSUO HOSHINO

(Received April 25, 1988)

Throughout this note,  $R$  stands for a ring with identity and all modules are unital modules. In this note, for a given module  $M$ , we say that  $M$  has *dominant dimension* at least  $n$ , written  $\text{dom dim } M \geq n$ , if each of the first  $n$  terms of the minimal injective resolution of  $M$  is flat. Following Morita [5], we call  $R$  left (resp. right)  $QF$ -3 if  $\text{dom dim } {}_R R \geq 1$  (resp.  $\text{dom dim } R_R \geq 1$ ). He showed that if  $R$  is left noetherian and left  $QF$ -3 then it is also right  $QF$ -3. Thus, if  $R$  is left and right noetherian,  $R$  is left  $QF$ -3 if and only if it is right  $QF$ -3. Generalizing this, we will prove the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be left and right noetherian. For any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\text{dom dim } {}_R R \geq n$  if and only if  $\text{dom dim } R_R \geq n$ .*

In case  $R$  is artinian, our dominant dimension coincides with Tachikawa's one [8], and the above theorem has been established (see Tachikawa [9] for details).

In what follows, for a given left or right  $R$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $M^*$  the  $R$ -dual of  $M$ , by  $\varepsilon_M: M \rightarrow M^{**}$  the usual evaluation map and by  $E(M)$  the injective hull of  $M$ . We denote by  $\text{mod } R$  (resp.  $\text{mod } R^{op}$ ) the category of all finitely generated left (resp. right)  $R$ -modules, where  $R^{op}$  stands for the opposite ring of  $R$  and right  $R$ -modules are considered as left  $R^{op}$ -modules.

**1. Preliminaries.** In this section, we recall several known facts which we need in later sections.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be right noetherian. For any  $N \in \text{mod } R^{op}$  and for any injective left  $R$ -module  $E$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R), E) \simeq \text{Tor}_i^R(N, E)$  for  $i \geq 1$ .*

Proof. See Cartan and Eilenberg [1, Chap. VI, Proposition 5.3].

**Lemma 1.2.** *Every finitely presented submodule of a flat module is torsionless.*

Proof. See Lazard [4, Théorème 1.2].

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $R$  be right noetherian. Let  $E$  be an injective left  $R$ -module*

and suppose that every finitely generated submodule of  $E$  is torsionless. Then  $E$  is flat.

Proof. See Sato [6, Lemma 1.4]. His argument remains valid in our setting.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $R$  be left and right noetherian. Suppose that  $R$  is left QF-3. An injective left  $R$ -module  $E$  is flat if and only if it is cogenerated by  $E({}_R R)$ .*

Proof. Immediate by Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3.

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $R$  be left noetherian. Suppose that  $\text{inj dim } R_R = n < \infty$ . For a minimal injective resolution  $0 \rightarrow {}_R R \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots$ ,  $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n E_i$  is an injective cogenerator.*

Proof. See Iwanaga [3, Theorem 2]. His argument remains valid in our setting.

**2. Proof of Theorem.** In order to prove the theorem, we need two more lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be left noetherian and  $n \geq 1$ . For any  $M \in \text{mod } R$  with  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and for any  $L \in \text{mod } R$  with  $\text{proj dim } L = m < n$ ,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, L) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - m$ .*

Proof. By induction on  $m \geq 0$ . The case  $m = 0$  is clear. Let  $m \geq 1$  and let  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in  $\text{mod } R$  with  $P$  projective. Since  $\text{proj dim } K = m - 1$ , by induction hypothesis  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, K) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - m + 1$ . Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$  to the above exact sequence, we get  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, L) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, K) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - m$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be left and right noetherian. Suppose that  $R$  is left QF-3. For any  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\text{dom dim } {}_R R \geq n$  if and only if for an  $M \in \text{mod } R$ ,  $M^* = 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .*

Proof. Let  $0 \rightarrow {}_R R \xrightarrow{f_0} E_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} E_1 \rightarrow \cdots$  be a minimal injective resolution. For any  $i \geq 1$  we have an exact sequence of functors

$$\text{Hom}_R(-, E_{i-1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(-, \text{Im } f_i) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(-, R) \rightarrow 0.$$

"Only if" part. For a given  $M \in \text{mod } R$  with  $M^* = 0$ , by Lemma 1.2  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Im } f_i) = 0$ , and by the above exact sequence  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

"If" part. By induction on  $i \geq 0$ , we show that  $E_i$  is flat for  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . By assumption,  $E_0$  is flat. Let  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and suppose that  $E_{i-1}$  is flat. For a given  $M \in \text{mod } R$  with  $M^* = 0$ , we claim  $\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Im } f_i) = 0$ . We have

$\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ . Also, by Lemma 1.2  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E_{i-1}) = 0$ . Thus by the above exact sequence  $\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Im } f_i) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Im } f_i$  is cogenerated by  $E({}_R R)$ , and by Lemma 1.4  $E_i$  is flat.

We are now in a position to prove the theorem. It suffices to prove the “only if” part.

“Only if” part of Theorem. The case  $n=1$  is due to Morita [5, Theorem 1]. Let  $n \geq 2$ . Note that  $R$  is left and right QF-3. Replacing  $R$  with  $R^{op}$  in Lemma 2.2, it suffices to show that for any  $N \in \text{mod } R^{op}$  with  $N^* = 0$  we have  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . For a given  $N \in \text{mod } R^{op}$  with  $N^* = 0$ , we claim first that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R)^* = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . For any  $i \geq 1$ , by Lemma 1.1  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R), E({}_R R)) = \text{Tor}_i^R(N, E({}_R R)) = 0$ , thus  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R)^* = 0$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2  $\text{Ext}_R^j(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R), R) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Now, by induction on  $i \geq 1$ , we show that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Let  $\dots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} N \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in  $\text{mod } R^{op}$  with the  $P_i$  projective and put  $N_i = \text{Im } f_i$ . Since  $N^* = 0$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_0^* \xrightarrow{\beta_1} N_1^* \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \text{Ext}_R^1(N, R) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Ext}_R^1(N, R), R) = 0$ ,  $\alpha_1$  splits. On the other hand, since  $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, R)^* = 0$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(N, R), N_1^*) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, R) = 0$ . Next, let  $1 < i \leq n-1$  and suppose that  $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, R) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ . We have an exact swquence

$$0 \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_{i-1}^* \xrightarrow{\beta_i} N_i^* \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} \text{Ext}_R^i(N, R) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\text{Ext}_R^j(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R), R) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , and since  $\text{proj dim Im } \beta_i \leq i-1 < n-1$ , by Lemma 2.1  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R), \text{Im } \beta_i) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha_i$  splits. On the other hand,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R)^* = 0$  implies  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R), N_i^*) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, R) = 0$ .

**3. Left exactness of the double dual.** In this section, we establish the relation between the dominant dimension of a left and right noetherian ring  $R$  and the behavior of the functor  $(\ )^{**}: \text{mod } R \rightarrow \text{mod } R$ . Compare our results with Colby and Fuller [2, Theorems 1 and 2].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be left and right noetherian. Then  $R$  is left QF-3 if and only if the functor  $(\ )^{**}: \text{mod } R \rightarrow \text{mod } R$  preserves monomorphisms.*

This is an immediate consequence of Morita [5, Theorem 1] and the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be left noetherian and right QF-3. For any monomorphism  $\alpha: M \rightarrow L$  with  $M, L \in \text{mod } R$ ,  $\alpha^{**}$  is monic.*

Proof. For a given exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} L \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{mod } R$ , we claim  $(\text{Cok } \alpha^*)^* = 0$ . By Lemma 1.1  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(K, R), E(R_R)) \simeq \text{Tor}_1^f(E(R_R), K) = 0$ . Since  $\text{Cok } \alpha^*$  is imbedded into  $\text{Ext}_R^1(K, R)$ , we get  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Cok } \alpha^*, E(R_R)) = 0$ . Thus  $(\text{Cok } \alpha^*)^* = 0$ , and  $\alpha^{**}$  is monic.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be right noetherian. Suppose that for any monomorphism  $\alpha: M \rightarrow L$  with  $M, L \in \text{mod } R$   $\alpha^{**}$  is monic. Then  $R$  is left QF-3.*

Proof. For a given  $M \in \text{mod } R$  with  $M \subset E({}_R R)$ , we claim that  $M$  is torsionless. Replacing  $M$  with  $M + R$  if necessary, we may assume  $R \subset M$ . Denote by  $\iota$  the inclusion  $R \hookrightarrow M$ . Since  $\iota^{**}$  is monic, so is  $\iota^{**} \circ \varepsilon_R = \varepsilon_M \circ \iota$ . Thus  $R \cap \text{Ker } \varepsilon_M = 0$ , which implies  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon_M = 0$ . Hence by Lemma 1.3  $E({}_R R)$  is flat.

Now we can prove the following

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be left and right noetherian. Then  $\text{dom dim } {}_R R \geq 2$  if and only if the functor  $(\ )^{**}: \text{mod } R \rightarrow \text{mod } R$  is left exact.*

Proof. ‘‘Only if’’ part. For a given exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} L \xrightarrow{\beta} K \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{mod } R$ , we claim  $(\text{Cok } \alpha^*)^* = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Cok } \alpha^*, R)$ . Note that  $\text{dom dim } R_R \geq 2$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\alpha^{**}$  is monic. Thus  $(\text{Cok } \alpha^*)^* = 0$ , and by Lemma 2.2  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Cok } \alpha^*, R) = 0$ . Hence the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow M^{**} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{**}} L^{**} \xrightarrow{\beta^{**}} K^{**}.$$

‘‘If’’ part. By Lemma 3.3,  $E({}_R R)$  is flat. For a given  $M \in \text{mod } R$  with  $M \subset E({}_R R)/R$ , we claim that  $M$  is torsionless. There is some  $L \in \text{mod } R$  such that  $L \subset E({}_R R)$  and  $M = L/R$ . By Lemma 1.2,  $L$  is torsionless. We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \varepsilon_L & & \downarrow \varepsilon_M & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & R^{**} & \rightarrow & L^{**} & \rightarrow & M^{**} & & \end{array}$$

Since  $\varepsilon_L$  is monic, so is  $\varepsilon_M$ . Thus by Lemma 1.4  $E(E({}_R R)/R)$  is flat.

**4. Remarks.** In this final section, we make some remarks on noetherian rings of finite self-injective dimension.

The following proposition is essentially due to Iwanaga [3].

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be left noetherian. Suppose that  $\text{inj dim } {}_R R < \infty$  and that the last non-zero term of the minimal injective resolution of  ${}_R R$  is flat. Then  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that  ${}_R R$  is not injective. Put  $n = \text{inj dim } {}_R R$

and let  $0 \rightarrow {}_R R \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow 0$  be a minimal injective resolution. There is a torsion theory  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F})$  in  $\text{mod } R$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of the modules  $M \in \text{mod } R$  with  $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, R) = 0$ . Note that  $\mathcal{I}$  contains a simple module  $L$ . Since  $E_n$  is flat, and since  $\text{Hom}_R(L, E_n) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^n(L, R) \neq 0$ , by Lemma 1.2  $L$  is torsionless, which implies  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be left noetherian. Suppose that  $\text{inj dim } R_R < \text{dom dim } {}_R R$ . Then  $E({}_R R)$  is an injective cogenerator.*

Proof. Let  $0 \rightarrow {}_R R \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots$  be a minimal injective resolution and put  $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n E_i$ , where  $n = \text{inj dim } R_R$ . By Lemma 1.5  $E$  is an injective cogenerator. Thus, since  $E$  is flat, by Lemma 1.2 every  $M \in \text{mod } R$  is torsionless, namely  $E({}_R R)$  is an injective cogenerator.

The next proposition generalizes Sumioka [7, Theorem 5].

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be left and right noetherian and  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $\text{inj dim } {}_R R \leq n \leq \text{dom dim } {}_R R$ . For a minimal injective resolution  $0 \rightarrow {}_R R \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots$ ,  $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n E_i$  is an injective cogenerator if and only if  $\text{inj dim } R_R \leq n$ .*

Proof. “Only if” part. Since  $E_i$  is flat for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , and since  $E_i = 0$  for  $i > n$ ,  $E_n$  and thus  $E$  have weak dimension at most  $n$ . Thus by Lemma 1.1  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(N, R), E) \simeq \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(N, E) = 0$  for all  $N \in \text{mod } R^{op}$ . Hence, since  $E$  is an injective cogenerator,  $\text{inj dim } R_R \leq n$ .

“If” part. By Lemma 1.5.

---

### References

- [1] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg: *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [2] R.R. Colby and K.R. Fuller: *Exactness of the double dual*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 521–526.
- [3] Y. Iwanaga: *On rings with finite self-injective dimension*, Comm. Algebra **7** (1979), 393–414.
- [4] D. Lazard: *Autour de la platitude*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **97** (1969).
- [5] K. Morita: *Noetherian QF-3 rings and two-sided quasi-Frobenius maximal quotient rings*, Proc. Japan Acad. **46** (1970), 837–840.
- [6] H. Sato: *On localization of a 1-Gorenstein rings*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku A **13** (1977), 188–197.
- [7] T. Sumioka: *On QF-3 and 1-Gorenstein rings*, Osaka J. Math. **16** (1979), 395–403.
- [8] H. Tachikawa: *On dominant dimension of QF-3 algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1964), 249–266.

- [9] H. Tachikawa: *Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations*, Springer Lecture Notes 351 (1973).

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki, 305 Japan